

DCUSA Change Proposal Form

This form is issued in accordance with Clause 10.5 of the DCUSA.

Completed forms should be returned to dcusa@electralink.co.uk for assessment by the DCUSA Panel. Failure to complete all parts of the form may result in it being rejected by the DCUSA Panel.

- PART A – Mandatory for all Change Proposals
- PART B – Mandatory for Non Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART C – Mandatory for Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART D – Guidance Notes

PART A - MANDATORY FOR ALL CHANGE PROPOSALS

| Document Control | |
|---|---|
| CP Status | Urgent |
| CP Number | DCP 258 |
| Date of submission | 11 November 2015 |
| Attachments | [See Guidance Note 1] |
| Originator Details | |
| Company Name | The Electricity Network Company |
| Originator Name | Mike Harding |
| Category | DG / DNO / IDNO / OTSO / SUPPLIER / OTHER |
| Email Address | Mike.Harding@bu-uk.co.uk |
| Phone Number | 01359 243267 |
| Change Proposal Details | |
| CP Title | PCDM Model version post DCP 117 |
| Impacted parties | DNOs and IDNOs |
| Impacted Clause(s) | Schedule 16 |
| Part 1 / Part 2 Matter | Part 2 Matter |
| Provide your rationale why you consider this change is a Part 1 or Part 2 Matter | The change simply seeks to update the PCDM version number as a consequence of DCP 117 and has no material impact. |
| Related Change Proposals | DCP 117 |
| Change Proposal Intent | |
| To update the version numbering of the Price Control Disaggregation Model (PCDM) as a consequence of DCP 117. | |
| Business Justification and Market Benefits | |
| <p>The Authority approved DCP 117 'Treatment of 'Load related new connections & reinforcement (net of contributions)' on 22 July 2015 for implementation from 1 April 2016. This change proposal made amendments to the calculation approach used in the Price Control Disaggregation Model.</p> <p>However, the legal text for DCP 117 did not propose any changes to the PCDM model version number in Schedule 16.</p> <p>The CP has been raised as Urgent as it is important that it is implemented on 1 April 2016 as this is when DCP 117 will be implemented.</p> | |

Proposed Solution and Draft Legal Text

The changes identified are to change the version number and publication date of the PCDM model shown in Schedule 16. It is envisaged it will be version 3.0 published on 1 April 2016.

The proposed legal text is:

- 3. In order to comply with this methodology statement when setting distribution Use of System Charges the DNO Party will populate and publish:

- (a) the CDCM model version 103 as issued by the Panel on 1 April 2015 ; and

- (b) the CDCM “Price Control Disaggregation” model version ~~2.0~~[XX] as issued by the Panel on ~~1 April 2014~~ [XXXXX].

The text in square brackets (model publication date and version number) is to be included by the Panel on implementation.

Proposed Implementation Date

1 April 2016

Impact on Other Codes

Please tick the relevant boxes and provide any supporting information.

- BSC
- CUSC
- Grid Code
- MRA
- SEC
- Other
- None

If other please specify

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

None

Environmental Impact

None

Confidentiality

None

PART B – MANDATORY FOR NON CHARGING METHODOLOGIES CHANGE PROPOSALS

DCUSA Objectives

General Objectives:

Please tick the relevant boxes. [See Guidance Note 9]

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences
- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement
- 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

Detailed rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above

[See Guidance Note 10]

PART C – MANDATORY FOR CHARGING METHODOLOGIES CHANGE PROPOSALS

DCUSA Charging Objectives

Please tick the relevant boxes. [See Guidance Note 11]

Charging Objectives:

- 1 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence
- 2 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector

(as defined in the Distribution Licences)

- 3 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business
- 4 that, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business
- 5 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

General Objectives:

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences
- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement
- 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

Detailed rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above

Charging Objectives:

The CP will give clarity on how distributors will comply with their licence.

General Objectives:

The CP will give clarity on how distributors will comply with their licence.

Has this issue been discussed at any other industry forums? If so please specify and provide supporting documentation

PART D – GUIDANCE NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE FORM

Guidelines for Working Group Members and Working Group Terms of Reference are available on the DCUSA Website and provide more information about the progression of the Change Process. www.dcusa.co.uk

| Ref | Data Field | Guidance |
|-----|------------|----------|
|-----|------------|----------|

| | | |
|----------|--|---|
| | | |
| 1 | Attachments | Append any proposed legal text or supporting documentation in order to better support / explain the CP. |
| 2 | Part 1 / Part 2 Matter | A CP must be categorised as a Part 1 or Part 2 matter in accordance with Clause 10.4.7 of the DCUSA. All Part 1 matters require Authority Consent. |
| 3 | Related Change Proposals | Indicate if the CP is related to or impacts any CP already in the DCUSA or other industry change process. |
| 4 | Proposed Solution and Draft Legal Text | <p>Outline the proposed solution for addressing the stated intent of the CP. The Change Proposal Intent will take precedence in the event of any inconsistency. A DCUSA Working Group may develop alternative solutions. The plain English description of the proposed solution should include the changes or additions to existing DCUSA Clauses (including Clause numbers).</p> <p>Insert proposed legal drafting (change marked against any existing DCUSA drafting) which enacts the intent of the solution. The legal text will be reviewed by the Working Group (if convened) and is likely to be subject to legal review as part of its progress through the DCUSA change process.</p> |
| 5 | Proposed Implementation Date | <p>The Change can be implemented in February, June, and November of each year or as an extraordinary release. For Charging Methodology CPs, select an implementation date which takes in to consideration the deadlines for publishing indicative tariffs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission of Company indicative tariffs is 31 December of each year. • Final tariffs are published on 1 April of each year. <p>Please select an implementation date that provides sufficient time for the change to be incorporated into the appropriate charging model and the DCUSA in order to be reflected within the December indicative tariffs.</p> <p>Contact the DCUSA helpdesk for any further information on the releases dcusa@electralink.co.uk.</p> |
| 6 | Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts | Indicate whether this Change Proposal will be impacted by or have an impact upon wider industry developments. If an impact is identified, explain why the benefit of the Change Proposal may outweigh the potential impact and indicate the likely duration of the Change. |
| 7 | Environmental Impact | Indicate whether it is likely that there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions as a result of the proposed variation being made. Please see Ofgem Guidance . |

| | | |
|-----------|--|---|
| | | |
| 8 | Confidentiality | Clearly indicate if any parts of this Change Proposal Form are to remain confidential to DCUSA Panel (and any subsequent DCUSA Working Group) and Ofgem. |
| 9 | DCUSA General Objectives | Indicate which of the DCUSA Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal. |
| 10 | Detailed Rationale for DCUSA Objectives | Provide detailed supporting reasons and information (including any initial analysis that supports your views) to demonstrate why the CP will better facilitate each of the DCUSA Objectives identified. |
| 11 | DCUSA Charging Objectives | Indicate which of the DCUSA Charging Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal. Please note that a CDCM or EDCM change may also facilitate the DCUSA General objectives. |