



DCUSA Change Report

DCP 219 - DCUSA Housekeeping Changes

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 This document is issued in accordance with Clause 11.20 of the DCUSA and details DCP 219 'DCUSA Housekeeping changes'
- 1.2 The voting process for the proposed variation and the timetable of the progression of the Change Proposal (CP) through the DCUSA Change Control Process is set out in this document.
- 1.3 Parties are invited to consider the proposed amendments (Attachment 2) and submit their votes using the form attached as Attachment 1 to dcusa@electralink.co.uk no later than **5 December 2014**.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 DCP 219 was raised by Electricity North West with the intent to undertake changes to DCUSA to cater for two Housekeeping changes; one covering DCUSA Housekeeping Log Number 78, and the other due to the inclusion of the legal text associated with DCP 170 within the DCUSA which resulted in an incorrect cross referencing issue.

Housekeeping change 78

- 2.2 Paragraph 16 contained within both Schedule 17 and 18 are the same. However there is a sub paragraph difference between the two. Paragraph 16.5 is split into two paragraphs (16.5 and 16.6) in Schedule 17 and not in Schedule 18, meaning that the paragraph numbering in these two schedules do not align. DCP 219 seeks to amend Schedule 18 such that it matches Schedule 17. There are consequential numbering changes to the rest of paragraph 16 within this schedule to ensure full alignment of the two.

DCP 170 inclusion within DCUSA

- 2.3 The inclusion of the legal text associated with DCP 170 within the DCUSA document resulted in the deletion of the text within clause 9.5 and renumbered the existing clause 9.6 and 9.7 to new Clauses 9.5 and 9.6 rather than putting 'not used' against Clause 9.5 as per the legal text requirements thereby retaining the existing cross references. The only impact is to the definition of Part 2 matters which refers to Clause 9.6 which is now incorrect. It is proposed to change the reference to Clause 9.5 rather than 9.6. There is no other consequential impact.

- 2.4 During the Initial Assessment of DCP 129, the DCUSA Panel agreed that as the CP is a housekeeping change, it did not require a Working Group and instead should be progressed straight to the Report Phase, in accordance with DCUSA Clause 11.5.

3 PROPOSED LEGAL TEXT

- 3.1 The DCP 219 proposed legal drafting has been reviewed by the DCUSA legal advisors and is provided as Attachment 2.

4 EVALUATION AGAINST THE DCUSA OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 DCP 219 has been assessed against the DCUSA General Objectives:

- Objective 1¹ – No Impact
- Objective 2² – No Impact
- Objective 3³ – No Impact
- Objective 4⁴ – Better Facilitated
- Objective 5⁵ – No Impact

- 4.2 The Proposer explains that DCUSA General Objective 4 is better facilitated as DCP 219 will improve efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA arrangements by aligning both Schedule 17 and 18 where paragraph 16 is the same in both schedules and by ensuring that the cross referencing of clauses is correct. This will avoid any administrative queries raised by Parties regarding the misalignment and ensure that DCUSA is as accurate and easy to read as possible.

5 IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE GAS OMISSIONS

- 5.1 It is not believed that there is any material impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the

¹ The development, maintenance and operation by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of an efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution System

² The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity

³ The efficient discharge by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of the obligations imposed upon them by their Distribution Licences.

⁴ The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement and the arrangements under it

⁵ Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

implementation of this Change Proposal.

6 IMPLEMENTATION

- 6.1 DCP 219 will be implemented following the next DCUSA Release after approval by Parties. The CP has been classed as a Part 2 Matter and therefore does not require Authority consent.

7 PANEL RECOMMENDATION

- 7.1 The DCUSA Panel approved the DCP 219 Change Report at its meeting on 19 November 2014. The timetable for the progression of the CP is set out below:

Activity	Target Date
Change Report Approved by DCUSA Panel	19 November 2014
Change Report Issued For Voting	21 November 2014
Party Voting Ends	5 December 2014
Change Declaration	9 December 2014
Implementation	Next Release following Approval

- 7.2 Parties are invited to vote on DCP 219 using the voting form provided as Attachment 1.

8 ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment 1 – DCP 219 Voting Form
- Attachment 2 – DCP 219 Proposed Legal Text
- Attachment 3 – DCP 219 Change Proposal Form