

DCP 276 CHANGE DECLARATION**VOTING END DATE: 12 SEPTEMBER 2016**

DCP 276- AMENDMENTS TO CDCM LV SUBSTATION TARIFF 'NOTE 5'	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Reject	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Part 2 Matters</p> <p>Change Solution – Accept. For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposal was more than 65% of the total number of Groups in that Party Category which voted; and the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposal was more than 65%. <p>Implementation Date – Accept. For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 65% of the total number of Groups in that Party Category which voted; and the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 65%. 				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part Two – Authority Determination Not Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Electricity North West Limited	Accept	Accept	<p>We believe that the proposal better facilitates DCUSA Charging Objective 1 as it seeks to implement outcomes of Ofgem determination RBA/TR/A/DET/191, in particular paragraph 8.5.</p> <p>We also believe the proposal better facilitates DCUSA Charging Objective 3 as it makes it more likely that customers will receive DUoS charges that correctly reflect the nature of their connection as a result of clearer and more consistent tariff transfer arrangements.</p>	n/a
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Limited	Accept	Accept	DCUSA Charging Objective 4 as this proposal seeks to implement the outcome of Ofgem determination RBA/TR/A/DET/191, in particular paragraph 8.5.	n/a
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) Plc	Accept	Accept		
SP Distribution	Accept	Accept	We agree with the Change Report that Objective 4 is better facilitated by this change.	n/a
SP Manweb	Accept	Accept		

Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	In our view, Charging Objective 4 is better facilitated as it would implement amendments to the CDCM for application of LV Substation DUoS tariffs in line with outcomes sought by Ofgem determination RBA/TR/A/DET/191.	n/a
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks	Reject	Reject	We do not believe that DCUSA Objectives are better facilitated by this change as the proposed amendments to the legal text do not correctly address the intent or purpose of this change. Importantly the proposed legal text does not improve the clarity of the time from which the customer should be entitled to receive the change in tariff. The wording proposed “the new tariff charges will be applied in the next calendar month following the DNO Party’s decision or agreement” does not make it sufficiently clear that charges will be backdated to a relevant date.	We fully agree with the legal advisor, that the additional provision relating to the backdating of charges does not properly belong in the charging methodology. Additionally, we also contest that any provision for incorrectly applied charges does not belong in the charging methodology. Consequently we feel that note 5 to paragraph 141 should be removed completely. Our support for removing note 5 is due to the provision in the DNOs’ existing LC14 charging statements where an extensive section on ‘Incorrectly Allocated Charges’ already exists. This section sets out that it is the DNO’s responsibility to apply the correct charges and sets out the full provisions for backdating the charges if incorrectly allocated charges are identified. Additional information could be provided within the LC14 should the
London Power Networks	Reject	Reject		
South Eastern Power Networks	Reject	Reject		

				proposer wish to address Ofgem’s comments concerning clarity of what constitutes “voltage level”. We feel that this could be addressed by making “voltage level” a defined term and providing appropriate detail in the LC14 glossary.
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands)	Accept	Accept	WPD agree the reasons set out in the Change Proposal.	No
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South Wales)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South West)	Accept	Accept		

IDNO PARTIES				
ESPE	Accept	Accept	We agree with the CP’s Proposer that DCUSA General Objective 4 is better facilitated by the introduction of this change as it seeks to implement into DCUSA governance the outcomes of the Authority’s determination RBA/TR/A/DET/19.	This CP seeks to introduce into the DCUSA language which will implement the Authority’s determination RBA/TR/A/DET/19. As an IDNO we mirror the DNOs’ methodology, and ESPE can implement this modification without a system change or cost.

<p>The Electricity Network Company</p>	<p>Reject</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>We believe that this proposal better facilitates objective 4 as it codifies an Ofgem determination. This determination is a development in each DNO party’s distribution system and this change is required in order to formalise that development. However, we do not believe that the legal text changes fully implement the required developments so we are unable to support this change</p>	<p>We are concerned that the legal text does not meet the intent of the change proposal. The second part of the intent of this change proposal is to require LV substation tariff charges to be applied from when a customer becomes eligible for that tariff (subject to limitations).</p> <p>The legal text has not been modified to change the time from when the tariff will apply as this is still “in the next calendar month following the DNO party’s decision or agreement”.</p> <p>We note that each DNO party can make changes to their Charging statement which will facilitate the required developments, but as this is a stated intent of the change proposal we believe that the CDCM wording ought to facilitate the intent.</p>
--	---------------	---------------	---	---

<p>SUPPLIER PARTIES</p>				
<p>SSE Energy Supply</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>We agree that DCP 276 better facilitates Charging Objective 4 as it seeks to implement outcomes of Ofgem determination RBA/TR/A/DET/191, in particular paragraph 8.5.</p>	<p>No</p>

DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES				
n/a				

GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
n/a				