

DCP 313 Working Group Meeting 13

11 February 2019 at 10:00am

Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Alessandra De Zottis [ADZ]	UK Power Reserve
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Kathryn Evans	SPEN
Simon Yeo	WPD

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the Competition Guidance document. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting, held on 22 January 2019 and agreed that they were a true reflection of the discussions held.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review the draft DCP 313 Change Report and finalise the legal text so that it can be sent to the DCUSA Legal Advisors.

3. Review of DCP 313 Draft Change Report

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the draft DCP 313 Change Report and an updated version can be found as attachment 1.
- 3.2 The Working Group were happy with the content of the draft Change Report and agreed that they need to include their views on the DCUSA Objectives. To help aid the development of this section, the Working Group re-reviewed the second consultation responses in this area.
- 3.3 It was noted that there would be a neutral impact on DCUSA Charging Objectives one and five.
- 3.4 When reviewing the consultation responses, the Working Group unanimously agreed that DCUSA Charging Objective two would be positively facilitated by the implementation of DCP 313 as it would provide better transparency by enabling generators to better predict the likely charges that they will face.
- 3.5 The Working Group discussed DCUSA Charging Objective three in great detail. It was noted that some consultation respondents believed that cost reflectivity would be negatively impacted by the implementation of DCP 313 if the charging methodology does not continue to be aligned with the engineering standards that DNOs are required to follow in the management of their networks. It was also noted that the removal of the link between the power flow modelling and the proportion eligible for charge one credits will result in credits being assigned to some generators which are assumed not to export in the maximum demand scenario, as a result, credits will be over-stated as generation in the maximum demand scenario would be artificially low.
- 3.6 However, other consultation respondents did not agree that it would be negatively impacted and highlighted that customers in only one DNO area would be affected by the change and it is believed that the sites are helping to delay network reinforcements, saving customers from paying increased future reinforcement costs that are not cost reflective.
- 3.7 The Working Group discussed both scenarios and agreed that they could not say 100% whether there would be a positive or negative impact on DCUSA Charging Objective three, however, the majority of the Working Group agreed that there would be a slight negative impact even though the magnitude of the impact is small. The Working Group agreed that both sides of the argument should be put forward within the Change Report.
- 3.8 In regard to DCUSA Charging Objective four, the majority of the Working Group believe that it would be positively impacted by the implementation of DCP 313. This decision was aided by the opinion of consultation respondents that stated that in the context of the transition from DNO to DSO, the CP would support the network operators to meet the developments in their businesses. In their role as proactive parties on using and dispatching flexibility services, DSOs would benefit from a clear and standard approach when determining the eligible technologies.

- 3.9 Finally, the Working Group unanimously agreed that DCUSA Charging Objective six would be positively impacted by the implementation of DCP 313 because a harmonised approach in defining the eligibility criteria across DNOs would guarantee a more efficient implementation.

ACTION 13/01: The Secretariat

4. Review of the DCP 313 Legal Text

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed the draft legal text for the Option 1A solution for DCP 313.
- 4.2 It was agreed that the Working Group will use the amendments suggested by Northern Powergrid in their consultation response to finalise the legal text.
- 4.3 The finalised version of the DCP 313 legal text can be found as attachment 2.

ACTION 13/02: The Secretariat

5. Work Plan and Next Steps

- 5.1 The Working Group agreed the following next steps:
- The Secretariat to update the draft Change Report to reflect the discussions held and to be circulated to Working Group members with the minutes of the meeting;
 - The finalised legal text to be forwarded to the DCUSA Legal Advisor for review; and
 - Working Group members to provide any final amendments and comments on the draft Change Report by close of play on 04 March 2019.

ACTION 13/03: All WG Members

- 5.2 An updated version of the DCP 313 Work Plan can be found as attachment 3.

6. Any Other Business

- 6.1 There were no items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

7. Date of Next Meeting – 11 March 2019

- 7.1 The Working Group agreed that a placeholder for a further Working Group meeting should be organised for the 11 March 2019.

- 7.2 This will be cancelled if the Working Group can agree the finalised version of the Change Report via email and if the DCUSA Legal Advisor does not provide any suggested amendments on the legal text.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 313 Draft Change Report
- Attachment 2 – DCP 313 Finalised Legal Text
- Attachment 3 – DCP 313 Updated Work Plan

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
13/01	The Secretariat to update the draft Change Report to reflect the discussions held.	ElectraLink	
13/02	The Secretariat to forward the finalised DCP 313 legal text to the DCUSA Legal Advisors for review.	ElectraLink	
13/03	All Working Group members to provide final comments and amendments on the draft Change Report by close of play on 04 March 2019.	All WG Members	

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
12/01	All Working Group members to review the draft legal text for Option 1A considering any suggested amendments from respondents to the DCP 313 second consultation document.	All Working Group Members	Closed Discussed and legal text finalised during the meeting.
12/02	The Proposer to decide whether they wish to raise Option 2B as an alternate CP for DCP 313 and inform Working Group members by 25 January 2019.	Andrew Enzor	Closed
12/03	The Secretariat to draft a Change Report and circulate to Working Group members with the final agenda and papers for the next Working Group meeting.	ElectraLink	Closed