











DCUSA Change Declaration		At what stage is this document in the process?
<div>DCP 323</div> <div>Update Schedule 25 – Appendix 2</div> <div>Removal of Unused Data Items</div> <div><i>Raised on 13 June 2018</i></div> <div><i>As a Standard Change</i></div>	01 – Change Proposal	
	02 – Consultation	
	03 – Change Report	
	04 – Change Declaration	
<div>Purpose of Change Proposal:</div> <div>This Change Proposal seeks to update the data items within the TRAS Providers Programming Manual to reflect the removal of unused data Items in consumption and outcome submissions.</div>		
	<div>DCUSA Parties have voted on DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP) 323 with the outcome being a decision on whether or not the Change Proposal (CP) is to be accepted and the proposed variation to the DCUSA made accordingly.</div> <div>The DCUSA Parties consolidated votes are provided as Attachment 1.</div>	
	<div>For DCP 323, DCUSA Parties have voted to:</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Accept the proposed variation (solution); and</li><li>Accept the implementation date of DCP 323</li></ul></div>	
	<div>Impacted Parties: Suppliers</div>	
	<div>Impacted Clauses: Schedule 25 – Appendix 2</div>	

Contents		 Any questions?														
1	Summary	3														
2	Governance	3														
3	Why Change?	3														
4	Solution	4														
5	Relevant Objectives	4														
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	5														
7	Implementation	5														
8	Legal Text	5														
9	Code Specific Matters	5														
10	Voting	5														
11	Recommendations	6														
Timeline																
<p>The timetable for the progression of the CP is as follows:</p> <p><b>Change Proposal timetable</b></p> <table><tr><th>Activity</th><th>Date</th></tr><tr><td>Initial Assessment Report Approved by Panel</td><td>13 June 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Change Report Approved by Panel</td><td>18 July 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Change Report issued for Voting</td><td>17 August 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Party Voting Closes</td><td>10 September 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Change Declaration Issued to Parties</td><td>12 September 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Implementation</td><td>01 November 2018</td></tr></table>			Activity	Date	Initial Assessment Report Approved by Panel	13 June 2018	Change Report Approved by Panel	18 July 2018	Change Report issued for Voting	17 August 2018	Party Voting Closes	10 September 2018	Change Declaration Issued to Parties	12 September 2018	Implementation	01 November 2018
Activity	Date															
Initial Assessment Report Approved by Panel	13 June 2018															
Change Report Approved by Panel	18 July 2018															
Change Report issued for Voting	17 August 2018															
Party Voting Closes	10 September 2018															
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	12 September 2018															
Implementation	01 November 2018															
		Contact: <b>Austin Gash</b>														
		 <b>DCUSA@electralink.co.uk</b>														
		 <b>0207 432 3008</b>														
		Proposer: <b>Spark Energy</b>														
		 <b>Julie.Jeffreys@sparkenergy.co.uk</b>														
																

## 1 Summary

### What?

- 1.1 During discussions at the TRAS Expert Group (TEG) the Theft Risk Assessment Service (TRAS) Provider (Experian) undertook a review of the use of Supplier data within the service. The purpose of the review was to address Data Protection concerns and ensure that only relevant data items were being provided on an ongoing basis. As a result, Experian raised a Contact Change Notification (TRAS089) putting forward options for the removal of unused data items and update to the Programming Manual. The TEG agreed for unused data items to no longer be populated, and any historical data provided will be cleared from the service. Suppliers will no longer submit data items to the unused fields.
- 1.2 Suppliers are to be notified that if submitted unused data items will be auto deleted as they are no longer required. Experian is to flag unused data items to the submitting Supplier to ensure this is not repeated and provide updates on Suppliers still submitting unused data items at Service TRAS Review meeting for the SPAA and DCUSA to follow up.

### Why?

- 1.3 The TRAS Programming Manual has been updated to reflect the changes to the submission of unused data items to the TRAS Service Provider. For consistency the change should be reflected in the SPAA Schedule 34 and DCUSA Schedule 25, Appendix 2.

