

DCUSA DCP 234 CHANGE DECLARATION

VOTING END DATE: 8 JULY 2016

DCP 234 - MERGING THE PCDM AND EXTENDED PCDM	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Part 1 Matters</p> <p>Change Solution – Accept.</p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50%.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept.</p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50%.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				

Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	<p>We believe that both DCUSA charging objectives 1 & 2 are better facilitated by this change.</p> <p>Objective 1 is better facilitated by creating a more efficient charging methodology with one less model to maintain and understand.</p> <p>Objective 2 is better facilitated as the proposed new model is easier to understand. This aids understanding of the charging methodologies and thus ensures that the charging methodologies do not restrict competition in the distribution of electricity.</p>	None.
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Accept	Accept	<p>We feel the proposal better facilitates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charging Objective 1 as DNOs will only need to populate one PCDM model to remain compliant with the DCUSA; and • Charging Objective 2 as the consolidation of the legal text will aid transparency and make it easier for Parties to understand LDNO discount calculation. In particular, 	n/a
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Accept	Accept		

			<p>the consolidation of information will make it easier for a new market entrant to understand the calculation of discounts.</p> <p>Ultimately we hope that this change will enable Parties to bring forward changes to update the input data and calculation methods being used to calculate LDNO discounts. So in the long-run we believe this change also has the potential to enable changes to be brought forward to better facilitate Charging Objective 3 (cost reflectivity) as the input data could more easily be brought up to date and calculation methods updated as required.</p>	
SP Distribution	Accept	Accept	We agree with the Working Group that DCUSA Charging Objectives 1 and 2 and DCUSA General Objectives 2 and 3 would be better facilitated by the implementation of DCP 234.	n/a
SP Manweb	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	We are In agreement with the Change Report that Charging Objectives 1 and 2 are better facilitated, for the reasons outlined in the Report.	N/A
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		n/a

London Power Networks	Accept	Accept	We believe that DCUSA Charging Objective 1 and 2 will be better facilitated as a result of this change. It would provide greater efficiency in the population of the PCDM model, as only one model (rather than two) will need to be updated, which would also make further changes to this part of the methodology easier to bring forward in future. The new model is also easier to understand; as a result it should make the whole process more transparent for parties.	
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
WPD West Midlands	Accept	Accept	General objectives 2 and 3. Charging objectives 1 and 2.	n/a
WPD East Midlands	Accept	Accept		
WPD South Wales	Accept	Accept		
WPD South West	Accept	Accept		
IDNO PARTIES				
The electricity network company limited	Accept	Accept	We agree with the working group's assertion in the change report that DCUSA Charging Objectives one and two are better facilitated by this change proposal. We agree with the reasoning for this provided by the working group in the change report.	None

SUPPLIER PARTIES				
n/a				

DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES				
n/a				

GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
n/a				