

## DCUSA Change Proposal Form

This form is issued in accordance with Clause 10.5 of the DCUSA.

Completed forms should be returned to [dcusa@electralink.co.uk](mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk) for assessment by the DCUSA Panel. Failure to complete all parts of the form may result in it being rejected by the DCUSA Panel.

- PART A – Mandatory for all Change Proposals
- PART B – Mandatory for Non Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART C – Mandatory for Charging Methodologies Proposals
- PART D – Guidance Notes

### PART A - MANDATORY FOR ALL CHANGE PROPOSALS

Document Control	
CP Status	Standard
CP Number	DCP 270
Date of submission	28 April 2016
Attachments	[See Guidance Note 1]
Originator Details	
Company Name	Northern Powergrid
Originator Name	Pat Wormald
Category	DNO
Email Address	Pat.Wormald@northernpowergrid.com
Phone Number	01977 605934 Mobile: 07894 399074
Change Proposal Details	
CP Title	Removal of HV Medium tariff from CDCM
Impacted parties	Suppliers & Distributors
Impacted Clause(s)	Schedule 16 paragraphs 84 and 141.
Part 1 / Part 2 Matter	Part 2
Provide your rationale why you consider this change is a Part 1 or Part 2 Matter	This tariff has been preserved for a number of years for HV connected NHH traded customers. The existing sites will already have HH metering fitted, or are de-energised. These sites should have either already been migrated to HH tariffs or should be prioritised for migration prior to April 2017, therefore removing the tariff from April 2017 or 2018 is not an issue. However there may still be a few customers who don't have AMR metering installed by 2018 and the working group should consider what happens to these customers.
Related Change Proposals	DCP 179
Change Proposal Intent	
Removal of the HV Medium Non-domestic tariff and consideration of the removal of both the LV and LV Sub Medium Non Domestic tariffs from the CDCM.	
Business Justification and Market Benefits	
During development of the new tariffs to facilitate DCP 179 it was agreed that because the HV Medium tariff is currently a preserved tariff for HV connected NHH traded customers, it should be removed from the CDCM.	

The customer numbers reported in summer 2014 (DCP 179 workgroup, see below) indicate there were around 500 customers on this tariff (out of 25,500 HV customers nationally)

- They were only identified to exist in some of the Distribution regions – WPD (x4), SP (x2), ENWL, NPg (x2)
- As this tariff is already preserved, all new HV installations would need to be HH metered from day one – so the number should not have increased.
- The existing sites will already have HH metering fitted, or are de-energised.
- As they are all identified as PC5-8 they should all have advanced meters fitted (by March 2014 as required by supplier licence).
- It was expected that during 2015-16 they should all therefore have migrated to HH trading.

Voltage	Metering	Settlement	Current Tariff	WPD Mid East	WPD Mid West	WPD South Wales	WPD South West	Electricity North West	UKPN EPN	UKPN LPN	UKPN SPN	SPM	SPD	Northern Powergrid (Northeast)	Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire)	SSEPD - SEPD	SSEPD - SHEPD	Total	
HV	CT	HH	HV HH Metered	3,289	4,163	724	1,150	1,979	2,952	2,442	1,216	699	1,084	907	2,045	1,745	545	24,939	
		NHH	HV Med non-domestic (PC 8)	24	34	2	3	14					2	11	14	4	-	-	108
			HV Med non-domestic (PC 7)	11	38	1	2	16							6	2	-	-	76
			HV Med non-domestic (PC 6)	47	103	5	4	12							6	9	-	-	186
			HV Med non-domestic (PC 5)	97	114	5	16	18							9	4	-	-	263

The removal of the HV Medium Non-Domestic tariff should be prioritised, but the working group are also asked to consider the removal of the LV Medium Non-Domestic and LV Sub Medium Non-Domestic as these tariffs will also become redundant once all PC 5-8 customers are migrated to HH settlement.

These numbers may have changed slightly since this analysis was provided therefore the starting point should be a refresh of the numbers.

## Proposed Solution and Draft Legal Text

Removal of all references to HV Medium Non-Domestic tariff and the working group to consider removal of the LV and LVS Medium Non Domestic tariff. As there may be a few customers who don't have AMR metering installed by 2018 and the working group should also consider what happens to these customers.

### Schedule 16

#### Para 84

For the tariffs listed below, the relevant unit costs in p/kVA/day are converted to a fixed charge by multiplying them by the estimated maximum load per user of the user category (obtained from the volume forecast and load factor data) divided by the power factor in the network model:

- LV Medium Non-Domestic
- LV Sub Medium Non-Domestic
- ~~remove HV Medium Non-Domestic.~~

#### Para 141

Table 4 below shows the structure for NHH metered demand tariffs, and Table 5 below shows the structure for HH metered demand tariffs (both site-specific and aggregated).

