DCP 341/342 Joint Working Group Meeting 02

12 April 2019 at 9:00am
Skype Meeting / Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Alessandra De Zottis [AZ]	UK Power Reserve
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Catalina Rozo [CR]	Zenobē Energy
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Claire Campbell [CC]	SP Energy Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Emma Clark [EC]	SSEN
Rebecca Cailes [RC]	BU-UK
Sebastian Eyre [SE]	ESP Electricity
Observers	
Ankita Mheara [AM]	Ofgem
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apology	Company
Karl Maryon [KM]	Haven Power
Matt Thomas [MT]	Fulcrum
Lee Wells [LW]	Northern Powergrid

1. Administration

1.1 The Secretariat noted the welcome and apologies for this meeting.

1.2 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes for meeting 01, and an amendment was requested to the last sentence of paragraph 3.5 as follows:

> *Further to this, and with respect to the proposed 'Condition E1', one member noted that the relationship to a licensee may cause an issue as the majority of ~~not all~~ distribution connected storage facilities will not be in possession of a generation licence.*

1.4 Other than the amendment noted above, the Working Group agreed that the minutes were a true and accurate record of proceedings.

1.5 The Working Group reviewed the items on the actions list from the last meeting. Updates on all actions are provided in Appendix A.

2. Review and discussion of draft legal text

2.1 It was noted that following the last meeting at which the Working Group drafted the legal text amendments that would be required as a basis to request updated models against, some further suggestions had been made and as such agreement was needed. Further to this, and in-between the two meetings, the Authority had provided their decision to approve DCP 268 *'DUoS Charging Using HH settlement data'*. It was noted that this decision had impacts on the legal text for DCP 341 as some paragraphs are amended by both Change Proposals (CPs). It was noted that the Secretariat issued an updated version of the legal text for DCP 341 via email to the group which had been tracked changed against a version that incorporates DCP 268.

2.2 The Working Group reviewed both sets of legal text, during which the group agreed to some minor amendments and the documents capturing these amendments are contained in Attachment 1 to the minutes.

2.3 During the review if the legal text for DCP 342, a Working Group member queried if the EDCM demand revenue target needed to change~~, if less revenue is collected under the CDCM than before~~. It was noted that that any reduction in revenue collected as a result of excluding the residual element of demand charges in the ~~C~~EDCM will be recovered from the remainder of ~~C~~EDCM demand customers and thus no there is no reduction in the revenue collected via the ~~C~~EDCM.

2.4 The Chair questioned whether the Working Group had any views on how to incorporate the other component of the CP, being the confirmation from a Supplier that a customer is eligible for a storage tariff, within the text for the EDCM. The Working Group discussed this question and concluded that if the site is SVA registered than the use of a Supplier notification should be sufficient. However, for sites that are CVA registered it was believed that this confirmation

would need to be factored into their individual connection agreements or via some form of agreed process.

2.5 The Chair asked if the Working Group had considered where within Schedules 17 and 18 the confirmation of eligibility should sit, to which it was agreed that the Working Group would take an action to give this area some consideration prior to the next meeting. It was noted that the definition and identification of applicable storage facilities and the eligibility criteria for those facilities has been made clear in the text.

ACTION: 02/01 – Working Group to consider where within Schedules 17 and 18 the confirmation of eligibility for storage facilities should sit.

3. Review of Modelling Support Request Specification

3.1 It was noted that the Secretariat issued modelling specification document for DCP 341 via email to the group during the meeting and explained that a separate document for DCP 342 would be issued to the Working Group following the meeting.

POST MEETING NOTE: For completeness, the post meeting version of the modelling specification cover sheets are contained in Attachment 2 to the minutes.

3.2 The Chair highlighted that the document explains the interactions with DCP 268 and provides clear instruction on which model/s are to be used as the baseline and how the impact assessment should be undertaken.

3.3 The Working Group reviewed this document, noting that they had a decision to make as to what data they would provide to the modelling consultant so that the can carry out an impact assessment. The Chair asked if the DNO Working Group members had been able to consider forecast volumes for storage sites as set out in Action 01/03, to which it was noted that they had not. Therefore, the Working Group agreed to proceed with the alternative option which was to provide a forecast assumption that 5% of generators are storage sites and thus to use import data of these sites as the forecast volumes. AE agreed to take an action to compile this data and circulate to the Working Group for review.

ACTION: 02/02 – AE to compile forecast volumes data based on the assumption that 5% of generators are storage sites and thus use the import data of these sites as the forecast volumes across the DNO areas and circulate to the Working Group for review.

4. Next steps and Work Plan

4.1 The Working Group reviewed and updated the Work Plan and in doing so agreed that the next steps should cover off the actions taken during the meeting. The updated Work Plan acts as Attachment 3 to the minutes and a summary of the next steps is below:

- ElectraLink to issue both sets of draft legal text and both modelling support specification cover sheets to the Working Group for review following the meeting
- Working Group to review the draft legal texts and draft legal text and both modelling support specification cover sheets and provide any comments by midday on 15 April 2019.

- ElectraLink to provide modelling specification pack to the relevant Panel members for approval to be issued to the modelling consultant on 15 April 2019.
- ElectraLink to complete a first draft of the consultation document and circulate to the Working Group by 16 April 2019.
- Working Group to review draft of the consultation document prior to next meeting.
- It was agreed that the next meeting is to be held on 23 April 2019 between 2pm and 5pm for the purpose of reviewing the draft consultation document.

5. Any Other Business

5.1 There were no items of any other business and the Chair closed the meeting.

6. List of Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 341/342 Draft Legal Text
- Attachment 3 – DCP 341/342 Modelling Specification Cover Sheets
- Attachment 3 – DCP 341/342 Work Plan

Appendix A – Actions

New and Open Actions (Updates set out in blue)

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	Find/collate and circulate any relevant materials related to the CUSC and BSC modifications to the Working Group.	Nicholas Rubin	12/04/2019 – Ongoing
01/05	Complete a first draft of the consultation document and circulate to the Working Group by 12 April 2019.	ElectraLink	12/04/2019 – Ongoing: further discussion held on 12 April 2019 and so new date will be by 16 April 2019.
02/01	Consider where within Schedules 17 and 18 the confirmation of eligibility for storage facilities should sit.	Working Group	
02/02	Compile forecast volumes data based on the assumption that 5% of generators are storage sites and thus use the import data of these sites as the forecast volumes across the DNO areas and circulate to the Working Group for review.	Andrew Enzor	

Closed Actions (Updates set out in blue)

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
~~**01/01**~~	~~Find/collate and circulate any relevant materials related to the CUSC and BSC modifications to the Working Group.~~	~~Nicholas Rubin~~	~~12/04/2019 – Completed: Provided to the Working Group on 12 April 2019.~~
01/02	Mirror the amendments made to the legal text for Schedule 17 in Schedule 18 and then circulate the draft legal text to the group to review.	ElectraLink	12/04/2019 – Completed: Provided to the Working Group following the first meeting
01/03	Consider forecast volumes for storage sites which can then be provided to the modelling consultant for use in an impact assessment. However, if this forecast data can't easily be produced then DNOs could assume a % of generators are storage sites and thus use import data of these site in lieu of the forecast data.	DNO Working Group members	12/04/2019 – Completed: Working Group agreed to the approach to be used and further action taken at meeting on 12 April 2019 to provide this to the group.
01/04	Create and issue modelling specification pack and provide to the relevant Panel members for approval to be issued to the modelling consultant.	ElectraLink	15/04/2019 – Completed: modelling specification pack issued to the modelling consultant.