

DCP 268 Working Group Minutes

Meeting Name	DCP 268 Working Group
Meeting Number	04
Date	22 July 2016
Time	10:00am
Venue	Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Claire Campbell [CC]	Scottish Power
Donald Preston [DP]	SSE
George Straithwaite [GS]	Npower
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
Lee Wells [LW]	Northern Powergrid
Lynda Carroll [LC]	Ofgem
Maria Hesketh [MH]	Scottish Power
Pamela Howe [PH]	Northern Powergrid
Simon Yeo [SY]	Western Power Distribution
Tom Chevalier [TC]	Power Data Associates
Vicki Holland [VH]	St. Clements
Claire Hynes [CH] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Bernard Kellas	SSE Energy Supply
Chris Barker	ENWL
George Moran	British Gas
Helen Fosberry	E.ON
Kevin Spencer	Elxon
Simon Yeo	Western Power Distribution

1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed without amendment. The actions log is included as Appendix A.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.

2 REVIEW OF THE DCP 268 MODELLING REQUEST

- 2.1 The Working Group submitted a modelling request to the DCUSA modelling consultant in early July 2016. The Working Group walked through the clarifications requested by the DCUSA Modelling Consultant as set out below:

- We do not intend to make any changes to the way the current three rate tariffs (including UMS) are calculated.
 - The Working Group agreed that they did not intend to make any changes to the three rate tariffs.

The document notes the application of correction factors in the current model so that the three rate domestic and non-domestic tariffs match (on average) the NHH settled tariffs. We might be able to come fairly close to (but not exactly match) the effect of the correction factor by splitting the volumes using the percentages in table 1061 (for domestic) and 1062. We cannot say for sure unless we try this approach.

- The Working Group agreed to review what the impact is when they get the model.
- The coincidence factors and load factors for the current two rate tariffs (which will be merged into the three rate tariff) are not the same as those for the three rate tariffs. We will apply the current three rate load factors and coincidence factors to existing two rate tariffs to calculate the impacts.
 - The Working Group agreed with this approach.
- The specification says that the related MPAN tariffs should have unit rates that mirror those of the “LV Domestic Aggregated” and “LV Non Domestic Aggregated” tariffs, but should not have fixed charges. We will calculate the new three rate related MPAN tariff three rates would be the same for aggregated and related MPAN tariffs (except that related tariffs would have a zero fixed charge).
 - In principle all the NHH tariffs will be transitioned to the Red Amber Green (RAG) arrangements based on the DNO timebands and it is appropriate that some of these charges are applied to the related MPAN. The Working Group approved the approach proposed but noted that they will need to consider the various customers groups impacted such as vulnerable customers once the impact assessment is received. Members noted that the related MPAN market makes up 0.9% of the total MPANs and that the quality of the standing data is poor for related MPANs. A data cleansing exercise may be required.
- The UMS tariff impact will depend significantly on how single rate units are split between the three rates for the application of DUoS charges. We will use the split in table 1064 to calculate the impact, but this may not be consistent with how these units may be split for charging purposes (potentially using Elexon profiles).
 - The Working Group agreed with this approach.

- 2.2 The Working Group agreed to notify the DCUSA Modelling consultant that they agreed with the approaches proposed and asked the secretariat to request a delivery date for the proposed model.

ACTION 04/01: ELECTRALINK

3 REVIEW OF THE DCP 268 REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed and commented on the DCP 268 Request for Information (RFI) which acts as Attachment 1.

- 3.2 The Working Group considered the positives and negatives of the three approaches proposed by Elexon.

Option 1

- 3.3 Option 1 - Add the tariff combination in MDD¹ straight across to the proposed new Distribution tariffs. It was noted that two of the tariffs has an amalgamation of NHH and HH data. Aggregate the data received by the SVAA and map to the new tariffs using the measurement classes F and G pseudo data items associated with the distribution time bands. Distributors will need to provide the mapping to Elexon to do this aggregation.

Option 2

- 3.4 Option 2 is the same as option 1 except that it is split out by NHH and HH aggregations for domestic and non-domestic customers on the D0030.

Option 3

- 3.5 Under Option 3 the data is split out by Profile Class from 0 - 8 providing 9 different sets of records and reported by Profile Class in the D0030.
- 3.6 The chair agreed to provide some further text in the RFI on Option 1-3.

ACTION 04/02: JL

- 3.7 VH advised that they had visualised the proposed solutions differently and proposed another option to be included in the RFI where the existing LLFC² and SSC³ are kept and instead you report against three pseudo TPR⁴s. VH agreed to draft text on this option to be included in the RFI.

