

Meeting Session	Interventions Working Group
Paper Reference	IWG 20170117
Action	For Information

## Actions

This paper outlines the actions from the Interventions Working Group.

### Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
DCP 195A 04/03	Provide your view on whether you are happy for an aggregated version of the DECC SMETs 1 and SMETS 2 rollout report to be shared with Distributors.	Suppliers	<p>DNO attendees noted that having an aggregated view at a GSP level would be very useful. In response, it was noted that Suppliers may not be reporting by GSP group.</p> <p>It was suggested that Andy Clay from DECC should contact the Supplier representatives that provide the reports to DECC to seek their permission. SB agreed to contact Andy to suggest he seek approval from the Suppliers that send in the reports.</p>

			<p>26 April – it was suggested that Suppliers could leave the DECC tab in the rollout spreadsheet that they send to DNOs, so that DNOs receive the SMETS1 and SMETS2 data too. The more information DNOs receive, the better their resource plans will be, it will also help with DCC demand forecasting.</p> <p>It was suggested that the data could be formally requested from DECC at the Smart Meter Operations Group (SMOG). John Grey agreed to raise this at the SMOG meeting on 5 May 2016.</p> <p>26 July - Chair asked if a note should be issued from DCUSA to ask all Suppliers if the data could be shared, to get full agreement – though members noted a request for this had been run before. DECC noted IA responses should shed some light on SMETS, but cannot give a timeframe for publication.</p> <p>13 December - It was queried who needed to agree to share the SMETS 1 and 2 data, to which members discussed that agreement would be required from Suppliers. IWG agreed to send a request to Suppliers to provide a rollout plan for SMETS 1 and 2, to be issued to DCUSA Contract Managers. It was suggested that any responses received are collated by ElectraLink and anonymised in one report</p>
03/03	DNOs to discuss at the next STIG meeting whether they can provide guidance for their areas that would point MOPs in the right direction, with regards to ownership of private rising or laterals.	DNOs	<p>18 October - Members agreed to leave the action as on-going, in order for updates to be provided on the ENA and UKPN documents.</p> <p>13 December - It was highlighted that two DNOs are currently reviewing their policies and as such, an overview from all six DNOs could not be gained. Members discussed that the four policies which had been reviewed could be shared, with a view to including the other two policies once they were finalised. IWG agreed this would be a good approach.</p>
03/07	Members agreed that it would be useful to note how many Category As and Bs are mis-recorded, and their corresponding items, so this can be targeted. DNOs to supply the data.	DNOs	<p>18 October - IWG reviewed a spreadsheet of statistics for one of the licence areas, as submitted by one of the members. The spreadsheet highlighted that two thirds of the misreports are from mis-categorisation, the largest of which is for the A07 code. One member added that around 15% of their reports are in relation to mis-categorisation. It was proposed that the ENA could note</p>

			<p>these statistics and devise a way to share the information concerning mis-categorisation and misreporting.</p> <p>13 December - It was summarised that a solution needs to encompass safety aspects, the customers time and the reporting of the issue on site. It was suggested that the shrouding specification should be agreed by the Group, and the creation of a B Code should be investigated.</p> <p>Corresponding action 05/01.</p>
04/02	IWG agreed that MM would take an action to raise a CP to challenge the proposed implementation date of Data Transfer Catalogue (DTC) CP 3502 – Amending J1824 – Asset Condition Code.	MM	<p>13 December - MM outlined that this was completed, as the comments were fed back to the Master Registration Agreement (MRA) and the change was withdrawn. It was noted that the main issue was the implementation date of June 2017, as any code changes would now be delayed to the next standard release of November 2017, due to the system impacts.</p> <p>Members discussed that primarily, the A07 code was the main concern. IWG agreed to review the MOCOPA guidance under agenda item 4.</p>
05/01	PA to redline the MOCOPA Guidance for the A07 and C15 code changes and send for review by IWG.	PA	Complete: Issued to members for the meeting on 17 January 2017.
05/02	KW and DW to raise the DCUSA Change Proposal concerning an amendment to the scope of MOCOPA to state that equipment could be changed by the MOP which is not owned by their Supplier.	KW and DW	
05/03	SP to check the voltage measures are aligned to the specification, which notes 50 volts.	SP	

05/04	ElectraLink to circulate dates for 2017, for the meetings to be held on a bi-monthly basis.	ElectraLink	Complete: Shared to IWG as part of the meeting papers for 17 January 2017.
06/01	RH took an action to provide some photos with relevant commentary for the C15 code.	RH	
06/02	ElectraLink to circulate the redlined code changes with the post meeting documents and also share them with Gemserv, in order for the MOCOPA Working Group to have sight of them	ElectraLink	
06/03	Members to provide some photos with relevant commentary for the A04 code.	All	
06/04	ElectraLink to see if every third Monday of the month (bi-monthly) could be booked for IWG.	ElectraLink	