

# DCP 283 Working Group Meeting 09

22 June 2017 at 10:00am - Skype Meeting

## Meeting Minutes

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Andy Pace [AP]	Cornwall Insight
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Tim Aldridge [TA]	Ofgem
Emma Clark [EC]	SSE Networks
Claire Campbell [CC]	SP Energy Networks
Urmi Mistry [UM]	National Grid
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Dylan Townsend [DT] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Simon Yeo	UK Power Networks
Julia Haughey	EDF
George Moran	British Gas
Edda Dirks	Ofgem

### 1. Administration

- 1.1 The Secretariat noted the welcome and apologies for this meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the *“Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”*. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.

The Working Group reviewed the minutes from previous meeting and approved them as an accurate record of proceedings.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair advised that the purpose of the meeting was to agree on the proposed way forward and to review the draft legal text, modelling specification and draft consultation document.

## 3. Proposed Way Forward

---

- 3.1 It was noted that prior to the meeting an email was received which outlined the Proposers intent to not move forward with the credits at the voltage of connection component and to only progress with the customer contributions component of the proposal. The Chair requested for the Working Group to decide if they were comfortable with the new approach. Each member of the Working Group had a chance to voice their preference of whether the change should progress as is or if the credit at the voltage of connection component is descope and to continue with the remaining component. The majority of the Working Group agreed that their preference was to descope the credits at the voltage of connection component of the proposal. It was also noted that the majority of members suggested that their preference was for the whole proposal to be withdrawn due to the ongoing work of the CDCM/EDCM Review Group however the withdrawal of the change was not deemed to be for the decision of the Working Group, but only for the Proposer.

## 4. Review of Draft Legal Text

---

- 4.1 AE had provided a document which was subsequently circulated to the Working Group that encapsulates the legal text changes for DCP 283 and DCP 243. It was noted that the document contains four sets of legal text which are; baseline, DCP 243 only, DCP 283 only, and combined (i.e. if both were approved this is what it would look like). The Working Group reviewed the legal text document which acts as Attachment 1 to the minutes. The Working Group agreed to use the legal text provided in the consultation document and have a paragraph covering DCP243 which impacts the same legal text area.

## 5. Review of Modelling Specification

---

- 5.1 The Working Group agreed that now the legal text was agreed, the modelling specification document should be produced and circulated to the group prior to requesting the modeller to provide an amended version of the model so that an impact assessment can be undertaken and included in the consultation document. It was expected that the CDCM would be amended by deleting all generation tariff data from table – 2801.

## 6. Review of Draft Consultation Document

---

- 6.1 The Working Group reviewed and updated the draft consultation document that had been circulated prior to the meeting. The document capturing these amendments acts as Attachment 2 to the minutes. During the review of the draft consultation document the following actions were captured:

**ACTION 09/01: ElectraLink to add in some example of what Parties said in response to consultation question around DG benefits when considering customer contributions.**

**ACTION 09/02: Andy Pace to include paragraph to introduce Reinforcement Assets section (similar to extension assets).**

**ACTION 09/03: Andrew Enzor to include paragraph on the area of “Generation driving costs” and question to be embedded within document.**

**ACTION 09/04: Andy Pace to include paragraph on the area of “Active network management schemes” in the consultation document**

**ACTION 09/05: ElectraLink to include latest update on the interaction with DCP 243 and the ongoing work of the CDC/EDCM review group.**

**ACTION 09/06: ElectraLink to update the DCP 283 draft consultation document and circulate to the Working Group by 29 June 2017.**

## 7. Work Plan

7.1 The DCP 283 Working Group agreed that the Secretariat should amend the Work Plan and circulate prior to the next meeting. The updated Work Plan is set out in Attachment 3.

**ACTION 09/07: ElectraLink to update DCP 283 Work Plan and circulate with the minutes of this meeting.**

## 8. Agenda Items for the next meeting

8.1 The Working Group agreed to add the following items to the agenda for the next meeting:

- Review of consultation responses.

## 9. Any Other Business

9.1 There were no items of any other business discussed.

## 10. Date of Next Meeting: TBC

10.1 The Working Group requested for the Secretariat to issue a doodle poll to Working Group members to confirm availability on 17 August and 18 August. It was also agreed that the next meeting will be via teleconference.

**ACTION 09/08: ElectraLink to issue a doodle poll to Working Group members to confirm availability for the next meeting on the following dates: 17 August and 18 August.**

## Attachments

---

Attachment 1 – Draft Legal text

Attachment 2 – Modelling specification

Attachment 3 – Draft Consultation

Attachment 4 - DCP 283 Work Plan

## Appendix 1

### New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
05/04	Include the relevant responses to Question 4 which relate to the HV network level in the Change Report when the Change Report is drafted.	ElectraLink	04/05/2017 – Ongoing 22/06/2017 - Ongoing
05/05	Source a list of embedded generators and where possible the relevant email addresses.	ElectraLink	04/05/2017 – Ongoing 22/06/2017 - Ongoing
06/01	Create an issue log and circulate to Working Group members as discussed in the last meeting.	ElectraLink	25/05/2017 – Ongoing 22/06/2017 - Ongoing
09/01	Add in some example of what Parties said in response to consultation question around DG benefits when considering customer contributions.	ElectraLink	
09/02	Include paragraph to introduce Reinforcement Assets section (similar to extension assets).	Andy Pace	
09/03	Include paragraph on the area of “Generation driving costs” and question to be embedded within document.	Andrew Enzor	
09/04	Include paragraph on the area of “Active network management schemes” in the consultation document	Andy Pace	
09/05	Include latest update on the interaction with DCP 243 and the ongoing work of the CDC/EDCM review group.	ElectraLink	
09/06	Update the DCP 283 draft consultation document and circulate to the Working Group by 29 June 2017.	ElectraLink	
09/07	Update DCP 283 Work Plan and circulate with the minutes of this meeting.	ElectraLink	

<b>09/08</b>	Issue a doodle poll to Working Group members to confirm availability for the next meeting on the following dates: 17 August and 18 August.	ElectraLink	
--------------	--	-------------	--

#### Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>06/02</b>	Update the diagram that was provided under section 4 of the customer contributions paper to include the following scenario: The example of an extension asset for a new housing development with a substation and lead in cable that would be considered a shared use asset and a cable from the substation to individual houses would be a sole use asset.	Andy Pace	Completed
<b>08/01</b>	Circulate the following questions to DNO Working Group members. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Do you nett off generation at the LV network level when considering further design of the network? Or do you discount the generation when designing it?</li> <li>Do you rely on non-intermittent generation connected at the LV network level when designing the LV network? If you do, is it always, usually or rarely?</li> </ul> DNO Working Group members to provide answer to the questions.	ElectraLink + DNO Working Group members	Action no longer required as agreed at meeting (22 June).
<b>08/02</b>	Amend the minutes of the previous meeting. Paragraph 4.9 needs to be amended to include the following text at the end of the sentence: “whilst giving a significant credit to embedded generators which is in the region of 15% - 30% for LV generators and 5% - 25% for HV generates.”	ElectraLink	Completed

<b>08/03</b>	Update the DCP 283 draft consultation document and circulate to the Working Group prior to the next meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>08/04</b>	Update DCP 283 Work Plan and circulate with the minutes of this meeting.	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>08/05</b>	Issue a doodle poll to Working Group members to confirm availability for the next meeting on the following dates: 22 June and 23 June.	ElectraLink	Completed

---