








Part A: Generic

DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP)		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>DCP 290:</h1> <h2>Housekeeping change to Update PDCM model references</h2> <p>Date raised: 17 January 2017</p> <p>Proposer Name: Peter Waymont</p> <p>Company Name: Eastern Power Networks</p> <p>Company Category: DNO</p>		<p>01 – Change Proposal</p> <p>02 – Consultation</p> <p>03 – Change Report</p> <p>04 – Change Declaration</p>
<p>Purpose of Change Proposal:</p> <p>The intent of this Change Proposal is to facilitate the updating of the title, model number and issue date of the PDCM as referenced in Schedules 16, 17 and 18, which was not correctly applied in the legal text of DCP234.</p>		
	<p>Governance:</p> <p>The Proposer recommends that this Change Proposal should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part 2 Matter Treated as a Standard Change Proceed to Change Report <p>The Panel will consider the proposer’s recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>	
	<p>Impacted Parties: DNO and IDNOs</p>	
	<p>Impacted Clauses: Schedule 16 Clause 1, Schedules 17 and 18 Clause 1.3.</p>	

Contents		?	Any questions?
1	Summary	2	Contact:
2	Governance	3	Code Administrator
3	Why Change?	3	 DCUSA@electralink.co.uk
4	Solution and Legal Text	3	 02074323000
5	Code Specific Matters	3	Proposer: Peter Waymont
6	Relevant Objectives	5	 peter.waymont@ukpowernetworks.co.uk
7	Impacts & Other Considerations	5	 07875 112757
8	Implementation		
9	Recommendations		
Indicative Timeline			
The Secretariat recommends the following timetable:			
Initial Assessment Report	18 January 2017		
Consultation Issued to Industry Participants	dd month year		
Change Report Approved by Panel	dd month year		
Change Report issued for Voting	dd month year		
Party Voting Closes	dd month year		
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	dd month year		
[Change Declaration Issued to Authority]	dd month year		
[Authority Decision]	dd month year		

1 Summary

What?

References to the Price Control Disaggregation Model in the Charging Methodologies need to be changed.

Why?

The necessary changes were not identified as part of DCP 234.

How?

By updating the references to the model, model number and issue date.

2 Governance

Justification for Part 1 and Part 2 Matter

This Change Proposal (CP) is considered a Part 2 Matter as it is a housekeeping change to correct references to the model.

Requested Next Steps

This Change Proposal should:

- Be treated as a Part 2 Matter
- Be treated as a Standard Change
- Proceed to Change Report

3 Why Change?

To ensure the DCUSA has the correct references to the model to be used.

Part B: Code Specific Details

4 Solution and Legal Text

In Schedule 16 amend Clause 3 as follows;

3 (b) the ~~EDCM~~ “Price Control Disaggregation” model version [] as issued by the Panel on [].

In both Schedules 17 and 18 amend Clause 1.3 as follows;

1.3 (b) the ~~EDCM~~ “Price Control Disaggregation” model (~~extended method M~~) version ~~2-0~~ [] as issued by the Panel on ~~01-April-2016~~ [].

The proposed legal text delivers the solution by recognising that there is one combined model as a result of DCP234 and ensuring that all relevant parts of DCUSA correctly reference it.

5 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

N/A

6 Relevant Objectives

DCUSA Charging Objectives	Identified impact
Please tick the relevant boxes. [See Guidance Note 10]	

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 3 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business	
<input type="checkbox"/> 4 that, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	
DCUSA General Objectives	Identified impact
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks	None
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity	None
<input type="checkbox"/> 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences	None
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	Positive
<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None
<p>Although this change impacts Schedules 16,17 and 18, it is not a change to the methodologies but is merely a housekeeping change to correct references to the model.</p>	

7 Impacts & Other Considerations

There will be no cross-code impact.

Does this Change Proposal impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

N/A

Does this Change Proposal Impact Other Codes?

Please tick the relevant boxes and provide any supporting information. [\[See Guidance Note 6\]](#)

- BSC
- CUSC
- Grid Code
- MRA
- SEC
- Other
- None

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

N/A

Confidentiality

This CP is not confidential.

