

DCUSA Panel Meeting 136

18 October 2017 at 10:00

ElectraLink, Ground Floor, Grafton House, 2-3 Golden Square, London W1F 9HR

Attendee	Representing	Company
Panel Members		
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Alex Travell [AT]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Kevin Woollard [KW]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Peter Waymont [PW]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Thomas Cadge [TC]	Panel Member	DCUSA Ltd
Observers (Open Session Only)		
Andy Bard [AB]	Observer	MRA
Matthew McKeon [MM]	Observer	BSC
Vivian Marangoni [VM] (teleconference)	Observer	Ofgem
Colin Down [CD] (Part Meeting)	Observer	Ofgem
Code Administrator		
Michelle Simpson [MS]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Joseph Underwood [JU]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Angelo Fitzhenry [AF]	Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd
Dylan Townsend [DT]	Technical Secretariat	ElectraLink Ltd

Apologies	Company
Julia Haughey [JH]	DCUSA Ltd

Part One: General (Open Session)

1. Administration

- 1.1 Subject to the amendments outlined below, the minutes of the last meeting were approved as an accurate record of proceedings.
- The second sentence of paragraph 9.2 should read Part (ii) of item 5 and not Part (ii) of item 7. The Secretariat was also asked to cross reference this against the action and the action log.

ACTION: Panel _136/01: ElectraLink to amend the minutes of the previous (open session) meeting as follows:

- **The second sentence of paragraph 9.2 should read Part (ii) of item 5 and not Part (ii) of item 7. The Secretariat was also asked to cross reference this against the action and the action log.**

- 1.2 The Panel reviewed the open actions, updates on all actions are set out in Appendix A. The following action was taken during the review of the actions:

2. DCUSA Operational Plan

- 2.1 The Panel noted the contents of the DCUSA Operational Plan (see Appendix B). The Chair highlighted that this is a standing agenda item, however considered that this particular activity could be closed dependent upon the outcome of the DCMDG Update paper.

3. Monitoring Activities Log

- 3.1 The Panel noted the contents of the DCUSA Monitoring Activities Log (see Appendix B).
- On the 'Implementation of CMA changes' item, the Panel noted that dates have been confirmed for an industry workshop on the Implementation of CMA changes.
 - On the 'Significant Code Review: Ofgem consultation on Central Registration Service provision' item, the Panel noted that a consultation titled 'Delivering Faster and More Reliable Switching: proposed new switching arrangements' has been issued. It was also noted that the scope of a Retail Energy Code (REC) relating to the provision of faster switching is set out within the document. The Panel requested that the Secretariat review the consultation and confirm if there are references to TRAS and if so can be noted in the log. It was noted that the SPAA EC plan to speak with Ofgem about TRAS going into a single REC.
 - On the 'Significant Code Review: Half Hourly Settlement' item, MM noted that a high-level plan has been produced for progression of ELEXON led work to support Ofgem in its Significant Code Review (SCR) on Mandatory Half-Hourly Settlement (HHS). It was noted that documents are available on the ELEXON website but is considered an external group and not a BSC committee. The DWG has had its first meeting where they discussed three strawman options. MM noted that a link is available to access the DWG page on the ELEXON website and the Panel requested for the Secretariat to add the link to the Monitoring Activities Log.

**ACTION: Panel _136/02: ElectraLink to review the Ofgem consultation titled 'Delivering Faster and More Reliable Switching: proposed new switching arrangements' and confirm if there are references to TRAS and if so note the references in the log.
ElectraLink to add the link to the DWG page on the ELEXON website to the Monitoring Activities Log.**

4. CACoP Update

- 4.1 AF presented the CACoP Update paper to the Panel. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_01) AF asked for the Panel to approve the draft Terms of Reference (ToR) which acted as Attachment 1 to the paper. CD explained the work that is carried out by Code Administrators and how this is moving towards the new CMA remedies. CD noted that some Code Administrators aren't necessarily empowered to make decisions regarding low level items and explained that on the back of this, the wider CACoP group are looking for the support of the Panel to empower the Code Administrators to make low level decisions on behalf of the codes.
- 4.2 One Panel member noted that the term 'Code Body' is used as a capitalised term but questioned if this should be the case as it is not one that is used across the industry. The Panel members also questioned how the term 'Code Body' relates to the members of the group as it appears there is a mix of different organisations. The Panel questioned the appropriateness of including the company name of the incumbent Code Administrator for each code or whether it would best state the DCUSA Code Administrator. The Panel also questioned how the DCC fit into the membership as they are not a Code Administrator. The Panel suggested that the term 'Code Body' needs to be defined.
- 4.3 The Panel also questioned the reasoning behind the inclusion of an 'opt out' clause as set out in paragraph 2.3 which states "Members shall inform the secretary if they do not wish to continue as a member of the group." CD explained that Ofgem have no desire to force people to go and as such included the clause in the ToR.
- 4.4 One Panel member questioned if there any examples of low level decisions that the Code Administrators had needed to make that may not have needed Panel approval. CD noted that one example would be the paper drafted by Code Administrators in response to a consultation.
- 4.5 The Panel noted that feedback on the ToR had been provided but approval had not given due to the areas noted above.

5. Updates from Industry Codes

Balancing Settlement Code (BSC)

- 5.1 MM noted that that no update paper was provided to the Panel this month and that there were no further updates other than those that have already been discussed as part of the prior agenda items.

Master Registration Agreement (MRA)

- 5.2 The MRA update was circulated prior to the meeting. The following items were noted by AB during the meeting:

The MRA Development Board (MDB) Update

- The MDB last convened on 28 September 2017
- DTC CP 3518 – 'Changes to D0338 Data Flow' was reviewed and accepted by the MDB.
- SPF 101 – 'Moving the GDAA into the MRA' has gone back to proposer and the proposer will be asked to submit back to IREG
- It was noted that there are a number of changes that are out for Impact Assessments for a period of 10, 30 and 35 Working days.

Issues Resolution Expert Group (IREG) Update

- The IREG last met on 11 October 2017, the following items were covered:
 - MIF238 – ‘Changes to WP152 Requesting Additional or New MPANs’, it was noted that IREG considered the processes and noted that the Proposer will work with a Supplier to bring either an amended MIF or new MIF to the next IREG.
 - The next DTC Release is due on 2 November 2017 and it will include CP3504 ‘J0024 – Creation of Valid Set Values 50-58’ and CP3505 ‘J1835 – New valid set value of ‘Failed’’
- It was noted that the next IREG meeting is scheduled for 08 November 2017.

