DCP 297Consultation

To: Lauren Nicholls

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk)

Response Deadline: 10 July 2016

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Organisation: | Click here to enter text. |
| Role: | Choose an item. |
| Email address: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Response[[1]](#footnote-1): | Choose an item. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you understand the intent of DCP 297? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the principles of the DCP 297? If not, why not? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The proposer has suggested the DNOs should only be released from their obligations to meet the service level where Supplier’s volumes of attempted smart meter installations exceeds 110% of their forecast. Do you agree that 110% is a reasonable limit for DNO’s to be released from their obligation? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please can Supplier respondents provide additional supporting justification for the change to address DNO concerns regarding inaccurate forecast. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you believe there will be any additional benefits to the customer from the implementation of DCP 297? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. How does the impact of aggregated forecasts and churn affect the ability for DNOs to comply with the SLA? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What impact does incorrect defect reporting by Meter Operators have on the DNOs ability to comply with the SLA? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you believe the accuracy of the aggregated forecasts should be measured? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What do you believe is a reasonable intervention rate to be included within the SLA, based on the intervention rates that have been witnessed? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you believe there will be any unintended consequences of the implementation of DCP 297? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are there any alternative solutions or matters that should be considered? If yes, please describe these. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you foresee any system changes being required to implement DCP 297? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The proposed implementation date is the first standard release following Authority consent, do you agree with this? If not, why not? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree that DCP 297 better facilitates the DCUSA Relevant Objective 1? If not, why not? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any comments on the legal drafting? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any further comments? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

1. All responses will be treated as non-confidential unless indicated otherwise.

   Anonymous responses will omit the detail of the submitting party but the content of the response will be provided to the Working Group and published on the DCUSA website.

   Confidential responses will not be published on the DCUSA website but submitted solely to the Working Group for the analysis of the CP. For all other confidentiality requirements please contact the secretariat at DCUSA @electralink.co.uk or 0207 7432 3017 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)