

Meeting Session	DCUSA Panel (Open Session)
Paper Reference	Panel_2017_0517_07_Initial Assessment Report
Meeting Date	17 May 2017
Action	For Decision

Initial Assessment Paper

1. Synopsis

- 1.1 This paper refers DCUSA Change Proposals (DCPs) 298, 299 and 300 to the DCUSA Panel for agreement on their progression.
- 1.2 The Change Proposals (CPs) have been assessed by the Secretariat in accordance with Section 10.11 of the DCUSA. The CPs have met the requirements set out in the DCUSA and ElectraLink has prepared a set of recommendations for consideration by the Panel.

2. DCP Overviews

- 2.1 Table 1 details the CPs that has been received by the DCUSA Secretariat.
 - It is the view of the Proposer that DCP 298 be classed as a Standard change and a Part 2 Matter.
 - It is the view of the Proposer that DCP 299 be classed as a Standard change and a Part 2 Matter.
 - It is the view of the Proposer that DCP 300 be classed as a Standard change and a Part 1 Matter.
- 2.2 The DCUSA Panel should, with reference to DCUSA Clause 9.4, determine whether in their opinion each change relates to a Part 1 or Part 2 Matter, taking into account the Proposer's opinion.

Table 1 – DCUSA Change Proposal

DCP No.	Title	Proposer	Part 1 / Part 2 Matter	Proposed Implementation Date	Impacted Clauses	CP Area	Summary
DCP 298	Notice of RAV	Peter Waymont	Part 2	Next DCUSA release following approval	Clause 3.6	General	This Change Proposal seeks to remove an unnecessary clause in Schedule 1.
DCP 299	Clarify Distributor reporting requirement to the ETTOS Service Provider	Peter Waymont	Part 2	Next DCUSA release following approval	Schedule 26 Clause 8.5	Theft / General	The intent of this Change Proposal is to add a requirement for Electricity Distributors to notify the ETTOS Service Provider of instances where tip-offs cannot be linked with a currently traded MPAN
DCP 300	Panel members and alternates	Peter Waymont	Part 1	Next DCUSA release following approval	Clauses 6, 7 and 10	General	To reword the DCUSA sections around panel members and alternates, particularly around election and participation at meetings, to better reflect practicalities and solve issues with the current processes.

3. Progression of the Change Proposal

DCP 298

- 3.1 ElectraLink recommends that DCP 298 is progressed to the Change Report phase as a Part 2 Matter.
- 3.2 The proposed timetable for the progression of DCP 298 is as follows:

Table 2 – Proposed Timetable for DCP 298

Activity	Target Date
Initial Assessment Report	10 May 2017
Change Report Agreed	21 June 2017
Party Voting Ends	14 July 2017
Change Declaration Issued	18 July 2017
Implementation	01 October 2017

DCP 299

- 3.3 ElectraLink recommends that DCP 299 is progressed to the Change Report phase as a Part 2 Matter.
- 3.4 The proposed timetable for the progression of DCP 299 is as follows:

Table 3 – Proposed Timetable for DCP 299

Activity	Target Date
Initial Assessment Report	17 May 2017
Change Report Agreed	21 June 2017
Party Voting Ends	14 July 2017
Change Declaration Issued	18 July 2017
Implementation	01 October 2017

DCP 300

- 3.5 ElectraLink recommends that DCP 300 is progressed to the Change Report phase as a Part 1 Matter.
- 3.6 The proposed timetable for the progression of DCP 300 is as follows:

Table 4 – Proposed Timetable for DCP 300

Activity	Target Date
Initial Assessment Report	17 May 2017
Change Report Agreed	21 June 2017
Party Voting Ends	14 July 2017
Change Declaration Issued	18 July 2017
Authority Decision	22 August 2017
Implementation	01 October 2017

4. Recommendation

4.1 For DCP 298, the DCUSA Panel is invited to:

- **ACCEPT** the referral of the CP;
- **AGREE** that the CP should be treated as Standard change;
- **AGREE** that DCP 298 should be treated as a Part 2 Matter;
- **AGREE** that DCP 298 should be progressed to the Change Report phase; and
- **AGREE** the progression of the CP in accordance with the timescales set out in section 3.2

4.2 For DCP 299, the DCUSA Panel is invited to:

- **ACCEPT** the referral of the CP;
- **AGREE** that the CP should be treated as Standard change;
- **AGREE** that DCP 299 should be treated as a Part 2 Matter;
- **AGREE** that DCP 299 should be progressed to the Change Report phase; and
- **AGREE** the progression of the CP in accordance with the timescales set out in section 3.4

4.3 For DCP 300, the DCUSA Panel is invited to:

- **ACCEPT** the referral of the CP;
- **AGREE** that the CP should be treated as Standard change;
- **AGREE** that DCP 300 should be treated as a Part 1 Matter;
- **AGREE** that DCP 300 should be progressed to the Change Report phase; and
- **AGREE** the progression of the CP in accordance with the timescales set out in section 3.6

5. Attachment

- Attachment 1 – DCP 298 Change Proposal Form
- Attachment 2 – DCP 299 Change Proposal Form
- Attachment 3 – DCP 300 Change Proposal Form