

DCUSA DCP 311 CHANGE DECLARATION

VOTING END DATE: 11 JUNE 2018

DCP 311	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Change Solution – Accept. In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept. In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part One – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				

Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Accept	Accept	As the proposer of this change, our view has not changed from that presented in the change proposal form, namely that the following objectives are better facilitated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charging objective one, by ensuring that DNOs are able to comply with the legal text of the DCUSA. • Charging objective three, by removing an unnecessary year lag in the calculation of NUF caps and collars, and so ensuring that the latest and most up to date available network data is used when setting charges. • Charging objective six, by ensuring that the legal text is unambiguous, and the calculation of caps and collars in 2018 for use in 2020/21 charges can be carried out efficiently. 	We welcome the clarity which this change will bring to this area when going through the process of setting 2020/21 charges in the later months of 2018, and so look forward to a timely Ofgem decision.
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Accept	Accept		
Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	We believe that DCUSA charging objectives are better facilitated by this change as follows: <p>Charging Objective 1 Removing ambiguity in the current legal drafting of DCUSA aids</p>	None

			<p>compliance with the Charging Methodology as required under the Distribution Licences.</p> <p>Charging Objective 3 The change enhances cost reflectivity by ensuring that the most recent possible data is used in the calculation of NUFs.</p> <p>Charging Objective 6 The change provides a clear enduring process for the calculation of NUFs, and so will contribute towards the ongoing efficient administration of the Charging Methodology.</p>	
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	<p>We support the view of the working group in that charging objective one, three and six are better facilitated by this change;</p> <p>Charging Objective one as a result of ensuring that DNOs are able to comply with the legal text of DCUSA. Currently the legal text that determines which years' data should be used when calculating NUF caps and collars is open to interpretations, this change clarifies the approach which should be taken.</p>	
London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		

			<p>Charging objective three by removing an unnecessary years lag in the calculation of NUF caps and collars, ensuring that the latest available network data is used when setting charges.</p> <p>Charging Objective six by ensuring that the legal text is unambiguous, and the calculation of caps and collars in 2018 for use in 2020/21 charges can be carried out efficiently. This change also goes one stage further by future proofing this part of the methodology.</p>	
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands) plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South Wales) plc	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South West) plc	Accept	Accept		
IDNO PARTIES				
n/a				
SUPPLIER PARTIES				

MVV Environment Services Limited	Accept	Accept		
DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES				
n/a				
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
n/a				