Ofgem

- 5.3 VM noted that there is no formal update this month other than the updates that have already been discussed as part of the prior agenda items.
- 5.4 The Chair requested for an update regarding the Authority decision on DCP 268 ‘DUoS Charging Using HH settlement data’ and VM took an action to follow up with colleagues and to provide the DCUSA Panel with an update.

POST MEETING NOTE: The Authority’s decision to send back DCP 268 was received on 20 October 2017.

Smart Energy Code (SEC)

- 5.5 The SEC update was circulated prior to the meeting. The Panel noted the contents of the update.

6. Accession Applications

- 6.1 JU presented the Accession Applications cover paper to the Panel. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_02). The paper presented the Accession Application for Washington Energy Limited for consideration by the Panel.
- 6.2 For the Accession Application for Washington Energy Limited, the Panel agreed to:
- Instruct the Panel Secretary to notify the Party and the Authority of the Applicants identity and the information which will form the Party details;
 - Instruct the Panel Secretary to prepare an Accession Agreement for the Applicant; and
 - That the Board is to execute an Accession Agreement on behalf of all Parties.
- 6.3 ElectraLink took an action to process the Accession Applications.

ACTION: Panel _136/03: ElectraLink to progress the Accession Application for Washington Energy Limited.

7. Charging Methodology Models for 01 April 2019

- 7.1 DT presented the Charging Methodology Models for 01 April 2019 paper which was circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_03). The Paper set out that there is only one Charging Methodology CP (DCP 268) that, subject to Authority approval, will be implemented in April 2019.

- 7.2 The Panel noted that they will take a ‘watch and wait’ approach for the moment as had requested an update from the Authority which VM had taken as an action. The Panel requested for the Secretariat to contact the DCUSA Modelling Consultant to confirm if any further modelling will be required or if the models produced as part of the Working Group phase for DCP 268 will be sufficient.

ACTION: Panel _136/04: ElectraLink to contact the DCUSA Modelling Consultant for confirmation if any further modelling will be required or if the models produced as part of the Working Group phase for DCP 268 will be sufficient.

8. Derogation Update

- 8.1 JU presented the Derogation Update paper which was circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_04). The paper provided an update on the progression of the Tru Energy Limited (Formerly Huddle Energy Ltd) derogation which was granted on 19 July 2017 and ends on 31 October 2017.
- 8.2 The Panel noted that if by the end of the month, Tru Energy Limited don’t have a system in place to meet the requirements as set out in TRAS Schedule 25, Section ‘Supplier Data’ then they would need to gain an extension to their derogation. The Panel noted Tru Energy Limited’s progress to date and requested for the Secretariat to contact Tru Energy Limited and detail that they apply for derogation extension with a sensible timeline which reflects the stage that they are up to. The Panel requested for a response deadline of five working days be given and then the for the Secretariat to submit the derogation extension to the Panel ex-committee for approval.

ACTION: Panel _136/05: ElectraLink to contact Tru Energy Limited and explain that the Panel have requested that they apply for derogation extension with a sensible timeline which reflects the stage that they are up to. The derogation extension should be sent back within five working days and then submitted to the Panel ex-committee for approval.

9. DCMDG Headline Report

- 9.1 AF presented the DCMDG Headline Report which was circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_05). AF asked for the Panel to approve the DCMDG Terms of Reference (ToR) which acted as Attachment 1 to the paper.
- 9.2 One Panel member noted that the third bullet point under paragraph 2.1 which states “Discard issues as requiring no further action or to develop and propose cost / risk based solutions to issues;” doesn’t make sense. AF noted that the objectives of the DCMDG set out in the ToR are the same objectives that are set out within the DCUSA. The Chair questioned if there is a difference between the 4th bullet point and the 6th bullet point which state “Identify the impact of such solutions on the DCUSA;” and “Carry out a pre-assessment of issues and provide the Panel with an early view as to the potential impact of Change Proposals that may be proposed from time to time;”.
- 9.3 The Chair noted that the ToR should detail a well-defined pre-modification process relating to the charging methodologies set out in the DCUSA. The Chair also noted that the two areas requiring completion from Ofgem’s CGR3 final proposals have not been covered off by ToRs, which had been requested by the Panel at their meeting in September. The Chair raised his views as to the uncertainty of what the DCMDG is actually there to do and questioned what the pre-modification process would look like and achieve. It was further questioned if the DCMDG will just discuss issues

and potential solutions which will then turn into Change Proposals to be progressed via further Working Groups or whether the DCMDG will progress issues and solutions to the Change Report stage. It was requested that the Panel be provided with options as to how the DCMDG will operate in future as there is no well-defined process as it stands.

ACTION: Panel _136/06: ElectraLink to ensure that the DCMDG discuss how they wish to run and operate in the future and in doing so create a well-defined pre-modification process for issues and solutions relating to the charging methodologies. The options that come out of the discussion are to be presented to the Panel.

- 9.4 The Panel noted that paragraphs 12.1 and 12.3 seem to be covering the same area and questioned the need for both paragraphs. It was also noted that if Schedule 28 in the DCUSA needs to be updated in the future to align to the ToR then a Change Proposal can be raised to facilitate such a change but the fact that the two may not align should not stop any amendments to the ToR.
- 9.5 The Panel requested for the Secretariat to complete a general tidy up of the ToR with the points noted above in mind and for the DCMDG to discuss the two items that remain on the actions log from Ofgem's CGR3 Final Proposals.

ACTION: Panel _136/07: ElectraLink to complete a general tidy up of the DCMDG ToR as noted in the minutes and to ensure ElectraLink to ensure that the DCMDG discuss the two items that remain on the actions log from Ofgem's CGR3 Final Proposals.

- 9.6 The Panel approved the appointment of Angelo Fitzhenry as the Chair for the DCMDG.

