

DCUSA DCP 298 CHANGE DECLARATION**VOTING END DATE:** 14 JULY 2017

DCP 298	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	n/a	Reject	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	n/a	Reject	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Reject.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was less than 65% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Reject.</p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was less than 65% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part Two – Authority Determination Not Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	We agree with the Proposer that this change will better facilitate General Objective 4 as it removes an unnecessary and possibly misleading provision, improving efficiency.	

DCUSA DCP 298 CHANGE DECLARATION

Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Accept	Accept	General Objective Four is better met as removing unnecessary/redundant clauses from the agreement promotes efficiency in the implementation and administration of the agreement.	
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Accept	Accept		
SP Manweb plc	Accept	Accept		No additional comments
SP Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	We agree with the Change Report that with implementation of DCP279, Clause 3.6 of Schedule 1 is no longer required. It is therefore beneficial to remove the unnecessary provision and obligation from DCUSA. This aligns with DCUSA Objective 4 in our view.	No.
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	General Objective Four - By removing Clause 3.6 from Schedule 1, an unnecessary and possibly misleading provision is removed, thereby improving efficiency	
London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands)	Accept	Accept	General Objective Four - The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement	
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South Wales)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South West)	Accept	Accept		

DCUSA DCP 298 CHANGE DECLARATION

IDNO PARTIES				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SUPPLIER PARTIES				
British Gas	Reject	Reject	<p>We don't think any are better facilitated.</p> <p>It seems to us to be more efficient for each DNO party to send an email notifying suppliers of the new RAV value than to require all suppliers to find the new RAV value that will apply for each DNO regions.</p> <p>The existing process is also more transparent, particularly for smaller suppliers. For instance, the legal text doesn't state where to source the price control financial model that contains the relevant information, or when a party can expect it to be published each year.</p>	
SSE Energy Supply	Accept	Accept	<p>We believe this better facilitates General objective 4 :</p> <p>The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA</p>	

DCUSA DCP 298 CHANGE DECLARATION

DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A