

APPENDIX B - RESPONSE FORM

To: Elizabeth Lawlor
 Email: DCUSA@electralink.co.uk
 Fax: 020 7462 8715

Name: Nigel Menzies

Organisation: EDF Energy Customers plc

Role: **Supplier** / DNO / IDNO / Other – Please Specify:

Email Address: nigel.menzies@edfenergy.com

Phone Number: 01273 428360

How do the proposed CPs better facilitate the DCUSA Objectives?

<i>Change Proposal</i>	<i>Better Facilitates (Yes/No)</i>	<i>Which Objective</i>	<i>Reasons/Comments</i>
DCP001	Yes	Objective 2 (partially)	For the reasons set out in the change proposal
DCP001a	Yes	Objective 2 (partially)	As for DCP001, with improved drafting to keep the detail of the notice and introduces “reasonable endeavours”. Therefore better than DCP001.
DCP001b	Yes	Objective 2 and Objective 3, both partially	As for DCP001a A second fixed opportunity for a change in charges may reduce large changes compared to once a year and reduce risk of over recovery.
DCP001c	No	None	Effectively the same as at present. No certainty on when charges may change.

Are there any other alternative solutions you would like to be considered by the DCP 001 Working Group?

Reasons and explanations:

If you believe more than one solution better facilitate the DCUSA objectives, indicate an order of priority between each of the alternatives. This will inform the Panel's decision about which alternate(s) to put forward for formal voting in addition to the original CP.

Highest Priority:

DCP001a

Next Priority if any:

DCP001b

Next Priority if any:**Next Priority if any:****Reasons and explanations:**

Limiting changes to charges to a single known date should make the associated workload less for Distributors (DNOs and IDNOs) and Suppliers. If a single date is too restrictive and risks bigger step changes in prices and possible over recovery if underlying costs change over a whole year, then allowing a possible second fixed date for a change in charges is an acceptable alternative.

Indicate if you expect to incur any costs to support each alternative, particularly where these are related to internal system changes:

No costs, indeed limiting changes in charges to once or twice a year on predictable dates will reduce internal costs for all Parties and hence facilitate competition in supply and promote competition in sale and distribution.

Comment on the suitability of the proposed implementation date for each CP and each alternative:

The implementation date for DCP001 is not suitable.

The implementation dates for DCP001a/b/c are suitable.

Reasons and explanations:

The implementation date for DCP001 is clearly unachievable. The date of 10WD after Authority Consent in each of the alternatives is the correct approach.

Any other comments or views on the Change Proposal and Alternatives:**Please clearly indicate which parts, if any, of your comments are to be treated by the Working Group and Panel as confidential.**

None of the above is confidential.