10. SIG Headline Report

- 10.1 JU presented the SIG Headline Report which was circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_06). Panel noted that a proposed way forward DIF 51 'Letters of Credit and Bank Credit Ratings' has support from the SIG members but that a sponsor is needed to raise a Change Proposal.
- 10.2 The Chair questioned if the proposer of DIF 52 'Operational Contacts' wishes to close the issue down or to leave it open for the provision on updates to the SIG. ElectraLink took an action to confirm how the proposer of DIF 52 would like to proceed.

ACTION: Panel _136/08: ElectraLink to contact the proposer of DIF 52 'Operational Contacts' to ask if they wish to close the issue down or to leave it open for the provision on updates to the SIG

11. Ofgem's Faster Switching Programme Update

- 11.1 DT provided the Panel with a verbal update on Ofgem's Faster Switching Programme. The update covered the following;
- End to End Design Team: The design work is coming to a conclusion with the publishing of WAVE4 for industry review. Parties now have the consultation.
 - Regulatory Design Team: Continue to work on transitional and enduring governance arrangements, which will be better informed once the consultation closes.

12. DCUSA Action Plan on Ofgem's CGR3 Final Proposals

- 12.1 DT presented the DCUSA Action Plan on Ofgem's CGR3 Final Proposals which was circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_07). The Panel noted that as stated during the 'Administration' agenda item, they believe the incorrect item was referenced in the minutes and that

part (ii) of item 5 along with item 6 is still to be discussed by the DCMDG and incorporated into the ToR for the DCMDG. The Panel agreed that there are still two outstanding items to be closed off on the CGR3 Action Plan.

ACTION: Panel _136/09: ElectraLink to amend the Action Plan for Ofgem’s CGR3 Final Proposals by re-opening part (ii) of item 5.

Part Two: Change Administration (Open Session)

13. Change Register

13.1 The Secretariat presented the Change Register updates and the Work Plan activities. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_08). The Panel noted the contents of the Change Register.

13.2 The Panel reviewed the Work Plans for DCPs **266, 288 and 305** and approved each of the Work Plans in accordance with the timescales set out in the Attachments.

14. Initial Assessments

14.1 The Secretariat presented the Initial Assessments cover paper, which detailed the attached three new Change Proposals (CPs) submitted to the Panel for approval into the DCUSA change process. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_09).

DCP 311 – ‘Clarification of NUF cap and collar calculations’

14.2 The Panel reviewed DCP 311 and noted that the Working Group will need to analyse the legal text provided with the CP form as it appears to be changing calculations for previous charging years. The Panel also noted that the Working Group will need to discuss the implementation date as it may or may not need to be an 01 April date depending if any changes to charges is seen. The Panel agreed to:

- Accept the referral of the CP;
- Treat the CP as a Standard change;
- Treat the CP as a Part 1 Matter;
- Progress the CP to a Working Group with invitations sent to all Parties; and
- Progress the CP in accordance with the agreed timetable.

ACTION: Panel _136/10: ElectraLink to progress DCP 311 as a Part 1 Matter and for it to be progressed via a Working Group.

DCP 312 – ‘Standardisation of the Reporting of HH Portfolio Billing Data by EDNOs’

14.3 The Panel reviewed DCP 312 and noted it appears to be a repeat of DCP 281/A *‘Changes to Schedule 19 – Portfolio Billing’*. The reasons behind DCP 281 being a Part 2 Matter and this CP being a Part 1 Matter were discussed by the Panel. It was highlighted that when DCP 281 was rejected, no appeal was made but that an appeal could have been made. By majority decision, the Panel decided to progress DCP 312 as a Part 1 Matter. The Panel discussed whether DCP 312 should proceed to Change Report or to a Working Group, noting that the CP has focussed on only one of the two options that resulted from DCP 281/A. It was also noted that the Vote on DCP 281/A was split and as

a result neither option was taken forward. The Panel highlighted that the intent of DCP 312 suggests there is more than one way to go about the standardisation of the reporting of HH portfolio billing data and as such the Panel agreed that a Working Group should be created to define the solution. The Panel agreed to:

- Accept the referral of the CP;
- Treat the CP as a Standard change;
- Treat the CP as a Part 1 Matter;
- Progress the CP to a Working Group with invitations sent to all Parties; and
- Progress the CP in accordance with the agreed timetable.

ACTION: Panel _136/11: ElectraLink to progress DCP 312 as a Part 1 Matter and for it to be progressed via a Working Group.

DCP 313 – ‘Eligibility Criteria for EDCM Generation Credits’

14.4 The Panel reviewed DCP 313, and noted that the watermarked word ‘DRAFT’ is to be removed from the CP form prior to the CP being uploaded to the website. The Panel agreed to:

- Accept the referral of the CP;
- Treat the CP as a Standard change;
- Treat the CP as a Part 1 Matter;
- Progress the CP to a Working Group with invitations sent to all Parties; and
- Progress the CP in accordance with the agreed timetable.

ACTION: Panel _136/12: ElectraLink to progress DCP 313 as a Part 1 Matter and for it to be progressed via a Working Group.

15. Change Reports: DCP 295, 308, 309 and 310

15.1 The Secretariat presented the Change Report Cover paper, which detailed the attached Change Reports for DCPs 295, 308, 309 and 310. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_10).

DCP 295 – ‘CVA Registrants acceding to the DCUSA -

15.2 The Panel reviewed the DCP 295 Change Report and requested that the implementation dates in the Change Report and Voting form be updated in line with the phased approach as detailed in the Change Report. The Panel also requested that an extra box be added to the Voting form so that Parties can Vote on both implementation dates. Subject to the amendments noted above, the Panel approved the DCP 295 Change Report and agreed the following:

- that the Change Report contains the level of information required to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that all Parties are eligible to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that the Change Report be issued with a three-week voting period; and

- for the Change Report to be issued to Parties on 20 October 2017.

ACTION: Panel _136/13: Subject to the amendments noted below, ElectraLink to issue the DCP 295 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.

- The implementation dates in the Change Report and Voting form be updated in line with the phased approach as detailed in the Change Report.
- An extra box be added to the Voting form so Parties can Vote on both implementation dates.

DCP 308 'Notice period to change Revenue Protection Agent'

15.3 The Panel reviewed the DCP 308 Change Report and agreed the following:

- that the Change Report contains the level of information required to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that all Parties (excluding distributed generators) are eligible to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that the Change Report be issued with a three-week voting period; and
- for the Change Report to be issued to Parties on 20 October 2017.

