

DCUSA DCP 212 CHANGE DECLARATION

VOTING END DATE: 16 JANUARY 2015

DCP 212 - ALIGN THE EXTENDED PCDM MODEL WITH THE LEGAL TEXT	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Accept. In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution Choose an item. than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept. In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date Choose an item. than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	General and Charging Objective 1 and	

London Power Networks	Accept	Accept	Charging Objective 3 are all better facilitated as a result of this change proposal, as it will ensure that the Extended PCDM model is consistent with DCUSA Schedules 17 and 18.	
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	We believe this DCP principally better facilitates DCUSA Charging Objective 1 as it aligns the Charging Methodology with the charging model.	
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	We agree with the working groups view contained within the change report that this change proposal better meets DCUSA General Objectives One and DCUSA Charging Objectives One and Three	
Northern Powergrid Northeast	Accept	Accept	We agree that this change better facilitates the following objectives: General Objective 1 - The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks Charging Objective 1 - That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations	We agree that this change addresses the inconsistencies have been identified between the legal text as defined within DCUSA Schedules 17 and 18 and the current published DCUSA Extended PCDM, which was brought under the DCUSA governance arrangements following the approval of DCP 128. As there is no impact on tariffs it should be implemented in the next available DCUSA release.
Northern Powergrid Yorkshire	Accept	Accept		

			<p>imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence</p> <p>Charging Objective 3 - That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business</p>	
Western Power Distribution (South West)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South Wales)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands)	Accept	Accept		

IDNO PARTIES				
ESP Electricity	Accept	Accept	General Objective 1, Charging Objective 1, Charging Objective 3.	
GTC	Accept	Accept		

SUPPLIER PARTIES				
E.ON	Accept	Accept	General Objective 1 and Charging Objectives 1 and 3	

DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A