

DCP 287 Working Group Meeting 06

07 September 2017 at 10:00am

Skype Meeting

| Attendee | Company |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Working Group Members | |
| Andy Pace [AP] | Cornwall Insight |
| Chris Ong [CO] | UK Power Networks |
| Claire Campbell [CC] | Scottish Power Energy Networks |
| Edda Dirks [ED] | Ofgem |
| Julia Haughey [JH] | EDF Energy |
| Kathryn Evans [KE] | Scottish Power Energy Networks |
| Code Administrator | |
| John Lawton [JL] (Chair) | ElectraLink |
| Dan Fittock [DF] (technical secretariat) | ElectraLink |
| Joe Underwood [JU] | ElectraLink |

| Apologies | Company |
|--------------|--------------------|
| Andrew Enzor | Northern Powergrid |
| Anika Brandt | SSE |

1. Welcomes and Apologies

1.1 The Secretariat noted the welcome and apologies for this meeting.

2. Administration

- 2.1 The Working Group reviewed the “*Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts*”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 2.2 The Group approved the minutes from the last meeting as an accurate depiction of the discussions held.

3. Purpose of the Meeting

- 3.1 The secretariat set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review the Draft Consultation Document.

4. Review of the DCP 287 Draft Consultation

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed the consultation document and made a number of drafting amendments, which can be found detailed in Attachment 1.
- 4.2 The main amendments made were:
 - The request for the rationale from question one was removed as the rationale for whether the intent of the CP is understood is not required;
 - Question 9 was removed as the Working Group agreed that this question is covered by DCP 291;
 - The Working Group agreed that the tables in 5.20 required further commentary and updating regarding how the RFI results impact the intent of the CP;
 - An additional paragraph was added prior to 3.1 to explain the principles of DCP 287;
 - AP agreed to take an action to review 5.33 and provide examples of RAV decreasing by reviewing his previous paper on the micro level of analysis and cross-reference this to network rates.
 - The Working Group agreed to defer the legal text to after the consultation.

ACTION: 06/01 – ElectraLink to request AE to review the tables and further commentary

ACTION: 06/02 – AP to review Para 5.33 and provide examples of RAV decreasing.

- Some slight amendments to the structure of the document.

- 4.3 As a result of these changes, ElectraLink took an action to update the consultation document.
-

ACTION: 06/03 – ElectraLink to update the consultation document once the above information was provided.

5. Agenda Items for the next meeting

5.1 The Working Group agreed to add the following items to the agenda for the next meeting;

- Review of the updated draft consultation document
- Review of the Work Plan

6. Any Other Business

6.1 There were no items of any other business discussed.

7. Date of Next Meeting: 26 September 2017

7.1 The Working Group agreed that the next Working Group meeting will be on 26 September 2017 via teleconference.

Attachments

Attachment 1 – DCP 287 Draft Consultation Document with Working Group comments

Attachment 2 – DCP 287 Work Plan

Appendix 1: New and open actions

| Action Ref. | Action | Owner | Update |
|--------------|--|-------------|---|
| 03/03 | Create template for EDCM demand and EDCM generation forecasts based on table in consultation document by replicating the two GWh columns and proportion of demand column table for EDCM data. For EDCM data, use known data from years 13/14 -16/17. | ElectraLink | Ongoing – need to check data tables with AE |
| 06/01 | ElectraLink to request AE to review the tables and further commentary | ElectraLink | |
| 06/02 | To review 5.33 and provide examples of RAV decreasing by reviewing his previous paper on the micro level of analysis and cross-reference this to network rates. | Andy Pace | |
| 06/03 | To update the consultation document as a result of today's discussions and include the outcome of actions 06/01 and 06/02. | ElectraLink | |

Closed actions

| Action Ref. | Action | Owner | Update |
|--------------|--|---------------|---|
| 01/03 | Consider the principles for each of the aspects of the four components and confirm if from their businesses perspective each is applicable. | Working Group | Closed as included in consultation. |
| 04/04 | To draft a paper for the next Working Group meeting regarding the impacts on the incorrect assumption that the 60% operating intensity in the CDCM relating to the 68% operating intensity in the EDCM on the intent of DCP 287. | Andy Pace | Clause in question was removed. Closed. |

| | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 04/05 | To investigate the CDCM Network Rates methodology to locate any references or details relating to the 60% operating intensity ratio | Working Group members | Completed – Consultation Question |
| 05/01 | To re-word Clause 5.17 of the draft consultation document to make the clause clearer for readers. | Chris Barker | Completed post-meeting |
| 05/02 | To check the EDCM calculations against the CDCM and why this proposal is using the 60% value | Andy Pace | Completed – Consultation Question |
| 05/03 | To completed analysis on the EDCM Generation RFI and include the findings in the draft consultation document. | Andrew Enzor | Completed |
| 05/04 | To review the consultation questions and provide feedback to ElectraLink. | All Working Group members | Completed |
| 05/05 | To undertake a wholesale review of the consultation document and re-order and make minor wording changes to ensure that the consultation flowed correctly and contained all of the required analysis | John Lawton | Completed |