

**DCUSA CHANGE DECLARATION**

**DCP 097/097A – Changes to the method of cost allocation employed in the Price Control Disaggregation Model for DNO indirect cost categories specifically associated with the volume of customers using the DNO network**

**VOTING DATE:** 2 November 2011

DCP 097	WEIGHTED VOTING		
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION DCP 097	Reject	Reject	Accept
CHANGE SOLUTION DCP 097A	Reject	Accept	Reject
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Reject	Accept	Accept
RECOMMENDATION	<p><b>Change Solution DCP 097– REJECT</b>                      In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was not greater than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p><b>Change Solution DCP 097A – REJECT</b>                      In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was not greater than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p><b>Implementation Date – REJECT</b>                      In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was not greater than 50% in all Categories.</p>		
PART ONE / PART TWO	<b>Part One – Authority Determination Required</b>		

--	--

PARTY	SOLUTION DCP 97 (A / R)	SOLUTION DCP 97A (A/R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A/R)	COMMENTS
<b>DNO PARTIES</b>				
Electricity North West Limited	Reject	Reject	Reject	N/A
SP Distribution	Reject	Reject	Reject	N/A
SP Manweb	Reject	Reject	Reject	N/A
UKPN – Eastern Power Networks plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	<p>With the number of cost items and cost drivers under consideration there are a vast number of permutations available.</p> <p>We do not believe that it has been proven that either of these permutations is better than the one currently used.</p> <p>The narrow intent of the CP has limited a wider discussion on which are the optimal cost drivers for each of these items. We would be happy to take part in such an open discussion.</p>
UKPN – London Power Networks plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	<p>With the number of cost items and cost drivers under consideration there are a vast number of permutations available.</p> <p>We do not believe that it has been proven that either of these permutations is better than the one currently used.</p> <p>The narrow intent of the CP has limited a wider discussion on which are the optimal cost drivers for each of these</p>

				items. We would be happy to take part in such an open discussion.
UKPN – South Eastern Power Networks plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	<p>With the number of cost items and cost drivers under consideration there are a vast number of permutations available.</p> <p>We do not believe that it has been proven that either of these permutations is better than the one currently used.</p> <p>The narrow intent of the CP has limited a wider discussion on which are the optimal cost drivers for each of these items. We would be happy to take part in such an open discussion.</p>
WPD East Midlands	Reject	Reject	Reject	We believe that our head office costs use a high proportion of the EHV. The change proposal puts all these costs on the LV
WPD South Wales	Reject	Reject	Reject	We believe that our head office costs use a high proportion of the EHV. The change proposal puts all these costs on the LV
WPD South West	Reject	Reject	Reject	We believe that our head office costs use a high proportion of the EHV. The change proposal puts all these costs on the LV

WPD West Midlands	Reject	Reject	Reject	We believe that our head office costs use a high proportion of the EHV. The change proposal puts all these costs on the LV
<b>IDNO PARTIES</b>				
Energetics Electricity Ltd	Reject	Accept	Accept	N/A
ESP Electricity	Reject	Accept	Accept	ESP Electricity sees no reason why this change cannot be implemented on 1 April 2012 (or before).
Independent Power Networks	Reject	Accept	Accept	We would prefer an implementation date earlier than 1 April 2012.
The Electricity Network Company	Reject	Accept	Accept	We would prefer an implementation date earlier than 1 April 2012.
<b>1 SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
EDF Energy	Accept	Reject	Accept	N/A