DCUSA

DCP 328 Working Group Meeting 08

20th March 2019 at 10:00am
Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chris Barker (CB)	BU-UK
Tom Chevalier (TC)	Power Data Associates
Lee Stone (LS)	EON
Andrew Enzor (AE)	NPg
Donald Preston (DP)	SSE
Rebecca Cailes (RC)	BU-UK
Nigel Kempson (NK)	WPD
Chris Ong (CO)	UKPN
Virginia Patey (VP)	St Clements
George Moran (GM)	Centrica
Paul Jeffries (PJ)	Leep Utilities
Richard Ellison (RE)	Leep Utilities
Code Administrator	
John Lawton (JL) (Chair)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RJC] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Donna Townsend (DT)	ESP Electricity Limited
Julie Haughey (JH)	EDF

12th March 2019

Derek McGlashan (DMcG)	Forthports
Dave Wornell (DW)	WPD

1. Administration

1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.

1.2 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Guidance". All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

1.3 The Working Group noted that they were happy with the minutes from the previous meeting held on 12th March 2019. These minutes can be found in Attachment 1.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to continue with the review the consultation responses.

3. Review of consultation responses

3.1 The Working Group reviewed the consultation responses received by industry parties. Key points below:

- The Working Group had previously reviewed the consultation responses up to question 6.
- The Working Group concluded that the cons outweighed the pros and that option 4 would not be considered further by the Working Group.
- The Working Group agreed that option 5 will be explored further to see if the proposed alternative option from UKPN stated in their consultation response could help solve the issue with allocation of capacity
- UKPN put forward a potential alternative option, where there is a new tariff structure. An example which they considered was whether all PNO customers, whether boundary or embedded, have a fixed charge and unit charges only or unit charges only, with some smearing of capacity/fixed as appropriate. The Working Group agreed to consider this option further and also consider whether this could be incorporated with option 2 or 5.
- All respondents agreed with the Working Groups pros and cons against each option and any additions where included in the earlier questions.
- In regard to the DCUSA Charging Objectives, the Working Group concluded that these will be better assessed once the legal text has been developed for any proposed solution/s.
- The Working Group agreed that consideration was needed on whether there is one solution for all PNOs or is more than one solution required dependent on type of PNO?

- Out of the five options detailed in the consultation only option 4 at this stage has been dropped.
- Secretariat to update the consultation responses document with the Working Groups comments and circulate to group for final review.

ACTION 08/01:
The working group is to reflect on the consultation responses and consider the following:

- **What would the option 6 (UKPN) solution look like both at the boundary MPAN and for embedded MPANs?**
- **Can option 6 forms part of the solution associated with option 2 or 5? If yes what would it look like.**
- **What are the pros and cons for Option 6 and any variation to option 2 and 5 that incorporates option 6 and whether they can operate against the three metering types?**

ACTION 08/02:

All the options to be considered further to determine whether they are appropriate for:

- **each PNO type;**
- **Settlements (NHH v HH); and**
- **CDCM or EDCM.**

ACTION 08/03

Secretariat to update the consultation responses document with the Working Groups comments and circulate to group for final review.

4. Work Plan

4.1 An updated version can be found in Attachment 2.

5. Agenda Items for the next meeting

5.1 It was agreed to add the following item to the next agenda:

- Further develop the options the Working Group have decided to progress.

6. Any Other Business

6.1 There were no further items of AOB and the Chair closed the meeting.

7. Date of Next Meeting –

7.1 It was agreed that the next Working Group would be on 24th April 2019.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1: DCP 328 Minutes – 12th March 2019

- Attachment 2: Updated DCP 328 Work Plan

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	ElectraLink to consider approaches to ensure appropriate engagement with private network operators.	ElectraLink	
07/01	Investigate the comment regarding competition law to fully understand what needs to be considered.	ElectraLink	
08/01	The working group is to reflect on the consultation responses and consider the following: - What would the option 6 (UKPN) solution look like both at the boundary MPAN and for embedded MPANs? - Can option 6 forms part of the solution associated with option 2 or 5? If yes what would it look like. - What are the pros and cons for Option 6 and any variation to option 2 and 5 that incorporates option 6 and whether they can operate against the three metering types?	All	
08/02	All the options to be considered further to determine whether they are appropriate for: - each PNO type; - Settlements (NHH v HH); and - CDCM or EDCM.	All	

08/03	Secretariat to update the consultation responses document with the Working Groups comments and circulate to group for final review by Monday 1st April.	Secretariat	

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/02	ElectraLink to circulate details of the change proposal that look at introducing NTC to DEHs.	ElectraLink	Completed
01/03	AE to expand on option 2 and consider whether there is a need for a fifth option	AE	Completed
01/03	The Secretariat to update work plan and circulate for approval	ElectraLink	Completed
02/01	The secretariat to circulate the outcome of the discussions regarding pros, cons and consumer impacts for each option and the Working Group to provide responses to the questions posed (see Appendix 1).	ElectraLink	Completed
02/02	The secretariat to produce draft consultation and circulate prior to next meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed
03/01	Updated the draft consultation document	ElectraLink	Completed
03/02	Working Group to provide comments on draft consultation by 11th January 2019	ElectraLink	Completed
04/01	Updated the draft consultation document and circulate to Working Group by 11th January.	ElectraLink	Completed
04/02	Update the example diagrams and text within Section 3 of the document in regards to how the meters are referenced.	AE	Completed
04/03	Circulate DCP 158 CP and background information which looked at how to bill DUoS where there is a supplier within a PNO network.	JL	Completed
05/01	Updated the draft consultation document and circulate to Working Group prior to next meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed
06/01	Publish consultation on 1st February for a period of three weeks.	ElectraLink	Completed