**DCP 282 ‘Embedded Distribution Network Operator (EDNO) UMSO**

To: Claire Hynes

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk)

Response Deadline: 13 January 2017

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Organisation: | Click here to enter text. |
| Role: | Choose an item. |
| Email address: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Response[[1]](#footnote-1): | Choose an item. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you understand the intent of DCP 282? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the principles of DCP 282? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do the rights and obligations created between DNO and Suppliers under Section 2A hinder the solution and need to be changed to facilitate the proposed solution of this CP? If yes, please indicate why and what needs to be changed. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The Working Group are interested in Parties views on whether you believe that the DNO is recovering the revenue on behalf of the EDNO?   (a) If yes, how should this be dealt with in the price control?  (b) If yes, should it be dealt with through inter-distributor billing? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you prefer for this solution to be elective or mandatory for all Unmetered Customers? Please provide supporting evidence. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you agree that the EDNO should be responsible for validating their data within a combined inventory? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Should there be Service Level Agreements (SLAs) in place for EDNOs to verify the content of the customer inventory submissions? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If you believe, under the proposal, the DNO would be recovering DUoS on behalf of the EDNO do you have any thoughts on debt recovery? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Parties are asked whether they perceive a risk of a supplier default and if so how it should be dealt with? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Should this DCP introduce a mechanism for passing DUoS between the DNO and the EDNO? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do Parties believe the introduction of the proposal can be achieved without impacting any existing BCAs? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. In light of the advice provided by Elexon (see attachment 5), do you believe that a BSC and or BSCP change needs to be made? If yes, please specify the change required. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Does the legal text as drafted meet the intent of the change? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Would there be any system impacts or process changes required to implement this proposal? Please provide your rationale inclusive of any financial, resource or system impact or restriction. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Which DCUSA General Objectives does the CP better facilitate? Please provide supporting comments. 2. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks 3. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity 4. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences 5. **The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement** 6. Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you require any lead time from the approval being made to comply with this change? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are there any alternative solutions or unintended consequences that should be considered by the Working Group? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

1. All responses will be treated as non-confidential unless indicated otherwise.

   Anonymous responses will omit the detail of the submitting party but the content of the response will be provided to the Working Group and published on the DCUSA website.

   Confidential responses will not be published on the DCUSA website but submitted solely to the Working Group for the analysis of the CP. For all other confidentiality requirements please contact the secretariat at DCUSA @electralink.co.uk or 0207 7432 3017 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)