

MRA Update

IREG met on Wednesday 11th February and covered the following areas:

SPF0084 - Service Termination Equipment information (RFI Responses)

IREG discussed the new Service Termination Equipment Information solution however it was decided to park the solution pending further development of the commercial arrangements. An issue form has been raised to DCUSA and pending the feedback from the solution will be revisited by IREG.

MIF167 - D0135 & D0126 - Asset Condition Report / Asset condition Response Clearance Review

Issues raised within the RFI responses were discussed, nine issues were commented raised by IREG. Many of the issues were to do with operational issues which could be looked at alongside changing the valid set value descriptions under DTC CP 3446. Two of the issues regarded the D0135 Data flow regarding the notification that a visit was regarding a smart meter install and in the event that the meter serial number is not known. Two changes were agreed, the first to include a flag which will indicate if the visit was regarding the installation of a smart meter, the second is to change the obligation from mandatory to on the Meter ID (Serial Number) in group 258. This change was agreed to have an implementation date of November 2015 in line with the other DTC changes.

DTC CP 3445 - Update to J1824 - Asset Condition Code

In line with the discussions regarding the Service Termination Equipment Information Data Flow it was agreed that no Data Items should be moved into the new Data Flow as this solution is still pending DCUSA outcomes. Discussion surrounding two of the additional codes, C17 and C18 resulted in the agreement that both of these codes should be removed from the proposed drafting. It was agreed that a solution regarding the communication of shared fuses still needs to take place but it was this was not the right place for them. The change will progress to the February MDB for Impact Assessment timings without proposal for Asset Condition Codes C17 and C18.

DTC CP 3446 - Additional Asset Condition Report Response changes to the D0126 Data Flow

The Additional Asset Condition responses were contained within the RFI, respondents were generally happy with the changes however they wanted some definitions changed. In the meeting amendments to the Data Item description as well as changes to valid set value descriptions were agreed. IREG agreed for this change to go to MDB for Impact Assessment timings in February.

MIF159 - Electric Vehicle Charge points in ECOES

IREG discussed if having Electric Vehicle Charge Point (EVCP) information would be valuable in ECOES. Following a meeting with the ENA and Gemserv it was noted that the number of registrations has reduced in recent months due to a government incentive scheme finishing and now was not as much of a pressing issue. Points were raised to note that this is not registration information which is the intention of ECOES but benefits could be found in implementation of this would provide a proactive approach as EVCP's are only going to

increase. It was decided by IREG that this should be parked and if needed this can be brought back to IREG as a solution has been defined.

MIF158 - ASC values in ECOES

The summary of responses were provided to IREG, where it was outlined that there was no preferred solution by the whole group. It was agreed that the solution impacts DCUSA and this should be looked at as currently some issues are restricting the solution whereby the information cannot be shared without customers permission. E.ON have agreed to take this to DCUSA and will report back to IREG with the results of discussions before the agenda item will return to IREG.

MIF165 - D0168 Process Governance (RFI Responses)

Further responses on the logical requests Distribution Businesses expect D0168 flows for were received, the responses did not show any consistent approach. IREG agreed that due to all the variation, contact details should be provided with the flow and if the Distribution Business require more information they will call the Supplier. Suppliers questioned how these requests would be rejected and it was agreed if contact details were provided, they should be contacted why it has been rejected. It was agreed for a working practise to be drafted which will be brought back to IREG to agree and will give parties guidance on how to populate the requests.

MIF169 - Adding a Whole Current, CT and VT flag on ECOES

IREG discussed adding this information to ECOES, however it was quickly highlighted that data quality held by Distribution Businesses was not always accurate. It was agreed for this to go back to the raising party to look at the information required and if this is accurately held allowing it to be populated on ECOES. It was also raised that a view to add Measurement Class to the ECOES DVD as it is not already captured. Parties noted that this can be derived from the LLFC ID however this would require interpretation as each Distribution Business is different