

# DCP 328 Working Group Meeting 07

12<sup>th</sup> March 2019 at 10:00am

Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Julie Haughey (JH)	EDF
Donna Townsend (DT)	ESP Electricity Limited
Lee Stone (LS)	EON
Andrew Enzor (AE)	NPg
Donald Preston (DP)	SSE
Rebecca Cailles (RC)	BU-UK
Nigel Kempson (NK)	WPD
Chris Ong (CO)	UKPN
Virginia Patey (VP)	St Clements
Derek McGlashan (DMcG)	Forthports
Paul Jeffries (PJ)	Leep Utilities
Dave Worrell (DW)	WPD
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton (JL) (Chair)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RJC] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Tom Chevalier (TC)	Power Data Associates
Chris Barker (CB)	BU-UK

12<sup>th</sup> March 2019

George Moran (GM)	Centrica
Dave Worrell (DW)	WPD

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group noted that they were happy with the minutes from the previous meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2019, with the exception of two minor changes as below:
  - Use ESP Electricity Limited when referencing not ESPUG; and
  - Correct formatting in section 1.

The updated minutes can be found in Attachment 1.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the consultation responses.

## 3. Review of consultation responses

---

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the consultation responses received by industry parties. Key points below:
  - In total 15 responses were received
  - Respondents agreed that the Working Group has identified an appropriate range of private networks. Some additional examples were included for example other large industrial sites, such as chemical works or steel works with substantial networks ‘inside the fence’ and other users such as contractor compounds or tenanted industrial activity.
  - Four respondents were representing PNOs.
  - All respondents understood the intent of the CP.
  - There was a comment received regarding consideration of competition law, particularly in relation to margin squeeze. The Secretariat took an action to contact the respondent to understand this response further.
  - After review of the responses regarding Option 1 the Working Group agreed that this option should be further developed.
  - After review of the responses regarding Option 2 the Working Group agreed that this option should not be further developed.

- After review of the responses to Option 3 the Working Group concluded that, whilst this option is not appropriate for large PNOs, it may work for smaller PNOs. The Working Group will leave this option open and consider this further.
- The Working Group agreed that a further meeting was needed to finish the review of the consultation responses and this was scheduled for 20<sup>th</sup> March.
- Once the Working Group has finished with the review of the consultation responses this will be published on the DCUSA website.

**ACTION 07/01: Investigate the comment regarding competition law to fully understand what needs to be considered.**

#### 4. Work Plan

---

- 4.1 An updated version can be found in Attachment 2.

#### 5. Agenda Items for the next meeting

---

- 5.1 It was agreed to add the following item to the next agenda:
- Continue review and responses to consultation feedback.
  - Establish which options the Working Group will develop further.

#### 6. Any Other Business

---

- 6.1 There were no further items of AOB and the Chair closed the meeting.

#### 7. Date of Next Meeting –

---

- 7.1 It was agreed that the next Working Group would be on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2019.

#### 8. Attachments

---

- Attachment 1: DCP 328 Minutes – 30<sup>th</sup> January 2019
- Attachment 2: Updated DCP 328 Work Plan

## New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	ElectraLink to consider approaches to ensure appropriate engagement with private network operators.	ElectraLink	
07/01	Investigate the comment regarding competition law to fully understand what needs to be considered.	ElectraLink	

## Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>01/02</b>	ElectraLink to circulate details of the change proposal that look at introducing NTC to DEHs.	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>01/03</b>	AE to expand on option 2 and consider whether there is a need for a fifth option	AE	Completed
<b>01/03</b>	The Secretariat to update work plan and circulate for approval	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>02/01</b>	The secretariat to circulate the outcome of the discussions regarding pros, cons and consumer impacts for each option and the Working Group to provide responses to the questions posed (see Appendix 1).	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>02/02</b>	The secretariat to produce draft consultation and circulate prior to next meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>03/01</b>	Updated the draft consultation document	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>03/02</b>	Working Group to provide comments on draft consultation by 11 <sup>th</sup> January 2019	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>04/01</b>	Updated the draft consultation document and circulate to Working Group by 11 <sup>th</sup> January.	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>04/02</b>	Update the example diagrams and text within Section 3 of the document in regards to how the meters are referenced.	AE	Completed
<b>04/03</b>	Circulate DCP 158 CP and background information which looked at how to bill DUoS where there is a supplier within a PNO network.	JL	Completed
<b>05/01</b>	Updated the draft consultation document and circulate to Working Group prior to next meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed



06/01

Publish consultation on 1<sup>st</sup> February for a period of three weeks.

ElectraLink

Completed