ACTION: Panel _136/14: ElectraLink to issue the DCP 308 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.

DCP 309 'Reporting ETTOS Identified Theft to the TRAS Service Provider'

15.4 The Panel reviewed the DCP 309 Change Report and requested that Secretariat remove any red-lining or formatting that still exists prior to issuing the Change Report. The Panel agreed the following:

- that the Change Report contains the level of information required to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that all Parties are eligible to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that the Change Report be issued with a three-week voting period; and
- for the Change Report to be issued to Parties on 20 October 2017.

ACTION: Panel _136/15: Subject to the amendment noted below, ElectraLink to issue the DCP 309 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.

- Remove any red-lining or formatting that still exists.

DCP 310 ENA Office Move'

15.5 The Panel reviewed the DCP 310 Change Report and agreed the following:

- that the Change Report contains the level of information required to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that all Parties are eligible to vote on the Change Proposal;
- that the Change Report be issued with a three-week voting period; and
- for the Change Report to be issued to Parties on 20 October 2017.

ACTION: Panel _136/16: ElectraLink to issue the DCP 310 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.

16. Housekeeping Log

- 16.1 The Secretariat presented the Housekeeping Log Summary Paper and Housekeeping Log which were circulated prior to the meeting. See paper (Panel_2017_1018_11).
- 16.2 PW updated the Panel regarding his action from the previous meeting on the best approach for a process to resolve minor issues/amendments to the DCUSA. PW noted that a check was carried out on the licence condition which stated that Parties have to have voted on the proposed amendment and accepted the change for any such amendment to be made to the DCUSA. PW noted that a CP form is in the process of being drafted which will cover off the 4 items in the log.

17. Any other Business

- 17.1 JU updated the Panel on the recently held DCUSA Training which was provided to Parties by the Secretariat. JU noted that good feedback was received and that Parties are interested in further training on charging methodologies and theft arrangements.

Next Meeting – 15 November 2017 at 10:00am

The meeting venue is ElectraLink, Northumberland House, 303-306 High Holborn, London WC1V 7JZ

Appendix A: Summary of Actions

New and Open Actions – Open Session

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
113/07	Process the Accession Application for Home Counties Energy PLC once the MPID has been provided.	ElectraLink	<p>21/09/2016 – Ongoing</p> <p>18/10/2016 – Ongoing</p> <p>14/12/2016 – Ongoing No MPID</p> <p>11/01/2017 – Ongoing</p> <p>Checked with Elexon - No MPID</p> <p>08/02/2017 – Ongoing</p> <p>08/03/2017 – Ongoing</p> <p>19/04/2017 – Ongoing</p> <p>21/06/2017 – Ongoing – The Panel requested for the following checks to be completed: have that got a licence, are they going through MRA/BSC processes.</p> <p>19/07/2017 – Ongoing</p> <p>16/08/2017 – Ongoing – The Secretariat has completed the requested checks. It is confirmed that they have a licence but are not going through any other industry processes.</p> <p>20/09/2017 – Ongoing – Panel to decide what it will do for this Accession. PW to confirm the best way forward.</p> <p>18/10/2017 – Ongoing - Not in process of getting MPID and can choose to push back if they don't appear to meet the conditions precedent for the following 6-months.</p> <p>Discussion on the process of Panel agreed to push back and to request.</p>

129/03	<p>Provide verbal update on GnERGY Limited’s progression in meeting TRAS requirements post Derogation at the April DCUSA Panel meeting.</p> <p>Could be covered by the TEg and defer action to the TEG for escalation approach</p>	ElectraLink	<p>19/04/2017 – Ongoing No Update 17/05/2017 - Ongoing verbal update provided at the DCUSA Panel Ex-committee meeting. Gnergy’s derogation was extended to 21 June. 21/06/2017 – In progress: We asked Gnergy to confirm that they are now submitting TRAS files. Still awaiting response. 19/07/2017 – In progress: Gnergy confirmed that they are now submitting TRAS files, 16/08/2017 – In progress: TRAS yet to confirm a successful submission. 20/09/2017 – In progress: TRAS confirmed that they have not received a successful submission from GnERGY. 18/10/2017 – In progress: DT confirmed the above is still true however an escalation process is being looked into.</p>
134/03	<p>Investigate whether GFP Trading Ltd require a licence and provide confirmation to the Panel of the outcome of the investigation prior to resubmitting the Accession Application to the Panel.</p>	ElectraLink	<p>20/09/2017 – Ongoing: noted that licence not required due to amount of generation not exceeding limits after which a licence would be required. GFP Trading Ltd is not Party to the CUSC so does not meet conditions precedent. PW to confirm the best way forward. 18/10/2017 – In progress – PW noted that GFP Trading are both a CVA registrant and a generator and believes that they can accede. Comfortable with no generation licence. ElectraLink to confirm with GFP if it their intention to accede to the CUSC within 6-months and in that case accession can progress. Possibility to look at changing the conditions precedent and confirm whether the rules are</p>

			applicable these days. PW will talk to DCUSA legal advisors to confirm the above.
136/01	Amend the minutes of the previous (open session) meeting as follows: The second sentence of paragraph 9.2 should read Part (ii) of item 5 and not Part (ii) of item 7. The Secretariat was also asked to cross reference this against the action and the action log.	ElectraLink	
136/02	Review the Ofgem consultation titled 'Delivering Faster and More Reliable Switching: proposed new switching arrangements' and confirm if there are references to TRAS and if so note the references in the Monitoring Activities Log. ElectraLink to add the link to the DWG page on the ELEXON website to the Monitoring Activities Log.	ElectraLink	
136/03	Progress the Accession Application for Washington Energy Limited.	ElectraLink	
136/04	Contact the DCUSA Modelling Consultant for confirmation if any further modelling will be required or if the models produced as part of the Working Group phase for DCP 268 will be sufficient.	ElectraLink	
136/05	Contact Tru Energy Limited and explain that the Panel have requested that they apply for derogation extension with a sensible timeline which reflects the stage that they are up to. The derogation extension should be sent back within five working days and then submitted to the Panel ex-committee for approval.	ElectraLink	
136/06	Ensure that the DCMDG discuss how they wish to run and operate in the future and in doing so create a well-defined pre-modification process for issues and solutions relating to the charging methodologies. The options that come out of the discussion are to be presented to the Panel.	ElectraLink	
136/07	Complete a general tidy up of the DCMDG ToR as noted in the minutes and to ensure ElectraLink to ensure that the DCMDG discuss the two items that remain on the actions log from Ofgem's CGR3 Final Proposals.	ElectraLink	

