

DCUSA CHANGE DECLARATION

DCP 217 – Rate of Return

VOTING END DATE: 24 October 2014

DCP 217	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – ACCEPT In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A/R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Accept	Accept	We agree that DCUSA Charging Objective 2 and DCUSA General Objective 1 are both better facilitated as a result of this DCP 217 by utilising an existing modelling input, whilst an enduring solution is considered, which will minimise the volatility seen in Distribution Use of System (DUoS) charges.	We also agree that this change is important, as failure to address the matter at this time would result in DCUSA not aligning to the Distribution Licence from the start of RIIO-ED1. We also note that there is further work underway outside of the DCUSA arrangements which are likely to see a further Change Proposal being raised, which would allow for all options for this element of the methodology to be considered and fully consulted upon.
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Accept	Accept		
Scottish Power - Manweb	Accept	Accept	We agree with the change reports assessment of the objectives.	No Comment Provided
Scottish Power - Distribution	Accept	Accept		
SSE - Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	We agree with the analysis in the Change Report that Charging Objective 2 is better facilitated by this CP, for the reasons set out in the Report.	No Comments Provided
SSE - Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
UKPN - Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	General Objective 1 and Charging Objective 2 are both better facilitated as this proposal uses an existing modelling input which will provide continuity for Distribution Use of System (DUoS) charging purposes.	No Comments Provided
UKPN - London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
UKPN - South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution - East Midlands plc	Accept	Accept	WPD agree with the evaluation against the DCUSA objectives set out	No Comments Provided

Western Power Distribution - South Wales plc	Accept	Accept	in the Change Report	
Western Power Distribution - South West plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution - West Midlands plc	Accept	Accept		
IDNO PARTIES				
N/A				
SUPPLIER PARTIES				
Scottish Power Energy Retail Ltd	Accept	Accept	Charging Objective 1 and General Objective 2 would be better facilitated by this proposed change as it allows the current methodology to remain, which will mitigate the potential risk of volatility in the charges until a full impact is considered at a future date.	No Comment Provided
DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES				
N/A				
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
N/A				