

# DCP 313 Working Group Meeting 12

22 January 2019 at 10:00am

Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Alessandra De Zottis [ADZ]	UK Power Reserve
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
<b>Observers</b>	
Chris Wickins [CW]	Welsh Power
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Kathryn Evans	SPEN
Simon Yeo	WPD

## 1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the Competition Guidance document. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting, held on 03 December 2018 and agreed that they were a true reflection of the discussions held.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review the responses to the second DCP 313 consultation document and finalise the legal text and solution for the change.

## 3. Review of DCP 313 Consultation Responses

---

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the second DCP 313 consultation responses. All Working Group comments and conclusions can be found as Attachment 1.
- 3.2 The Working Group reviewed the responses to question one of the DCP 313 second consultation. The Working Group noted the majority of responses to question one, however, it was highlighted that even though there is support from industry to include mixed sites as part of this Change Proposal (CP), industry may need to revisit the scenario in the future when the number of mixed sites connected to the network starts to increase.
- 3.3 One respondent to question two questioned how “installed capacity of non-intermittent generation” would be assessed and what process the DNOs would be required to validate this”. The Working Group’s view is that this is likely to be done by using the connection agreements that are in place and agreed that this should be clarified within the DCP 313 Change Report. Again, the Working Group concluded that examples raised in response to question two should be used by industry in the future when more mixed sites are connected to the network and more data is available as currently there are minimal numbers of connected mixed sites.
- 3.4 In regard to question three, the Working Group noted that one respondent had reiterated a point from their response to the first consultation document. It was noted that this CP suggests that F Factor is set without locational consideration when the power flow model does consider it. The Working Group agreed that they would need to include why this is an improvement on the status quo when drafting the Change Report. One Working Group member disagreed with another respondent, who provided support for Option 1A as they thought that Option 2B would require ongoing changes over time. It was believed that Option 2B supports the current planning standards and would not require onerous changes. The Chair confirmed that from a DCUSA perspective, there wouldn’t be any changes, but other Codes would need to be changed if other technologies were introduced. In conclusion, the Working Group highlighted that there was no support for Option 1, 6 supportive responses for Option 1A, 1 supportive response for Option 2B and 1 unsupportive response for any solution option. The Proposer of the CP highlighted that he does not agree with the support for Option 1A and the Chair questioned whether they would like to raise Option 2B as an alternative CP. It was explained that this will need further consideration post this meeting by the Proposer and a decision will be circulated to the Working Group in due course.
- 3.5 The Working Group noted all responses to questions four, five and six and agreed that they would review the legal text for Option 1A as part of the drafting for the Change Report and will include their conclusion on which of the DCUSA Objectives are better facilitated by the implementation of this CP.

3.6 The Working Group reviewed the responses to question seven and agreed that the Change Report should include the discussions that have taken place regarding the interaction of DCP 313 and the ongoing Ofgem Access and Forward-Looking Charging Significant Code Review (SCR)<sup>1</sup>. The Working Group agreed that although there could be interaction with the SCR, it could be a couple of years that the DCP 313 solution can be applied before any changes are made via the SCR and therefore, it is worthwhile to continue to progress the CP to completion.

## 4. Review of the DCP 313 Legal Text

4.1 The Working Group agreed that the draft legal text for Option 1A should be reviewed by all Working Group members, taking into consideration the suggested amendments made by respondents to the second consultation.

### ACTION 12/01: All WG Members

4.2 The Proposer of the CP agreed that if Option 2B is raised as an alternative CP for DCP 313 then the legal text for the Option 2B solution would require re-reviewing.

## 5. Work Plan and Next Steps

5.1 The Working Group agreed the following next steps:

- The Proposer to determine whether Option 2B is being raised as an alternate CP and circulate their decision to the Working Group by close of play on 25 January 2019;
- The Secretariat to draft a Change Report and circulate to Working Group members with the final agenda and papers for the next meeting; and
- The next Working Group meeting to be held on 11 February 2019.

5.2 The Secretariat updated the DCP 313 Work Plan to reflect the next steps for the change and a copy can be found as Attachment 2.

### ACTION 12/02: Proposer

### ACTION 12/03: Secretariat

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/electricity/transmission-networks/charging/reform-network-access-and-forward-looking-charges>

## 6. Any Other Business

---

6.1 There were no items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

## 7. Date of Next Meeting – Monday 11 February 2019

---

7.1 The Working Group agreed that the next Working Group meeting will be held on 11 February 2019.

7.2 Working Group members will review the draft Change Report and finalise the legal text before being sent to the DCUSA Legal Advisors for review.

## 8. Attachments

---

- Attachment 1 – Updated DCP 313 Second Collated Consultation Responses
- Attachment 2 – DCP 313 Updated Work Plan

## New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
12/01	All Working Group members to review the draft legal text for Option 1A considering any suggested amendments from respondents to the DCP 313 second consultation document.	All Working Group Members	
12/02	The Proposer to decide whether they wish to raise Option 2B as an alternate CP for DCP 313 and inform Working Group members by 25 January 2019.	Andrew Enzor	
12/03	The Secretariat to draft a Change Report and circulate to Working Group members with the final agenda and papers for the next Working Group meeting.	ElectraLink	

## Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
11/01	The Secretariat to update the second consultation document and circulate to Working Group members by close of play on 04 December 2018.	ElectraLink	
11/02	Working Group members to review the updated second consultation document and forward and final amendments or comments to the Secretariat by 12pm on Friday 07 December 2018	All WG Members	
11/03	The Secretariat to issue the second consultation to industry by close of play on 07 December 2018	ElectraLink	