

APPENDIX B - RESPONSE FORM

To: Elizabeth Lawlor
 Email: DCUSA@electralink.co.uk
 Fax: 020 7462 8715

Name: Alison Hughes

Organisation: BizzEnergy Ltd

Role: Supplier

Email Address: AlisonH@BizzEnergy.com

Phone Number: 01905 368615

How do the proposed CPs better facilitate the DCUSA Objectives?

<i>Change Proposal</i>	<i>Better Facilitates (Yes/No)</i>	<i>Which Objective</i>	<i>Reasons/Comments</i>
DCP001	No		<p>Companies are varying their Use of System charges throughout the year. However, whilst we sympathise with the administration of this, we believe the issue to be addressed is one of volatility/lack of predictability rather than the number of changes per year. This is particularly an issue for suppliers with a portfolio of customers inconsistent with the overall mix in the DNO region.</p> <p>For the sector we supply (smaller commercial customers), contract sales and renewals occur throughout the year rather than concentrated around 1st April. Smaller changes throughout the year are preferable to large scale amendments on an annual (or six-monthly) basis.</p> <p>We do not believe that DCUSA Objective 2 (effective competition in generation and supply) is better facilitated by this change and therefore can not support the change.</p>
DCP001a	No		See Above
DCP001b	No		See Above

DCP001c	No		See Above.

Are there any other alternative solutions you would like to be considered by the DCP 001 Working Group?

Reasons and explanations:

If you believe more than one solution better facilitate the DCUSA objectives, indicate an order of priority between each of the alternatives. This will inform the Panel's decision about which alternate(s) to put forward for formal voting in addition to the original CP.

Highest Priority:

Next Priority if any:

Next Priority if any:

Next Priority if any:

Reasons and explanations: We do not believe that any of the proposed solutions better facilitate the DCUSA objectives. However, in terms of formal voting our priority order would be DCP001c (Highest), DCP001b, DCP001a, DCP0001 (lowest). Two changes at any time are preferable to restricting the DNO to one/two amendments at a specified time.

Indicate if you expect to incur any costs to support each alternative, particularly where these are related to internal system changes:

Comment on the suitability of the proposed implementation date for each CP and each alternative:

Reasons and explanations:

Any other comments or views on the Change Proposal and Alternatives:

Please clearly indicate which parts, if any, of your comments are to be treated by the Working Group and Panel as confidential.

This can be treated as a non-confidential response.