136/08	Contact the proposer of DIF 52 'Operational Contacts' to ask if they wish to close the issue down or to leave it open for the provision on updates to the SIG	ElectraLink	
136/09	Amend the Action Plan for Ofgem's CGR3 Final Proposals by re-opening part (ii) of item 5.	ElectraLink	
136/10	Progress DCP 311 as a Part 1 Matter and for it to be progressed via a Working Group.	ElectraLink	
136/11	Progress DCP 312 as a Part 2 Matter and for it to be progressed to the Change Report phase.	ElectraLink	
136/12	Progress DCP 313 as a Part 2 Matter and for it to be progressed to the Change Report Phase.	ElectraLink	
136/13	Issue the DCP 295 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	
136/14	Issue the DCP 308 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	
136/15	Issue the DCP 309 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	
136/16	Issue the DCP 310 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 20 October 2017 for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	
136/13		ElectraLink	

Closed Actions – Open Session

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
135/01	Amend the minutes of the previous (open session) meeting as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The second sentence of paragraph 1.4 should include National Grid in the list of who will sit on the Charging Delivery Body. The second bullet point under paragraph 2.1 should be amended to state the following: 	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The first sentence of paragraph 9.2 should be amended to “The Panel queried whether the recently published Change Declarations for DCPs 251, 252, 268, 289, 298 and 301 were correct as some of the votes infer a different outcome” 		
135/02	Investigate the best way forward regarding the Accession Applications for Home Counties Energy PLC and GFP Trading Ltd. (Outstanding Actions 113/07 and 134/03)	Peter Waymont	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/03	Progress the Accession Applications for Arruzzi Energy Supply, Oreba Energy Supply, Callesti Energy Supply, Pirranello Energy Supply, Cilleni Energy Supply, Vavu Power Ltd and Colgano Energy Supply.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/04	Investigate which of the DCP 302 or DCP 304 consultations is missing from the website and then upload the missing consultation document to the website.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/05	Amend the Action Plan for Ofgem’s CGR3 Final Proposals by closing part (ii) of item 7, as the Authority decision to approve DCP 289 has been received and the change has been implemented. ElectraLink is to take items 6 and part (ii) of 5 to the DCMDG meeting for consideration by the group.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/06	Progress DCP 307 as a Part 1 Matter and for it to be progressed via a Working Group.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/07	Progress DCP 308 as a Part 2 Matter and for it to be progressed to the Change Report phase.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/08	Progress DCP 309 as a Part 2 Matter and for it to be progressed to the Change Report Phase.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/09	Progress DCP 310 as a Part 2 Matter and for it to be progressed to the Change Report phase.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/10	Issue the DCP 226 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 22 September 2017 for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/11	Issue the DCP 300 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 22 September 2017 for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017

135/12	Issue the DCP 293 Change Report to Parties to vote upon on 22 September 2017 for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017
135/13	Accept the red-lined tracked changes that amend the housekeeping log to include housekeeping items 103 and 104.	ElectraLink	Completed – 18/10/2017

Appendix B: Monitoring Activities Log 2016/17

Active Projects (All amendments in this month are shown in blue)

No	Task	Status
1	Ofgem Code Governance Review Phase 3 (CGR3) Final Proposals	<p>On 31 March 2016, Ofgem set out its Final Proposals as part of CGR3. The third phase of the review has sought to review the effectiveness of the key measures introduced under previous phases of the CGR, in order to identify further reforms. The reforms put forward by Ofgem seek to both ensure that the governance arrangements of all industry codes deliver both non-material self-governance changes and more complex changes in an efficient and timely way and that the governance arrangements also promote transparency and accessibility for all industry participants.</p> <p>Since April 2016 the Secretariat has been providing the Panel with monthly project updates on the implementation of CGR3 outcomes in the closed session Panel meetings. This item has been moved to the Open Session and updates on the CGR3 activities will be added to the Operational log going forward.</p> <p>October 2016 – The Panel noted the Secretariat issued an email requesting Parties who do not wish to be contacted by the Ofgem selected research agency to notify the Secretariat accordingly. Parties were given a two-week period to respond and where Parties either opt out or an out of office notification is received, the Panel agreed that such Party details should not be passed on to research agency.</p> <p>DCUSA Voting Process – The Panel reviewed the DCUSA Voting Process response email and agreed for this to be issued to the DCUSA Parties.</p> <p>December 2016 - The Panel requested for the CGR3 Action Plan Attachment to be updated in the following manner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A check on the progress of all items and correcting status' as required. • Completed items need to be updated to blue status colour. <p>February 2017 - The CGR3 Paper and Action log were provided to the Panel who subsequently review the action log and requested for the action log to be updated in line with the minutes above.</p> <p>June 2017 – The Panel noted that the DCUSA Action Plan on Ofgem’s CGR3 Final Proposals is now a standing agenda item for the DCUSA Panel Open Session.</p>

Monitoring Log (All amendments in this month are shown in blue)

