# **ATTACHMENT A- RESPONSE FORM**

To: Rosalind Timperley

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk)

**Name:**

**Organisation:**

**Role:** Please Specify: Supplier/DNO/IDNO/DG/OTSO/Generator/Customer/Other

**Email Address:**

**Phone Number:**

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you understand the intent of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you supportive of the principles of the CP?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives? Please provide supporting information.** CDCM Objectives:   1. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence 2. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences) 3. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business 4. That, so far as is consistent with paragraphs 13A.6A to 13A.9, the CDCM, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party’s Distribution Business 5. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.   General Objectives:   1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks 2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity 3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences 4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement 5. Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are there any alternative solutions or matters that should be considered by the Working Group?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? If so, please give details, and comment on whether the benefit of the change may outweigh the potential impact and whether the duration of the change is likely to be limited.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you supportive of the proposed implementation date of 1 April 2014?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **DNOs currently convey charge information using the charging statement. DNOs propose to display the applicability of the new charges in a new annex to the charging statement:**   * + **What level of information should be included?**   + **Do you have any suggestions on how this information should be presented?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **DNOs would need to provide a list of primary substations that are generation dominated:**   * + **Is the charging statement the best way of providing this?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **How should the implementation of this change be conveyed to the affected end-users/customers?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **The working group plans to apply the new tariffs to Independent Network Operators (IDNOs) if the IDNO is connected at HV and the primary substation is defined as generation dominated. Do you think this is appropriate and are there any implications on IDNOs or other network users.** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Do you have any other specific comments on the proposed option?** |
|  |

**This form should be submitted to** [**DCUSA@electralink.co.uk**](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.ukl) **no later than Friday 5 October 2012.**