Last entry of table remove ~~HV HV Medium Non-Domestic 5 to 8~~ and □

Note 6: remove ~~HV Medium Non-Domestic—This tariff will be closed to new customers and all new HV connections will be required to be half hourly metered.~~ No longer required

## Proposed Implementation Date

1 April 2017 or 1 April 2018 to be decided by the working group - this date will allow sufficient time for any remaining customers to be migrated prior to implementation.

### Impact on Other Codes

Please tick the relevant boxes and provide any supporting information.

BSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
CUSC	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grid Code	<input type="checkbox"/>
MRA	<input type="checkbox"/>
SEC	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>
None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If other please specify

### Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

None known

### Environmental Impact

None known

### Confidentiality

Non confidential

## PART B – MANDATORY FOR NON CHARGING METHODOLOGIES CHANGE PROPOSALS

### DCUSA Objectives

#### General Objectives:

Please tick the relevant boxes. [See Guidance Note 9]

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences

- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement
- 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

#### Detailed rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above

These tariffs are no longer required and it is therefore efficient to remove them from the methodology.

### PART C – MANDATORY FOR CHARGING METHODOLOGIES CHANGE PROPOSALS

#### DCUSA Charging Objectives

Please tick the relevant boxes. [See Guidance Note 11]

##### Charging Objectives:

- 1 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence
- 2 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)
- 3 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business
- 4 that, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business
- 5 that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

##### General Objectives:

- 1 The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks
- 2 The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity
- 3 The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in

their Distribution Licences

- 4 The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement
- 5 Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

**Detailed rationale for better facilitation of the DCUSA Objectives identified above**

Charging Objectives:

These tariffs are no longer required and it is therefore efficient to remove them from the methodology.

General Objectives:

These tariffs are no longer required and it is therefore efficient to remove them from the methodology.

**Has this issue been discussed at any other industry forums? If so please specify and provide supporting documentation**

This issue has been discussed at the DCMF MIG and during the development of DCP 179.

**PART D – GUIDANCE NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE FORM**

Guidelines for Working Group Members and Working Group Terms of Reference are available on the DCUSA Website and provide more information about the progression of the Change Process. [www.dcusa.co.uk](http://www.dcusa.co.uk)

Ref	Data Field	Guidance
1	<b>Attachments</b>	Append any proposed legal text or supporting documentation in order to better support / explain the CP.
2	<b>Part 1 / Part 2 Matter</b>	A CP must be categorised as a Part 1 or Part 2 matter in accordance with Clause 10.4.7 of the DCUSA. All Part 1 matters require Authority Consent.
3	<b>Related Change Proposals</b>	Indicate if the CP is related to or impacts any CP already in the DCUSA or other industry change process.
4	<b>Proposed Solution and Draft Legal Text</b>	<p>Outline the proposed solution for addressing the stated intent of the CP. The Change Proposal Intent will take precedence in the event of any inconsistency. A DCUSA Working Group may develop alternative solutions. The plain English description of the proposed solution should include the changes or additions to existing DCUSA Clauses (including Clause numbers).</p> <p>Insert proposed legal drafting (change marked against any existing DCUSA drafting) which enacts the intent of the solution. The legal text will be reviewed by the Working</p>

		Group (if convened) and is likely to be subject to legal review as part of its progress through the DCUSA change process.
<b>5</b>	<b>Proposed Implementation Date</b>	<p>The Change can be implemented in February, June, and November of each year or as an extraordinary release. For Charging Methodology CPs, select an implementation date which takes in to consideration the deadlines for publishing indicative tariffs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission of Company indicative tariffs is 31 December of each year.</li> <li>• Final tariffs are published on 1 April of each year.</li> </ul> <p>Please select an implementation date that provides sufficient time for the change to be incorporated into the appropriate charging model and the DCUSA in order to be reflected within the December indicative tariffs.</p> <p>Contact the DCUSA helpdesk for any further information on the releases <a href="mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk">dcusa@electralink.co.uk</a>.</p>
<b>6</b>	<b>Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts</b>	Indicate whether this Change Proposal will be impacted by or have an impact upon wider industry developments. If an impact is identified, explain why the benefit of the Change Proposal may outweigh the potential impact and indicate the likely duration of the Change.
<b>7</b>	<b>Environmental Impact</b>	Indicate whether it is likely that there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions as a result of the proposed variation being made. Please see <a href="#">Ofgem Guidance</a> .
<b>8</b>	<b>Confidentiality</b>	Clearly indicate if any parts of this Change Proposal Form are to remain confidential to DCUSA Panel (and any subsequent DCUSA Working Group) and Ofgem.
<b>9</b>	<b>DCUSA General Objectives</b>	Indicate which of the DCUSA Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal.
<b>10</b>	<b>Detailed Rationale for DCUSA Objectives</b>	Provide detailed supporting reasons and information (including any initial analysis that supports your views) to demonstrate why the CP will better facilitate each of the DCUSA Objectives identified.
<b>11</b>	<b>DCUSA Charging Objectives</b>	Indicate which of the DCUSA Charging Objectives will be better facilitated by the Change Proposal. Please note that a CDCM or EDCM change may also facilitate the DCUSA General objectives.