

## DCUSA Change Proposal Form

This form should be used by DCUSA Parties who wish raise a draft or formal Change Proposal and for DCUSA members and other interested parties to return their comments on DCUSA Change Proposals which have been circulated for consultation.

Originators - please complete Section A of this form and submit to [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk).

Respondents – please complete Section B of this form and return it to [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk) your response should include your assessment of the solution and comments on the Change Proposal drafting. If you reject the solution then please supply your reason for rejection and an alternative solution. Even if you reject a solution your responses should state whether the proposed implementation date is acceptable to your organisation. If it is not acceptable, please indicate the reasons why and an alternative date.

SECTION A: To be completed by originator

Document Control	
CP Status	Standard
Date Submitted	18 October 2010
CP Number*	DCP 066 A
Version Number	1.0
CP Ref*	06/10
Attachments	Appendix A – revised Schedule 15

\* Assigned by DCUSA Secretariat

Originator Details	
Party Name	EDF ENERGY NETWORKS (EPN) PLC
Originator Name	Matthew Shore
Party Category	DNO
Email Address	Matthew.shore@edfenergy.com
Telephone Number	

Change Proposal Details	
CP Title	Enhanced provision of cost information A
DCUSA Parties believed to be impacted	Distributor / Supplier
Proposal to Change	Part 1 Schedule / Clause: Clause 35A

	Schedule 15
Summary of Change	<p>As a result of the work done under the DCP 066 Working Group assessment process an alternative variation has also been developed by the DCP 066 Working Group and is sponsored by EDF Energy Networks. Both variations require DNOs to submit the same information. The difference between the proposals relates solely to the frequency and timing of the submissions.</p> <p>The CP change seeks to build upon the information provided by DCP30 by providing a separate line item for each significant contributor that make up the current Schedule 15. It is also envisaged that a commentary would be provided on a line-by-line basis. The information should allow users to track revenues from Price Control to CDCM/EDCM with each significant element itemised. This information would be provided quarterly.</p> <p>A new requirement would be for DNOs to provide a range of possible values for volatile items contained within the revised Schedule. This will aid users to understand the level of risk around future DUoS contains. As a guide values should look to describe P10 and P90 scenarios, but it is understood this may not always be possible. This would be in line with the current quarterly schedule. The DCP50 teleconferences would remain quarterly.</p> <p>It has also become evident that changes to current year, and future year, values are often impacted by changes to previous years. So, it is also proposed to include the previous year (year t-1) within Schedule 15 to aid understanding of this.</p> <p>Clause 35A would require timing updating to quarterly and the new quarterly requirement added.</p> <p>Schedule 15 to be replaced by the attached spreadsheet, now with 2 parts.</p>
Related CPs	

### Proposed Solution

The proposal will obligate DNOs to submit additional information contained within the existing table in Schedule 15 ('Table 1') which should allow users to track revenues from Price Control to CDCM/EDCM with each significant element itemised.

In addition, the CP will introduce a new requirement for DNOs to provide a range of possible values for volatile items contained within the revised Schedule 15 as 'Table 2' which should aid users in understanding the level of uncertainty around future DUoS charges.

<b>Proposed Implementation Technique</b>
Big Bang.

<b>Proposed Implementation Date</b>
<i>Please specify and give a reason if proposed date is outside the release schedule</i>

<b>DCUSA Objectives</b>
<i>Please state which DCUSA Objective(s) will be better facilitated by this CP</i>
It is considered that both the Change Proposals will better facilitate DCUSA General Objective 3.1.2.1 The proposals build on the information provided under clause 35 A, which has resulted in the regular and transparent provision of relevant information on revenues and charges to those that are directly affected by these significant costs. This has helped ensure that all Suppliers are operating in a 'level playing field' when forecasting distribution price changes and as a result minimising pricing distortion.

<b>Business Justification Including Market Benefits</b>
This additional information will allow parties to understand the levels of volatility better and reduce the levels of uncertainty.

<b>Authority Consent</b>
Yes (Part One)

---

<sup>1</sup> The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent with that) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity.