

## DCUSA Change Proposal Form

This form is issued in accordance with Clause 10.5 of the DCUSA.

Completed forms should be returned to [dcusa@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk) for assessment by the DCUSA Panel. Failure to complete all parts of the form may result in it being rejected by the DCUSA Panel.

- PART A – Mandatory for all Change Proposals
- PART B – Mandatory for Non Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART C – Mandatory for Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART D – Guidance Notes

### PART A - MANDATORY FOR ALL CHANGE PROPOSALS

Document Control	
CP Status	Standard
CP Number	DCP 155
Date of submission	10 October 2012
Attachments	
Originator Details	
Company Name	British Gas
Originator Name	Kevin Woollard
Category	SUPPLIER
Email Address	Kevin.woollard@centrica.co.uk
Phone Number	07979 563580
Change Proposal Details	
CP Title	Amendment to the Intent of a CP
Impacted parties	DG / DNO / IDNO / OTSO / SUPPLIER
Impacted Clause(s)	
Part 1 / Part 2 Matter	Part 1
Related Change Proposals	
Change Proposal Intent	
<p>The DCUSA Panel recently conducted a consultation on the DCUSA Change Process. One of the questions consulted upon was whether it should be possible to amend the intent of a CP once it has been submitted into the DCUSA Change Process.</p> <p>The majority of respondents were supportive of a proposal to change the intent of a CP as long as the proposer was supportive and the intent still met the spirit of the original change.</p> <p>This change seeks to add a clause to the DCUSA to allow the Panel to approve an amendment to the intent of a CP providing both the proposer and the workgroup are supportive of the change.</p>	
Business Justification and Market Benefits	
<p>There have been a number of examples where it would have been beneficial to allow an amendment to the intent of a CP. By approving this proposal the DCUSA Change Process will be made more efficient as the alternative to this would be to withdraw the original change proposal and submit a new one with an amended intent. This will result in additional assessment work for the DCUSA secretariat and for the Panel. In addition the workgroup may have carried out consultations and work on the</p>	

original CP which would have to be carried forward to the new amended CP. This process can be confusing for industry parties when attempting to keep track on how a change is progressing as they would need to link both CPs together for continuity.

### **Proposed Solution and Draft Legal Text**

Suggest addition of new clause 11.19

The Proposer may at any time during the Definition Procedure make a written request to the Panel to amend the intent of a Change Proposal. The Panel will assess the request at the next Panel meeting following receipt of the request and will notify the Proposer of its decision as to whether the intent may be amended within 5 Working Days after the Panel meeting.

The Panel will approve the request to amend the intent only if the following conditions have been satisfied:

1. The Proposer has agreed to the change in the intent
2. Any Working Group established by the Panel to carry out the Definition Procedure activities are in agreement that the intent should be changed
3. In the opinion of the Panel the changed intent still meets the spirit of the original change

If the Panel agrees to the change in intent the Panel will instruct the Secretariat to notify all Parties of the change in intent within 5 Working Days after the Panel meeting.

### **Proposed Implementation Date**

**November 2012**

### **Impact on Other Codes**

Please tick the relevant boxes and provide any supporting information.

BSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
CUSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grid Code	<input type="checkbox"/>
MRA	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>
None	<input type="checkbox"/>

If other please specify

### **Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts**

### **Environmental Impact**

This CP has no environmental impact

<b>Confidentiality</b>

**PART B – MANDATORY FOR NON CHARGING METHODOLOGIES CHANGE PROPOSALS**

**DCUSA Objectives**

General Objectives:

Please tick the relevant boxes.

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences
- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement
- 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

**Rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above**

This change will better facilitate DCUSA objective 4 as it will enable Working Groups to continue to progress changes under the same Change Proposal rather than having to withdraw and re-submit proposals where a change to the intent is required.

**PART C – MANDATORY FOR CHARGING METHODOLOGIES PROPOSALS**

**DCUSA Charging Objectives**

Please tick the relevant boxes.

Charging Objectives:

- 1 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence
- 2 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the

generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)

- 3 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business
- 4 that, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business
- 5 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

General Objectives:

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences
- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement
- 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

**Rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above**

Charging Objectives:

General Objectives:

**Has this issue been discussed at any other industry forums? If so please specify and provide supporting documentation**

No