

DCP 328 Working Group Meeting 11

03 June 2019 at 14:00

Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chris Barker (CB)	BU-UK
Donna Townsend (DT)	ESP Electricity Limited
Tom Chevalier (TC)	Power Data Associates
Lee Stone (LS)	EON
Andrew Enzor (AE)	NPg
Donald Preston (DP)	SSE
Rebecca Cailles (RC)	BU-UK
Nigel Kempson (NK)	WPD
Chris Ong (CO)	UKPN
Paul Jeffries (PJ)	Leep Utilities
David Stearstree (DS)	Leep Utilities
Christine Jamieson	Xero Energy Limited
Dave Wornell (DW)	DW
Virginia Patey (VP)	St Clements
Code Administrator	
John Lawton (JL) (Chair)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RJC] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Julie Haughey (JH)	EDF
Derek McGlashan (DMcG)	Forthports
Kathryn Evans (KE)	SPEN

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group noted that they were happy with the minutes from the previous meeting held on 17th May 2019. An update on the actions can be found in Appendix 1.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to continue to review the proposed solutions and decide next steps regarding the legal text.

3. Review of proposed solutions and legal text

- 3.1 The Working Group have three proposed solutions, one for differencing metering and two for fully settled and shared metering. A summary of these are below:

Differencing Metering

- The licensed distributor to issue only one use of system invoice for the entire site, to the boundary supplier. This aligns with the approach in use across a number of private networks with difference metering.

Fully Settled or Shared Metering

- 3.2 There are currently two possible options regarding fully settled and shared metering as below:
 - The licensed distributor charges as if the customer were connected to its network with the PNO able to claim a rebate for voltage the PNO has provided.
 - the licensed distributor introduces new tariffs based on deducting certain elements from its existing tariffs to reflect costs which are being borne by the PNO rather than the licensed distributor.

- 3.3 Regarding the differencing metering solution, the Working Group agreed to use the legal text that was drafted within DCP 158 as starting point. An action was taken by AE and JL to review, update and add the legal text as tracked changes to Schedule 16, 17 and 18.
- 3.4 Regarding the proposed solutions for fully settled and shared metering, the Working Group agreed that they would like to consult on both options, and therefore it was agreed that the legal text would be drafted for both options.
- 3.5 The Working Group are going to consult on the proposed options above and therefore within the consultation there will be two sets of legal text included as below:
- DCP328 Legal text - Boundary supplier for difference metering and PNO rebate for fully settled solution
 - DCP328 Legal text - Boundary supplier for difference metering and new tariffs for fully settled solution
- 3.6 Therefore, industry will be able to review the proposed legal text for differencing metering, along with the two proposed solutions for fully settled and shared metering.
- 3.7 AE and JL took an action to make a first draft of the proposed legal text for both options regarding fully settled and shared metering and to include these as tracked changes to Schedule 16, 17 and 18.

ACTION 11/01: Produce draft legal text for differencing metering option and both fully settled and shared metering options.

Post meeting note

- 3.8 First drafts of the legal text for the options above have been completed and these can be found in Attachment 1 and 2 associated with these minutes. Attachment 1 details draft legal text for the differencing metering solution and the PNO rebate option and Attachment 2 details draft legal text for the differencing metering solution and the new tariff solution.

4. Work Plan

- 4.1 An updated version can be found in Attachment 3.

5. Agenda Items for the next meeting

- 5.1 It was agreed to add the following item to the next agenda:
- Review of proposed legal text
 - Draft second consultation

6. Any Other Business

- 6.1 There were no further items of AOB and the Chair closed the meeting.

7. Date of Next Meeting –

7.1 It was agreed that the next Working Group would be on 17th June 2019 (2pm-5pm).

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1: DCP328 Legal text - Boundary supplier for difference metering and PNO rebate for fully settled solution
- Attachment 2: DCP328 Legal text - Boundary supplier for difference metering and new tariffs for fully settled solution
- Attachment 3: Updated DCP 328 Work Plan

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	ElectraLink to consider approaches to ensure appropriate engagement with private network operators.	ElectraLink	Ongoing and considered at each meeting or consultation circulation
09/01	RC to contact Ofgem to clarify whether option 3 would place an obligation on PNOs to have an approved charging methodology.	ElectraLink	
10/04	Secretariat to seek legal advice on how long after the billing period can a claim be made by a PNO if Option B was implemented	ElectraLink	
10/03	Secretariat to produce a draft of the second DCP 328 consultation document	ElectraLink	Ongoing
11/01	Produce draft legal text for differencing metering solution and both fully settled and shared metering options.	ElectraLink	Provided in Attachment 1 and 2 of these minutes.

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/02	ElectraLink to circulate details of the change proposal that look at introducing NTC to DEHs.	ElectraLink	Completed

01/03	AE to expand on option 2 and consider whether there is a need for a fifth option	AE	Completed
01/03	The Secretariat to update work plan and circulate for approval	ElectraLink	Completed
02/01	The secretariat to circulate the outcome of the discussions regarding pros, cons and consumer impacts for each option and the Working Group to provide responses to the questions posed (see Appendix 1).	ElectraLink	Completed
02/02	The secretariat to produce draft consultation and circulate prior to next meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed
03/01	Updated the draft consultation document	ElectraLink	Completed
03/02	Working Group to provide comments on draft consultation by 11 th January 2019	ElectraLink	Completed
04/01	Updated the draft consultation document and circulate to Working Group by 11 th January.	ElectraLink	Completed
04/02	Update the example diagrams and text within Section 3 of the document in regards to how the meters are referenced.	AE	Completed
04/03	Circulate DCP 158 CP and background information which looked at how to bill DUoS where there is a supplier within a PNO network.	JL	Completed
05/01	Updated the draft consultation document and circulate to Working Group prior to next meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed
06/01	Publish consultation on 1 st February for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	Completed
08/01	<p>The working group is to reflect on the consultation responses and consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What would the option 6 (UKPN) solution look like both at the boundary MPAN and for embedded MPANs? - Can option 6 forms part of the solution associated with option 2 or 5? If yes what would it look like. 	All	Completed

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What are the pros and cons for Option 6 and any variation to option 2 and 5 that incorporates option 6 and whether they can operate against the three metering types? 		
08/02	<p>All the options to be considered further to determine whether they are appropriate for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - each PNO type; - Settlements (NHH v HH); and - CDCM or EDCM. 	All	Completed
08/03	Secretariat to update the consultation responses document with the Working Groups comments and circulate to group for final review by Monday 1 st April.	ElectraLink	Completed
07/01	Investigate the comment regarding competition law to fully understand what needs to be considered.	ElectraLink	Completed
09/02	JL to develop option 1 further, considering the pros and cons.	JL	Completed
09/03	AE and TC to develop option 3 further to see if this solution could work for fully settled metering.	AE and TC	Completed
09/04	JL to merge option 5 and 6 to cater for fully settled and shared metering, considering the pros and cons of this solution.	JL	Completed
10/01	AE to make a first attempt at calculating reduced tariffs which could apply to customers connected to PNO networks	AE	Completed
			Completed