

DCUSA CHANGE DECLARATION

DCP 059 - Implementation of Common Distribution Charging Methodology

VOTING DATE: 05 March 2010

DCP 059	WEIGHTED VOTING			
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DG ¹
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	Accept	N/A
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Accept	N/A
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was greater than 50% in all Categories which voted.</p> <p>Implementation Date –ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was greater than 50% in all Categories which voted.</p>			
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required			

¹ No votes received in this Party Category

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A/R)	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES			
Central Networks East	Accept	Accept	-
Central Networks West	Accept	Accept	-
EDF Energy Networks (EPN) plc	Accept	Accept	-
EDF Energy Networks (LPN) plc	Accept	Accept	-
EDF Energy Networks (SPN) plc	Accept	Accept	-
Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	-
Northern Electric Distribution Ltd	Accept	Accept	-
SP Distribution	Accept	Accept	-
SP Manweb	Accept	Accept	-
Western Power Distribution (South West) PLC	Accept	Accept	-
Western Power Distribution (South Wales) PLC	Accept	Accept	-
Yorkshire Electricity Distribution plc.	Accept	Accept	-
IDNO PARTIES			
EDF Energy (IDNO) Limited	Accept	Accept	-
ESP Electricity	Accept	Accept	-

SUPPLIER PARTIES			
British Gas	Accept	Accept	-
EDF Energy Customers PLC	Accept	Accept	We agree with the working group recommendation that this change should be made and that it facilitates objective c of the DCUSA.
Haven Power Limited	Reject	Reject	<p>Haven Power (Haven) does not support this DCP. Whilst Haven accepts the principles of the CDCM in particular the implementation of a commonality in charging between DNOs, we strongly believe that this is not fully achieved with the allowance in paragraph 130 of the proposed Schedule 16 to the DCUSA.</p> <p>Paragraph 130 allows for the de-linking of DUoS unit rate charges from the SSC/TPR combination set by the Supplier. To our knowledge this de-linking is only operated by one DNO party (Central Networks) and cannot be representative of the actual demand on the networks involved.</p> <p>This practice is not good for competition. It makes it very difficult for suppliers to offer a simple night price because they will not know what</p>

			the timeswitch pattern is at the time. Whilst a supplier could always change the meter that is expensive and is very inefficient.
Npower Group	Accept	Accept	Whilst we support the inclusion of the CDCM within DCUSA, we are disappointed that Ofgem has determined that DCP056 and DCP057 should not be implemented. In our view, the changes to the DCUSA that would have been affected by these proposals were important in facilitating the implementation of CDCM and portfolio billing arrangements. We have read Ofgem's reasons for rejecting these change proposals, however we do feel that their concerns could have been addressed at an earlier stage in the process if they had been raised with the Panel or the IDNO/DNO Working Group.
ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd	Accept	Accept	Although ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd supports the CDCM we now have concerns regarding the implementation of DNO/IDNO billing following Ofgem's rejection of DCP 056 & 057. The main benefit of CDCM to suppliers is its transparency. However, the rejection of DCP 056 & 057 will result in suppliers having to pay for the implementation of a

			<p>process they cannot influence, due to holding no vote, or be able to forecast, as with DUoS charges. We do not believe this is a fair or equitable process for suppliers. The very limited financial information available to date on the interim or long term solutions for DNO/IDNO billing impacts all suppliers since we are unable to budget at short notice for these changes. As a result we could be left with little option but to pass the costs onto the customer (either IDNO connected or smeared over all customers).</p>
--	--	--	--