

DCUSA CHANGE DECLARATION

DCP 095 – Treatment of LV costs in the Price Control Disaggregation Model in determining tariffs to LDNOs connecting to upstream LDNOs at LV

VOTING DATE: 02 November 2011

DCP 095	WEIGHTED VOTING		
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	Accept
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Accept
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was greater than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date - ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was greater than 50% in all Categories.</p>		
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required		

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A/R)	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES			
Electricity North West Ltd	Accept	Accept	N/A
SP Distribution	Accept	Accept	N/A
SP Manweb	Accept	Accept	N/A
UKPN – Eastern Power Networks plc	Accept	Accept	We have voted to accept this proposal by a narrow margin. Although we can identify an improvement in terms of the principle of splitting LV Costs into greater detail by examining LV Mains and LV Service separately, we do however have concerns over the assumptions underlying the split values and the allocation of value assigned to LV Service.
UKPN – London Power Networks plc	Accept	Accept	We have voted to accept this proposal by a narrow margin. Although we can identify an improvement in terms of the principle of splitting LV Costs into greater detail by examining LV Mains and LV Service separately, we do however have concerns over the assumptions underlying the split values and the allocation of value assigned to LV Service.
UKPN – South Eastern Power Networks plc	Accept	Accept	We have voted to accept this proposal by a narrow margin. Although we can identify an improvement in terms of the principle of

			splitting LV Costs into greater detail by examining LV Mains and LV Service separately, we do however have concerns over the assumptions underlying the split values and the allocation of value assigned to LV Service.
WPD East Midlands	Accept	Accept	N/A
WPD South Wales	Accept	Accept	N/A
WPD South West	Accept	Accept	N/A
WPD West Midlands	Accept	Accept	N/A
IDNO PARTIES			
Energetics Electricity	Accept	Accept	N/A
ESP Electricity	Accept	Accept	ESP Electricity sees no reason why this change cannot be implemented on 1 April 2012 (or before).
Independent Power Networks	Accept	Accept	We would prefer an implementation date earlier than 1 April 2012.
The Electricity Network Company	Accept	Accept	We would prefer an implementation date earlier than 1 April 2012.
1 SUPPLIER PARTIES			

EDF	Accept	Accept	This change proposal has minimal impact on the DNO charges and improves the cost reflectivity of the CDCM.
-----	--------	--------	--