

DCUSA DCP 198 CHANGE DECLARATION**VOTING END DATE: 16 JANUARY 2015**

| DCP 198 | WEIGHTED VOTING | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | DNO | IDNO | SUPPLIER | DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR | GAS SUPPLIER |
| CHANGE SOLUTION | Accept | Accept | Accept | n/a | n/a |
| IMPLEMENTATION DATE | Accept | Accept | Accept | n/a | n/a |
| RECOMMENDATION | <p>Change Solution – Accept. In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept. In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> | | | | |
| PART ONE / PART TWO | Part One – Authority Determination Required | | | | |

| PARTY | SOLUTION (A / R) | IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R) | WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED? | COMMENTS |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------|
| DNO PARTIES | | | | |
| Eastern Power Networks | Accept | Accept | General and Charging Objective 1 and | |

| | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|---|---|
| London Power Networks | Accept | Accept | Charging Objective 3 are all better facilitated as a result of this change proposal, as it will ensure that the PCDM model is consistent with DCUSA Schedules 16. | |
| South Eastern Power Networks | Accept | Accept | | |
| Southern Electric Power Distribution plc | Accept | Accept | We believe this DCP principally better facilitates DCUSA Charging Objective 1 as it aligns the Charging Methodology with the charging model. | |
| Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc | Accept | Accept | | |
| Electricity North West | Accept | Accept | We agree with the working groups view contained within the change report that this change proposal better meets DCUSA General Objectives One and DCUSA Charging Objectives One and Three | |
| Northern Powergrid Yorkshire | Accept | Accept | We agree that this change better facilitates the following objectives: General Objective 1 - The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks Charging Objective 1 - That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations | We agree that this change addresses the inconsistencies have been identified between the legal text as defined within DCUSA Schedule 16 and the current published DCUSA PCDM, which was brought under the DCUSA governance arrangements following the approval of DCP 129. As there is no impact on tariffs it should be implemented in the next available DCUSA release. |
| Northern Powergrid Northeast | Accept | Accept | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|---|--|
| | | | <p>imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence</p> <p>Charging Objective 3 - That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business</p> | |
| Western Power Distribution (South West) | Accept | Accept | | |
| Western Power Distribution (South Wales) | Accept | Accept | | |
| Western Power Distribution (West Midlands) | Accept | Accept | | |
| Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) | Accept | Accept | | |

| IDNO PARTIES | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|--|
| ESP Electricity | Accept | Accept | General Objective 1, Charging | |

| | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | Objective 1, Charging Objective 3. | |
| GTC | Accept | Accept | | In practice it is the excel workbooks that represent the methodologies approved by Ofgem, with the legal text being developed to describe the methodologies in DCUSA. Therefore, we think differences between the current drafting of the legal text in DCUSA and the Excel models arise as a consequence of errors in the drafting of the legal text. These error were only identified as a consequence of bringing the Excel models under DCUSA governance. |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|---|--|
| SUPPLIER PARTIES | | | | |
| E.ON | Accept | Accept | General Objective 1 and Charging Objectives 1 and 3 | |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES | | | | |
| | | | | |