### How?

- 1.4 There will be an amendment to be made to Schedule 25, Appendix 2 to show the description/permitted value has been updated to 'NO LONGER REQUIRED - Leave as 'null' (blank/empty)' in the below:

#### **Consumption – Residential**

- Current Tariff Start Date

#### **Outcome – Residential**

- Crime Reference No.

#### **Consumption – Commercial**

- Current Contract Start Date

#### **Outcome – Commercial**

- Crime Reference No.

- 1.5 Attachment 2 reflects the changes made to the Programming Manual.

## 2 Governance

### Justification for consideration as either a Part 1 or Part 2 Matter

- 2.1 This Change Proposal should be classed as a Part 2 Matter as it does not have a material impact on DCUSA Parties and does not meet the criteria that would require the Authority to make a decision.

## 3 Why Change?

### Background of DCP 323

- 3.1 This proposed amendment will facilitate governance in how data items for TRAS are presented and ensure consistency between the DCUSA code and TRAS programming Manual.

## 4 Solution

### DCP 323 Assessment

- 4.1 With recommendation from the TEG, the DCP 323 Change Proposal (CP) was raised by Spark Energy to update supply address data items in DCUSA Schedule 25, Appendix 2 to align with the commercial and residential consumption and outcome tabs of the TRAS Programming Manual.
- 4.2 The CP seeks to make housekeeping updates to Schedule 25 following the approval and implementation of DCP 277. The proposed changes will align appendix 2 of the DCUSA with the Programming Manual data items.

## 5 Relevant Objectives

### Evaluation Against the DCUSA Objectives

- 5.1 For a DCUSA Change Proposal to be approved, it must be demonstrated that it better meets the DCUSA Objectives. There are five General DCUSA Objectives and six Charging Objectives.
- 5.2 The Proposer and the TEG consider that DCP 323 better facilitates the following DCUSA General Objective:

DCUSA General Objectives	Identified impact
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks	None
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity	None
<input type="checkbox"/> 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences	None
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	Positive impact
<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

- 5.3 General Objective 4 is better facilitated by DCP 323 as this change will help to ensure the effective operation of TRAS arrangements through providing Suppliers with clear process for populating data items.

## 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

### Consumer Impacts

- 6.1 The Proposer did not identify any material impact on consumers from the implementation of this CP.

### Environmental Impacts

- 6.2 In accordance with DCUSA Clause 11.14.6, the Proposer assessed whether there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions if DCP 323 were implemented. The Proposer did not identify any material impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the implementation of this CP.

## 7 Implementation

- 7.1 It is intended that this Change Proposal will be implemented in the next applicable DCUSA release following approval, which is expected to be 01 November 2018.
- 7.2 DCP 323 is classified as a Part 2 Matter and therefore Authority determination is not required.

## 8 Legal Text

- 8.1 The proposed amendments to the legal text in Schedule 25 are set out within Attachment 2. The legal text has been reviewed by the TEG and the Proposer, who all agree that the amendments meet the intent of the CP.

## 9 Code Specific Matters

### Modelling Specification Documents

- 9.1 Not applicable.

## 10 Voting

- 10.1 DCP 323 change report was issued to DCUSA Parties for Voting on 17 August 2018.

### Part 2 Matter: Authority Decision is not Required

#### DCP 323 Proposed Variation (Solution) Decision

- 10.2 For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:
- the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposed variation was more than 65% of the total number of Groups in that Party Category which voted; and

- the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposed variation was more than 65%

10.3 DCUSA Parties have voted to **accept** the proposed variation (solution) of DCP 323.

### DCP 323 Implementation Date Decision

10.4 For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:

- the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 65% of the total number of groups in that Party Category which voted; and
- the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 65%.

10.5 DCUSA Parties have voted to **accept** the implementation date of DCP 323

The table below sets out the outcome of the votes that were received in respect of the DCP 323 Change Report that was issued on 17 August 2018 for a period of 15 working days.

DCP 323	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	n/a	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	n/a	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a

## 11 Recommendations

### DCUSA Parties

11.1 DCUSA Parties have voted on DCP 323, with the outcome being a decision to be accept the Change Proposal and thus the proposed variation to the DCUSA will be made accordingly.

### Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 323 Consolidated Party Votes
- Attachment 2 – DCP 323 Legal Text