No	Task	Status	Owner	Outlook date
1	Distributed Generation and Demand Side Response	<p>Monitoring activity on distributed generation, including updates on developments relating to low-carbon technologies. In the past, this included to monitor activities of the DE Working Group Work on hold – likely to re-start with DCPR 5, the Renewable Heat Incentive Items (which are unlikely to affect the DCUSA but to remain an area to be monitored),</p> <p>December 2012 – Ofgem-led Working Group on socialising connection charges for low-carbon technologies.</p> <p>June 2013 – CA advised of a problem in regards to photovoltaic installations at domestic premises that could affect the smart meter roll-out.</p> <p>July 2015 – An Ofgem consultation on Distributed Generation will be issued during the summer. The Panel is to keep up to date with any developments under Work Stream 6.</p> <p>March 2013 – CA pointed to sub-groups dealing with connection charges changes to socialise reinforcement costs and Ofgem’s working programme. Any developments would also come to the attention of the Panel via the Connection Charges Methodologies Forum.</p> <p>September 2013 – Ofgem to confirm current status of the discussions.</p> <p>October 2013 – Ofgem update received: Working group, including the chair of the COG, set up to identify necessary changes to the connection charges with RIIO-ED 1. No decisions taken at this point yet, with draft changes undergoing refinement.</p> <p>January 2014 – Work Stream 6 is closed; still need to be confirmed by what it will be replaced. There will be a consultation on this in the future. Ofgem’s conclusions from the April consultation ‘Creating the right environment for demand-side response’ published on 16 December 2013 proposed to start a project in early 2014 building on work undertaken by WS6.</p> <p>February 2014 – The Ofgem representative advised that Work Stream 6 reconvened on 18 February, but no update was available yet. Panel members also advised that a DCP on Radio Teleswitching might be raised.</p> <p>March 2014 – DCP 204 has been raised for initial assessment at March Panel.</p> <p>April 2014 – The first meeting of the DCP 204 Working Group took place on 15 April 2014.</p> <p>May 2015 –Awaiting the Authority decision on DCP 204</p> <p>Ofgem and DECC updates on demand side response</p>	Panel (CA)	Ongoing

	<p>August 2015 – Ofgem sent back DCP 204 to the industry for further work, including the addition of a cost benefit analysis.</p> <p>September 2015;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It was noted that the DCP 204 Working Group will be issuing a second consultation and holding a dialling in session where market participants can ask the Working Group questions on the Change Proposal. It was highlighted that the Working Group is taking action to engage with Suppliers. • It was highlighted that Ofgem will be publishing a strategy document on Demand Side Response. <p>October 2015</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AS informed the Panel that Ofgem has been working to understand the issues which may be inhibiting flexibility and that their focus in the next 12 months will be to work on specific interventions which help realise the benefits of flexibility as early as possible. • Ofgem have published a paper on flexibility issue and that this can be found at the following link: https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/position-paper-making-electricity-system-more-flexible-and-delivering-benefits-consumers. <p>January 2016 – the Panel noted that DECC issued the following a Consultation and a Report in December</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DECC issued a report on Smart Energy systems and it sets out how smart, more flexible energy solutions could help meet the challenges faced by energy system. The report can be found at the following link: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/towards-a-smart-energy-system • DECC issued a consultation on regulation and innovation and this can be found at the following link https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/consultation-on-ensuring-regulation-encourages-innovation <p>March 2016 – The Panel that the DCP 204 was approved by the Authority and will be implemented on 1 September 2016. It was noted that DCP 204 is intended to prevent coincidence of load on networks as a result of the smart metering roll out and to bring clarity for timeswitching arrangements through smart metering. Avoiding coincidence of load on networks can help minimise the requirement for additional distribution infrastructure and associated investment.</p> <p>April 2016 - The Panel noted that at the DCMF meeting held on 07 April Franck Latremoliere presented on Virtual micro - grids and highlighted that virtual micro - grids are an arrangement over licensed networks</p>		
--	---	--	--

which matches local demand with local generation on a half hourly basis. A solution was put forward which involves Suppliers or customers informing DNOs of the amount of consumption which is matched to generation. It was noted that the new DUoS tariffs would take account only of the lower-voltage parts of the network that are actually used, so that they would be a zero or proportionally reduced generation credit to matched generation units, and a zero or proportionally reduced unit rate to matched consumption units.

May 2016 – The Panel noted that at the last Methodologies Issues Group (MIG) Open Utility provided further insight on a proposal which seeks to ensure that consumers only pay for the respective part of the network which had been used for distributing the electricity. Open Utility will run trials on Virtual micro-grids and are inviting DNOs to participate.

September 2016 – The Panel noted that there is a cross between the EU and demand side response and that updates on EU development relating to demand side responses should be added to this section of the update.

November 2016 - The Panel members noted that the Joint Ofgem and BEIS consultation on “Smart Flexible Energy System Call for Evidence” closes in January. Ofgem will respond to the evidence in Spring 2017.

March 2017 - the Panel requested that the four CPs relating to Distribution Generation be noted against this item. The CPs are outlined below:

- DCP 283 ‘The calculation of generation credits in the CDCM’
- DCP 284 ‘The application of scaling to generation credits in the CDCM’
- DCP 287 ‘Generation credits in the EDCM’
- DCP 291 ‘Application of Generation Credits to EDCM Customers’

May 2017 – the Panel noted the Withdrawal of DCP 284 due to Ofgem proposing a TCR on the same areas covered by DCP 284.

		<p>July 2017 – The Panel noted that UK Power Networks have issued a consultation on the transition from Distribution Network Operator to Distribution System Operator. ElectraLink took an action to share this with Panel Members to determine if any action needs to be taken by DCUSA</p>		
2	European Code Development	<p>Industry Working Group (led by BSC / CUSC) to determine impact on industry. Ofgem/IDNO & DNO meeting 23 July to discuss impact of the wider industry. PW to circulate note to DNOs and Distributors November 2012 – Ofgem consultation on EU Third Package was published. No impact on DCUSA expected. December 2012 – Slight delay in the Requirements for Generators Code expected (now to enter comitology together with the Demand Connection Code in April 2014). January 2013 – Impact on National Terms of Connection to be analysed once requirements for generators are known. June 2013 – National Grid Presentation on GB Implementation July 2013 – National Grid presented draft Terms of Reference (ToR) for European Code Coordination Application Forum (ECCAF). Final ToR to be presented to August Panel. August 2013 – Final ToR for ECCAF presented to the DCUSA Panel. September 2013 – National Grid confirmed the ECCAF is in the process of being set up and noted nominations of the DCUSA Panel representatives. October 2013 – First invitation to the ECCAF issued with meeting date yet to be determined. November 2013 – First ECCAF meeting on 21 November 2013. Clarification to be sought whether current DCUSA Panel representative can continue without being Panel member. January 2014 – Next ECCAF meeting to take place on 30 January 2013. February 2014 – ECCAF meeting took place on 30 January. Note on structural options for implementation was brought to February Panel. March 2014 – The next ECCAF meeting will take place on 27 March 2014. April 2014 – The next ECCAF meeting will take place on 29 April 2014. September 2015 – Peter Waymont confirmed he will speak to Chris Allanson to get an update on the European code update. October 2015</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peter confirmed that Chris Allanson is still the DCUSA representative on European Codes and will provide the Panel with any updates on European Side demand connection code activities. 	Panel	Ongoing

