DCP 251 and dcp 252 Consultation

To: YOUR NAME

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk)

Response Deadline: 3 June 2016

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Organisation: | Click here to enter text. |
| Role: | Choose an item. |
| Email address: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Response[[1]](#footnote-1): | Choose an item. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you understand the intent of DCP 251 and DCP 252? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the principles of the DCP 251 and DCP 252? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with the proposal to introduce the term “Qualifying Network Operator”? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please can you provide details of your assessment of the implication of implementing DCP 251 and DCP 252, namely the extension of the tariffs to unlicensed networks. If possible, please can you quantify the number of networks and the volume of electricity which might qualify (preferable in megawatts). |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please can you confirm whether the background paper, Attachment 6 provided sufficient information? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please can you confirm whether you agree with the Working Group assessments in the background paper provided as Attachment 6? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree with the definition for a Qualifying Network Operator? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are there circumstances under which unlicensed distribution systems (private network operators) should be considered as qualifying for the LDNO tariffs? Please give supporting reasons. If you consider that there are circumstances that licence exempt distribution systems do qualify please also describe the circumstances |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The Change Proposal refers to people who operate under licence exemption; however, the Working Group believes that there are individuals correctly operating outside of the allowed exemption and are therefore propose to use the term ‘unlicensed’ as opposed to ‘licence exemption’. Do you agree with this? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree that the proposal (as outlined so far) better facilitates the DCUSA objectives? Please give supporting reasons. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text for DCP 251 and DCP 252? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please can you confirm the earliest date which you believe DCP 251 and DCP 252 can be implemented? Please provide your reasons why. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

1. All responses will be treated as non-confidential unless indicated otherwise.

   Anonymous responses will omit the detail of the submitting party but the content of the response will be provided to the Working Group and published on the DCUSA website.

   Confidential responses will not be published on the DCUSA website but submitted solely to the Working Group for the analysis of the CP. For all other confidentiality requirements please contact the secretariat at DCUSA @electralink.co.uk or 0207 7432 3017 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)