

**DCUSA DCP 114 CHANGE DECLARATION**

**DCP 114 ‘NTC Amendments – Capacity Management (Over Utilisation)’**

**VOTING END DATE: 15 JUNE 2015**

DCP 114	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
<b>CHANGE SOLUTION</b>	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
<b>IMPLEMENTATION DATE</b>	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>	<p><b>Change Solution – Accept.</b> For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50%.</p> <p><b>Implementation Date – Accept.</b> For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50%.</p>				
<b>PART ONE / PART TWO</b>	<b>Part One</b> – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
<b>DNO PARTIES</b>				

<p>Electricity North West</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>3.1.1 - the development, maintenance and operation by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of an efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution System,                      Will be better facilitated. Without such a proposal the distributor may be constrained by having to develop the network around customers who are in breach and do nothing about it. As a consequence of this they may impact other customers who require additional capacity in the area.                      and                      3.1.3. the efficient discharge by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of the obligations imposed upon them by their Distribution Licences,                      Will be better facilitated by ensuring that SLC14.21 is based on more accurate data.                      “The licensee must, in accordance with the requirement of paragraph 14.23, give or send to any person on request a report (“the capacity report”) which shows present and future circuit capacity, forecast power flows and loading on the part or parts of the</p>	<p>No</p>
-------------------------------	---------------	---------------	--	-----------

			licensee's Distribution System specified in the request, and fault levels for each distribution node covered by the request."	
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Accept	Accept	General Objective One is better facilitated because the options available to DNOs, under the NTC, to influence customer behaviour and prevent breaches of MIC/MEC are extremely limited. Where dialogue with customers fails, the only recourse available to DNOs is the threat of de-energisation. Other than in the most severe of circumstances, DNOs have resisted enforcing this ultimate sanction. Under this proposal reinforcement costs are delayed – e.g. customers are incentivised to maintain MIC/MEC within the level(s) agreed with the DNO.	None
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) Plc	Accept	Accept		
SP Manweb plc	Accept	Accept	We agree with the evaluation included in the Change Report that General Objective 1 is better facilitated.	n/a
SP Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	General Objective 1 on the basis outlined in the Change Report.	n/a
Scottish Hydro Electric Power	Accept	Accept		

Distribution plc				
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	This change better General Objective 1 by describing a process that may be followed by DNOs and IDNOs for dealing with a breach of a connection agreement where there has been excess capacity use.	n/a
London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution(South West) plc	Accept	Accept	We believe General Objective One - The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Network is better facilitated by DCP114 because customers are incentivised to maintain MIC/MEC within the level(s) agreed with the DNO.	n/a
Western Power Distribution (South Wales) plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept		
<b>IDNO PARTIES</b>				
GTC	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
<b>SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
Npower Ltd	Accept	Accept	Objective 1. This change better enables and clarifies what is the reasonable use of pre-existing and new DNO powers, which should be more efficient than existing arrangements.	No.

			Objective 3. This change should reduce the cost of maintain the networks and therefore allowing DNO Parties to more efficiently meet their licence requirements.	
Scottish Power Energy Retail Ltd	Accept	Reject	DCUSA Objective 1 – We understand the solution proposed will potentially ensure that MIC/MEC are aligned with customers actual requirements and as a consequence better enable DNOs to ensure more efficient utilisation of the existing distribution network. New connection/modification applications will as a result be better able to be assessed against actual requirements of existing customers and on the distribution network, ensuring minimum scheme criteria is met and requirements for reinforcement works are minimised.	We do not support the implementation date and believe that the implementation of this Change proposal should be deferred until after the majority of CT metered MPANs impacted by P272/P322 have been migrated to ensure that customers have visibility of their ASC in a meaningful context.
SSE Energy Supply	Accept	Accept	Objective 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks and Objective 3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties	No

<b>DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES</b>				
n/a				

  

<b>GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
n/a				