8 Implementation

This CP should be implemented on the 01 April 2018 to align with the implementation of DCP 234 'Merging the PCDM and extended PCDM',

Proposed Implementation Date

1 April 2018

9 Recommendations

The Code Administrator will provide a summary of any recommendations/determinations provided by the Panel in considering the initial Change Proposal. This will form part of a Final Change Report.

Part C: Guidance Notes for Completing the Form

Ref	Section	Guidance
-----	---------	----------

1	Attachments	Append any proposed legal text or supporting documentation in order to better support / explain the CP.
2	Governance	<p>A CP must be categorised as a Part 1 or Part 2 matter in accordance with Clause 10.4.7 of the DCUSA. All Part 1 matters require Authority Consent.</p> <p>Part 1 Matter</p> <p>A change Proposal is considered a Part 1 Matter if it satisfies one or more of the following criteria:</p> <p>a) it is likely to have a significant impact on the interests of electricity consumers;</p> <p>b) it is likely to have a significant impact on competition in one or more of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. the generation of electricity; ii. the distribution of electricity; iii. the supply of electricity; and iv. any commercial activities connected with the generation, distribution or supply of electricity; <p>c) it is likely to discriminate in its effects between one Party (or class of Parties) and another Party (or class of Parties);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. it is directly related to the safety or security of the Distribution Network; and ii. it concerns the governance or the change control arrangements applying to the DCUSA; and iii. it has been raised by the Authority or a DNO/IDNO Party pursuant to Clause 10.2.5, and/or the Authority has made one or more directions in relation to it in accordance with Clause 11.9A. <p>Part 2 Matter</p> <p>A CP is considered a Part 2 Matter if it is proposing to change any actual or potential provisions of the DCUSA which does not satisfy one or more of the criteria set out above.</p>
3	Related Change Proposals	Indicate if the CP is related to or impacts any CP already in the DCUSA or other industry change process.

4	Proposed Solution and Draft Legal Text	<p>Outline the proposed solution for addressing the stated intent of the CP. The Change Proposal Intent will take precedence in the event of any inconsistency. A DCUSA Working Group may develop alternative solutions.</p> <p>The plain English description of the proposed solution should include the changes or additions to existing DCUSA Clauses (including Clause numbers).</p> <p>Insert proposed legal drafting (change marked against any existing DCUSA drafting) which enacts the intent of the solution. The legal text will be reviewed by the Working Group (if convened) and is likely to be subject to legal review as part of its progress through the DCUSA change process.</p>
5	Proposed Implementation Date	<p>The Change can be implemented in February, June, and November of each year or as an extraordinary release. For Charging Methodology CPs, select an implementation date which takes into consideration the minimum notice periods for publishing tariffs. These are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15 months, for DNOs acting within their Distribution Services Areas; or • 14 months, for IDNOs and DNOs acting outside their Distribution Services Area. <p>Please select an implementation date that provides sufficient time for the Change to be incorporated into the appropriate charging model and the DCUSA in order to be reflected in future tariffs.</p> <p>Contact the DCUSA helpdesk for any further information on the releases dcusa@electralink.co.uk.</p>
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	<p>Indicate whether this Change Proposal will be impacted by or have an impact upon wider industry developments. If an impact is identified, explain why the benefit of the Change Proposal may outweigh the potential impact and indicate the likely duration of the Change.</p>
7	Environmental Impact	<p>Indicate whether it is likely that there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions as a result of the proposed variation being made. Please see Ofgem Guidance.</p>
8	Confidentiality	<p>Clearly indicate if any parts of this Change Proposal Form are to remain confidential to DCUSA Panel (and any subsequent DCUSA Working Group) and Ofgem</p>
9	DCUSA General Objectives	<p>Indicate which of the DCUSA Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal.</p>
10	Detailed Rationale for DCUSA Objectives	<p>Provide detailed supporting reasons and information (including any initial analysis that supports your views) to demonstrate why the CP will better facilitate each of the DCUSA Objectives identified.</p>

11	DCUSA Charging Objectives	Indicate which of the DCUSA Charging Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal. Please note that a CDCM or EDCM change may also facilitate the DCUSA General objectives.
12	Defining 'Material' for Charging Methodology Changes	In respect of proposals to vary one or more of the Charging Methodologies, such proposals shall be deemed to be "material" if they might reasonably be expected to have a significant impact on the tariffs calculated under one or more of the methodologies.