# **ATTACHMENT A- RESPONSE FORM**

To: Rosalind Timperley

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk)

**Name:**

**Organisation:**

**Role:** Please Specify: Supplier/DNO/IDNO/DG/OTSO/Generator/Customer/Other

**Email Address:**

**Phone Number:**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Do you understand the intent of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Are you supportive of the principles of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **3. Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives? Please provide supporting reasons.**  CDCM Objectives:   1. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence 2. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences) 3. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business 4. That, so far as is consistent with paragraphs 13A.6A to 13A.9, the CDCM, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party’s Distribution Business 5. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.   General Objectives:   1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity 3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement 5. Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **4. Are you supportive of the proposed implementation date of 1 December 2012?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. If an Ofgem decision was received by 5 December 2012, would this permit use for the April 2013 indicatives?****Note, the solution to implement this change would be to simply remove the network group from 0000 customers in sheet 953 of the FCP EDCM model.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **6. The Working Group notes that there are other categories of customer that are deemed not to use any level 1 assets, should these customers also be exempt from the level 1 FCP charge? If so, should this be a separate Change Proposal?**  **Note, the solution to implement this change would be to simply remove the parent network group of affected level 2 network groups in sheet 911 of the FCP EDCM model.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **7. The Working Group notes that categories of customers connected directly to a BSP will still incur a level 2 FCP locational charge. Should these customers be exempt from the portion of the FCP level 2 charge that relates to the EHV network assets? If so, should this be a separate Change Proposal?**  **Note, if so, these customers will still pick up the portion of the level 2 charge that relates to BSP transformation assets. The solution to implement this change would need to be developed.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **8. Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **9. Are there any alternative solutions or matters that should be considered by the Working Group?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **10. Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? If so, please give details, and comment on whether the benefit of the change may outweigh the potential impact and whether the duration of the change is likely to be limited.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **11. Do you have any further comments?** |
|  |

**This form should be submitted to** [**DCUSA@electralink.co.uk**](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.ukl) **no later than Thursday 13 September 2012.**