	<p>January 2016 – The National Grid update circulated prior to the meeting highlighted that that there would be a change to settlement periods. I was noted that Electricity Balancing Code will provide the rules for procurement and exchange of balancing energy, reservation of capacity for balancing purposes and greater harmonisation of settlement arrangements.</p> <p>March 2016 – The Panel noted that the Electricity Balancing Code is currently with the Commission for Comitology preparations post ACER’s qualified recommendation. It is anticipated the Network Code will enter inter-service consultation in April 2016, Comitology in May with potential voting in June.</p> <p>April 2016 – Abid Sheikh informed the Panel that the Grid Code Change 48 (CG0048)¹ is looking to introduce banding. PW took an action to investigate whether there are any consequential updates required to the DCUSA as a result of Grid Code Change 48 which introduces bandings for generators.</p> <p>May 2016 - PW informed the Panel that the Grid Code Change 48 is less likely to have an impact on the DCUSA as the change is mainly related to the D-code. PW agreed to review this further and check whether any consequential changes will be required to the DCUSA.</p> <p>August 2016 – The Panel noted that National Grid will no longer be providing the European Codes industry updates to the DCUSA Panel meetings. A member of the Panel pointed out that the EU codes updates are useful as they provide industry timescales and potential impacts on DCUSA. It was noted that the Panel might benefit from getting a simplified version of the simplified version of the EU codes updates. ElectraLink took an action to inform National Grid that the Panel found their update useful and request whether they can provide a simplified version of the report.</p> <p>September 2016 – The Panel noted that the ENA is currently looking at EU development matters including any commercial issues that may impact codes.</p>		
--	--	--	--

¹ GC0048 - Application / Implementation of the Requirements for Generators European Network Code

		<p>December 2016 – The Panel noted that the ENA are monitoring the European Network Code impacts on DCUSA and have not identified any at this time. There are approximately 70 changes identified across all codes.</p> <p>February 2017 – The Panel noted that the COG is looking at the 70 changes.</p> <p>May 2017 – The Panel Chair noted that he has spoken with the COG and it has been determined that the main impacts will be to the Grid Code and the DCode and that the DCUSA may not be impacted.</p> <p>July 2017 – The Panel noted that the ENA is continuing their work in this area and no DCUSA impacts are expected.</p>		
3	Implementation of CMA changes	<p>Item to Monitor – ongoing discussions on the Energy industry code governance.</p> <p>February 2015 – The CMA issues statement published on 18 February 2015. The statement highlighted the effects of Code governance in the Energy industry.</p> <p>May 2015 – Ofgem Open Letter on Further Review of Industry Code Governance was published on 15 May 2015.</p> <p>July 2015 – Ofgem will be holding a workshop on code Governance on 22 July 2015. Details of the workshop can be found at the following link: https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/code-governance-review-workshop-22-july-2015</p> <p>February 2016 – It was noted that that the DCUSA Panel has responded to the Ofgem Consultation on Code Governance Review 3.</p> <p>March 2016 – The CMA update highlighted that on 10 March 2016, the CMA set out its provisional decision on a wide-ranging package of remedies based on over 80 responses to its consultation and further analysis been undertaken.</p> <p>July 2016 – The Panel noted that the CMA published its final report on the Great Britain energy market investigation on 24 June 2016. The Final Report details the CMA’s package of over 30 remedies which seek to ‘revitalise the energy market’ and bring about technical and regulatory changes to ‘intensify competition’ between energy companies and ensure the market works in consumers’ interests.</p> <p>The Panel agreed for ElectraLink to support Ofgem in the delivery of the CMA remedies and agreed to a CRF for ongoing CMA support activity.</p>	Panel	Ongoing

		<p>August 2016 - The Panel noted that on 3 August 2016, Ofgem released its CMA Remedy Implementation Strategy² which outlined that there are over 30 remedies, with a substantial proportion of these in the form of recommendations to Ofgem.</p> <p>October 2016 - The Panel noted that Ofgem is planning to publish the CMA outputs by November 2016.</p> <p>November 2016 - A Request for Information (RFI) is expected to be issued in January regarding on governance options.</p> <p>December 2016 – Ofgem’s industry code governance consultation was issued on the 09 November 2016 with a closing date of the 01 February 2017. The Panel considered that it would be beneficial to provide information on the code and its impact to inform the code governance remedies decisions.</p> <p>January 2017 – The Panel are formalising their response to the Ofgem consultation.</p> <p>February 2017 – The Panel noted that they provided their response to the Ofgem consultation.</p> <p>March 2017 - Panel noted that they are awaiting the outcomes from the Ofgem consultation.</p> <p>May 2017 - it was noted Ofgem’s consultation response document on the Implementation of the CMA remedies was available. The Secretariat will circulate to Panel members. It was also noted that Ofgem can attend a Panel meeting regarding its Implementation of CMA remedies consultation response document.</p> <p>June 2017 – The Panel noted that Ofgem provided a representative at the June Panel meeting to present their initial response to their Industry Code Governance Initial consultation.</p> <p>August 2017 – The Ofgem representative noted that Ofgem have launched the next steps and issued their initial findings from the consultation responses. It was also noted that Ofgem are planning an industry workshop which is expected to be held in the Autumn 2017. It was highlighted to the Panel that Ofgem don’t expect licencing will progress until government has formed after the next general election.</p> <p>October 2017 - On the ‘Implementation of CMA changes’ item, the Panel noted that dates have been confirmed for an industry workshop on the Implementation of CMA changes.</p>		
4	Significant Code Review: Ofgem consultation on Central	<p>September 2015 – The Panel agreed for the consultation should be added to the Log as it might have an impact on the DCUSA, details of the consultation can be found at the following link: https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/sites/default/files/docs/2015/07/crs_dcc_licence_consultation_final_3.pdf</p>	Panel	Ongoing