ACTION 04/03: VH

Option 4

- 3.8 The Working Group discussed Option 4 which involves mapping to the new timebands. The NHHDC sends the current SSC, TPR, LLFC combination to the SVAA⁵ and retain everything other than the TPR. Change the existing TPR to timeband TPRs in SVAA and use the data in these Pseudo TPRs to add up to a RAG average. Distributors report to the Supplier on the D0242⁶ for validation purposes.
- 3.9 The Working Group discussed whether it removed flexibility having a centralised body undertake the aggregation by tariff. If you introduced a new tariff to a new LLFC, the centralised body does not have to undertake those changes.
- 3.10 The Working Group drafted the RFI questions at the meeting. LW agreed that they would provide updated text for Option 5 in accordance with action 03/03.
- 3.11 The updated RFI will be circulated to the Working Group for review for a period of one week. The RFI is expected to be issued to Parties for a period of three weeks between the 09 to the

¹ MDD – Market Domain Data

² LLFC – Line Loss Factor Class

³ SSC – Standard Settlement Configuration

⁴ TPR – Time Pattern Regime

⁵ SVAA – Supplier Volume Allocation Agent

⁶ D0242 – Supercustomer Duos Daily Statement

30 August 2016. A face to face meeting has been scheduled for the 14 September to consider the consultation responses.

- 3.12 The Working Group agreed to meet on the 16 August 2016 to review the DCP 268 model and its impact assessment.

4 NEXT STEPS

- 4.1 The DCP 268 Working Group agreed the next steps as follows:

- Update the RFI based on discussions and actions taken at today's meeting;
- Circulate the updated RFI to the Working Group for review for a period of one week;
- Submit the RFI to industry parties from the 09 to the 30 August 2016;
- Notify the modelling consultant that the Working Group agrees with the approach proposed to modifying the CDCM model for the DCP 268 solution;
- Meet on the 16 August to consider the DCP 268 model;
- Meet on the 14 September to consider the responses to the DCP 268 RFI.

ACTION 04/04: ALL

5 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- 5.1 There were no items of any other business.

6 NEXT MEETING

- 6.1 The next meeting is scheduled on the 16 August 2016 to consider the DCP 268 model.

7 ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1 – DCP 268 Request for Information – Red-Lined
- Attachment 2 – DCP 268 Work Plan Updated

APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS**NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
03/02	Develop an impact assessment to allow Parties to determine whether it is more beneficial for Elexon to provide the pseudo split of consumption data or for Parties to undertake the relevant work on their billing systems	All	In progress. Please see the draft RFI on the solution options for consideration at this meeting.
03/03	Provide text for the RFI on the distributors de-linking solution	George Moran and Lee Wells	In progress. A high level solution provided by George is contained in the draft RFI.
03/06	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To draft a consultation on the DCP 268 draft legal text and the updated CDCM model for issue to industry parties for a period of three weeks To draft the DCP 268 change report in parallel with the work listed above for submission to the DCUSA Panel. 	All	On-going. On-going.
04/01	Notify the DCUSA Modelling consultant that the Working Group agreed with the approaches proposed and request a delivery date for the proposed model.	ElectraLink	
04/02	Provide further text on Option 1-3 in the RFI.	John Lawton	
04/03	Draft text on new option 4 for inclusion in the RFI.	Vicki Holland	

04/04	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update the RFI based on discussions and actions taken at today's meeting; • Circulate the updated RFI to the Working Group for review for a period of one week; • Submit the RFI to industry parties from the 09 to the 30 August 2016; • Notify the modelling consultant that the Working Group agrees with the approach proposed to modifying the CDCM model for the DCP 268 solution; • Meet on the 16 August to consider the DCP 268 model; • Meet on the 14 September to consider the responses to the DCP 268 RFI. 	All	
-------	---	-----	--

CLOSED ACTIONS

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
03/01	CO to update the draft legal text based on discussions at this meeting.	Chris Ong	Completed. The updated legal text was submitted with the modelling consultation specification pack to the DCUSA consultant.
03/04	Provide a breakdown of the approaches that could be taken for centrally aggregating this information and	Kevin Spencer	Completed. Please see the draft RFI.

	sending it to Parties via a dataflow and their advantages and disadvantages		
03/05	Request that the DCUSA modelling consultant update the CDCM model, provide an impact assessment, an Annual Review Pack containing the changes and check that the changes in the proposed legal text give affect to the changes made to the model.	ElectraLink, Chris Ong and Lee Wells	Completed. The Working Group submitted a modelling request to the DCUSA Modelling Consultant. On today's agenda we will be discussing the clarification questions from the DCUSA Modelling Consultant.
03/06	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submit a modelling specification to the DCUSA Panel for approval for modelling support; and • To draft an RFI for issue in July for a period of two weeks. 	All	<p>Completed</p> <p>Closed</p>