

APPENDIX B - REPONSE FORM

To: Elizabeth Lawlor
 Email: DCUSA@electralink.co.uk
 Fax: 020 7462 8715

Name: Donna Townsend

Organisation: Laing O'Rourke Energy

Role: IDNO

Email Address: dmtownsend@laingorourke.com

Phone Number: 01480 402640

How do the proposed CPs better facilitate the DCUSA Objectives?

<i>Change Proposal</i>	<i>Better Facilitates (Yes/No)</i>	<i>Which Objective</i>	<i>Reasons/Comments</i>
DCP001	No	(c)	Current annual process needs to be recognised. Otherwise efficient discharge of IDNO obligations could be compromised.
DCP001a	Yes, but superior to 1b	(b) mainly (a) to a degree	Competition in the generation and supply market would be enhanced by more orderly charge setting processes by distributors. There could be efficiency benefits for DNO customers, including IDNOs.
DCP001b	Yes, but inferior to 1a.	(b) mainly (a) to a degree	As above, but the benefits identified would be lesser.

DCP001c	No	(c)	Current annual process needs to be recognised. Otherwise efficient discharge of IDNO obligations could be compromised.

Are there any other alternative solutions you would like to be considered by the DCP 001 Working Group?

No

Reasons and explanations:

N/a

If you believe more than one solution better facilitate the DCUSA objectives, indicate an order of priority between each of the alternatives. This will inform the Panel's decision about which alternate(s) to put forward for formal voting in addition to the original CP.

Highest Priority:

1a

Next Priority if any:

1b

Next Priority if any:

n/a

Next Priority if any:

n/a

Reasons and explanations:

The competitive benefits (predictability of charges; reduced administration costs) of the change will be greatest if DNOs are constrained to trying to limit change to one set of changes per year.

Indicate if you expect to incur any costs to support each alternative, particularly where these are related to internal system changes:

Our costs are sensitive to the number of tariff changes proposed by a relevant DNO within the year.

Comments on the suitability of the proposed implementation date for each CP and each alternative:

The proposal for implementation 10 working days after a decision is appropriate.

Reasons and explanations:

No systems response on implementation.

Any other comments or views on the Change Proposal and Alternatives:

No.

Please clearly indicate which parts, if any, of your comments are to be treated by the Working Group and Panel as confidential.

None