² https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/system/files/docs/2016/08/ofgem_implementation_strategy.pdf

<p>Registration Service provision</p>	<p>October 2015 – It was noted that Ofgem has had presentations on the consultation at other Panels and AS took an action to find out if Ofgem would be carrying out a presentation on their consultation to the DCUSA Panel.</p> <p>December 2015 – the Panel noted Ofgem presented on their consultation at the November Panel meeting</p> <p>February 2016 – The Panel noted that the Ofgem Workshops have are now in progress and the DCUSA Panel should be aware of the discussions at the workshops as there may be changes that might have an impact on the DCCUSA.</p> <p>May 2016 – The Panel noted that a CMA presentation took place at the DCUSA Panel April Panel meeting. The Panel requested that Ofgem provide periodical updates on the progress of the faster switching project. AS took an action to confirm whether Ofgem can establish formal communication routes for industry bodies that are not represented on the Central Registration Project (faster switching) Workgroups. This would give these bodies insight into the development of the project and potential impacts.</p> <p>June 2016 – It was noted that AS is to organise a presentation on the Central Registration Project (faster switching) Workgroups. It was noted that this would be useful towards the end of the year so that the impacts of the project can feed into the DCUSA budget setting processes for 2017/18.</p> <p>July 2016 – AS informed that the Panel that Ofgem will be providing a presentation on the Central Registration Project (faster switching) later on in the year.</p> <p>September 2016 - The Panel noted that Ofgem will provide a general update on the Central Registration Project (faster switching) at the October meeting.</p> <p>October 2016 - Ofgem presented on the Central Registration Project (faster switching). It was noted that the purpose of the presentation was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request views on Ofgem’s proposed reform packages; • Test initial thinking on the RFI; and 		
---------------------------------------	---	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Set out Ofgem’s next steps <p>December 2016 – The Panel noted that a Request for Information (RFI) is expected to be issued in January regarding faster switching.</p> <p>January 2017 – The Panel agreed to wait and see if any impacts are identified as a result of the RFI</p> <p>February 2017 – Panel members noted that they will review responses once they become available. The Chair noted that there appears to be limited impact to DCUSA however will wait for outcome as it is dependent upon the what the scope is.</p> <p>June 2017 – The Panel noted that the EDAG are meeting with Ofgem on 22 June 2017.</p> <p>July 2017 – The Panel noted that Ofgem are favouring Option Model 2A.</p> <p>October 2017 - the Panel noted that a consultation titled ‘Delivering Faster and More Reliable Switching: proposed new switching arrangements’ has been issued. It was also noted that the scope of a Retail Energy Code (REC) relating to the provision of faster switching is set out within the document. The Panel requested that the Secretariat review the consultation and confirm if there are references to TRAS and if so can be noted in the log. It was noted that the SPAA EC plan to speak with Ofgem about TRAS going into a single REC.</p>		
5	Significant Code Review: Half Hourly Settlement.	<p>January 2016 - Ofgem has initiated a review to establish cost effective half hourly settlement for all consumers.</p> <p>February 2016 – The Panel noted that the Ofgem Open letter on Half Hourly Settlement was issued in December 2015 and is now closed. Ofgem is reviewing the responses and the next step is to publish the responses in March 2016.</p> <p>March 2016 – The Panel noted that Ofgem published the responses to their Open letter on Half Hourly Settlement on 09 March 2016. The responses can be found at the following link: https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/elective-half-hourly-settlement-publication-responses-december-open-letter</p> <p>May 2016 - AS informed the Panel that conclusions on Half Hourly Settlement will be published at the end of May 2016.</p> <p>June 2016 – The Panel noted Ofgem’s conclusions on ‘HH Elective Settlement’ in relation to the impact on DCP 268 ‘DUoS Charging Using HH Settlement Data’.</p> <p>The Panel noted that Ofgem expects the change to be implemented by early 2017. The Panel noted that there is no immediate barrier under DCP 268 and agreed that the CP should run its normal course.</p>	Panel	Ongoing

	<p>September 2016 – It was noted that DCP 268 was raised to facilitate a transition to half-hourly (HH) settlement for non-half hourly (NHH) customers by moving to a time band charging basis, based on the HH (profiled) data used in settlement. This is a standalone change. The DCP 268 Working Group is developing the CP solution and the proposed implementation date is 1 April 2019.</p> <p>November 2016 - The DCUSA Panel agreed to not provide a response to Ofgem’s consultation on Half-Hourly (HH) Settlement as it was considered that Parties responses to this consultation should be sufficient.</p> <p>December 2016 - Panel agreed to discuss the results of the consultation once they are available.</p> <p>January 2017 – The Panel will review the outcomes of the Ofgem HH settlement consultation and noted Ofgem’s response to this is due to be published shortly.</p> <p>February 2017 – The Panel noted that they will wait for Ofgem to publish the results of the consultation.</p> <p>March 2017 – The Panel noted that they are awaiting the outcomes from the Ofgem consultation on a Significant Code Review</p> <p>May 2017 – It was noted that the outcome is expected in the first quarter of 2018. The Ofgem representative noted that Ofgem expect to publish a launch statement after the UK general election.</p> <p>June 2017 – The Panel noted that Ofgem expect to publish a launch statement in December.</p> <p>July 2017 – The Panel noted that industry is awaiting the next Ofgem launch statement.</p> <p>August 2017 – The Ofgem representative noted that Ofgem issued a launched statement on 24 July 2017. The statement Included a timeline for the project and set out a revised scope. The statement also provides responses to Novembers consultation and sets out the proposed operating model for Half Hourly Settlement. It was noted that Elexon will lead the Target Operating Model Design Working Group. VM noted that feedback on the operating model and applications to join the Working Group are being sought by 1 September 2017.</p> <p>October 2017 - An ELEXON representative noted that a high-level plan has been produced for progression of ELEXON led work to support Ofgem in its Significant Code Review (SCR) on Mandatory Half-Hourly Settlement (HHS). It was noted that documents are available on the ELEXON website but is considered an external group and not a BSC committee. The DWG has had its first meeting where they discussed three strawman options. It was noted that a link is available to access the DWG page on the ELEXON website and the Panel requested for the Secretariat to add the link to the Monitoring Activities Log.</p>		
--	---	--	--