DCP 274 Consultation Response Form

To: Dan Fittock

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk)

Response Deadline: 11 February 2017

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Organisation: | Click here to enter text. |
| Role: | Choose an item. |
| Email address: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Response[[1]](#footnote-1): | Choose an item. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you understand the intent of DCP 274? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the principles of DCP 274? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. For the original solution, do you think O&M should be recovered on the import or export? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Can you put forward evidence to support why the proposed solution or its alternative improves cost reflectivity? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you think capping the Network Use Factors (NUFs) on the import side of a mixed site is appropriate? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If a site is generation dominated, is it driving any costs for the DNO when it is importing? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Which DCUSA Charging Objectives does the CP better facilitate? Please provide supporting comments.    * + 1. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence        2. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)        3. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business        4. that, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party’s Distribution Business        5. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the proposed implementation date of DCP 274 of 01 April 2019? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. There will be a shortfall in revenue which would be picked up all DUoS customers. Do you agree or do you consider that it should be picked up by one subset of customers, such as EDCM customers? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are there any alternative solutions or unintended consequences that should be considered by the Working Group? |
| Click or tap here to enter text. |

1. All responses will be treated as non-confidential unless indicated otherwise.

   Anonymous responses will omit the detail of the submitting party but the content of the response will be provided to the Working Group and published on the DCUSA website.

   Confidential responses will not be published on the DCUSA website but submitted solely to the Working Group for the analysis of the CP. For all other confidentiality requirements please contact the secretariat at DCUSA @electralink.co.uk or 0207 7432 3017 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)