

DCP 268 Working Group Meeting

17 March 2017 at 10:00am

Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Chris Ong [CO] (teleconference)	UK Power Networks
Dan Hickman [DH]	Npower
Elizabeth Allkins [EA]	Ovo Energy
Emma Clarke [EC] (teleconference)	SSE
George Moran [GM] (teleconference)	British Gas
Helen Fosberry [HF] (teleconference)	E.ON
Lynda Carroll [LC]	Ofgem
Kevin Spencer [KS]	Elxon
Maria Hesketh [MH] (teleconference)	Scottish Power
Steven Grant [SG] (teleconference)	Scottish Power
Tony Collings [TC]	SSE
Tom Chevalier [TC]	Power Data Associates
Tracey Pitcher [TP] (teleconference)	Western Power Distribution
Vicky Holland [VH]	St. Clements
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Claire Hynes [CH] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Dave Wornell	Western Power Distribution
Andrew Enzor	Northern Powergrid
Julia Haughey	EDF Energy
Lee Wells	Northern Powergrid
Pat Wormald	Northern Powergrid
Simon Yeo	Western Power Distribution

1. Welcomes and Apologies

- 1.1 The Chairman noted the welcome and apologies for this meeting.

2. Administration

- 2.1 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed without amendment. The actions log is included as Appendix A.
- 2.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.

3. Review of the DCP 268 Consultation Two Responses

- 3.1 The Working Group walked through the responses to DCP 268 consultation two. The Working Groups comments on these responses is captured in Attachment 1.
- 3.2 Following consideration of the consultation responses to question 3 and 4, the Working Group agreed to progress the Distributor approach and draw out the concerns raised by parties in these responses and address them in the change report.
- 3.3 The Working Group discussed the views on question 4 on the mapping of the HV, LV and LV Sub Medium Non-domestic tariffs to the aggregated non-domestic tariff. One respondent highlighted that changing the different voltage levels on the same tariff will reduce cost reflectivity. The Working Group noted that there will be approximately 70,000 profile 5 -8 customers by the 01 April 2017 and this CP would only impact those that should be site specific due to CT metering. This change will be introduced by the 01 April 2019 so members expect the remaining volumes to be low. There were 600 HV Medium Non-domestic customers in 2016 which the Working Group would also expect to have migrated. The tariff mapping proposed is a pragmatic solution for the interim period.

3.4 The Working Group reviewed the tariff mapping table and agreed to simplify the names by:

- removing 'LV' prefacing the domestic aggregated and non-domestic aggregated tariff names;
- removing 'LV' prefacing the UMS tariff names; and
- removing 'metered' from the site specific tariff names.

Members advised that there will be consequential changes to the models and Schedule 15 and 16 as a result of these tariff name changes and requested for the secretariat to provide a modelling support specification for consideration at its next meeting. Please see Attachment 2.

ACTION 09/01: ElectraLink to draft the modelling support specification documents for approval by the Working Group at its next meeting.

3.5 The Chair advised that the DCP 283 and DCP 284 Working Groups are looking at the intermittent generation credits associated with Distributed Generation and that the DCP 268 solution will remove the distinction between those credits linked to intermittent generation and non-intermittent generation. Members agreed that the DCP 283 and DCP 284 Working Groups should be cognisant of the impact of DCP 268 on those changes.

ACTION 09/02: ElectraLink to highlight the impacts of DCP 268 on DCP 283 and DCP 284 to the relevant Working Groups.

3.6 The Working Group modified the DCP 268 draft legal text following consideration of the responses to consultation question 5 to:

- reinstate Clause 42A under the title 'Load Characteristics' to set out the approach for NHH unmetered customers on these tariffs;
- remove the Profile Class (PC) column for all relevant tables i.e. Schedule 15 table 3; and
- Amend the Schedule 15 tariff names to the agreed name changes in the tariff mapping table.

3.7 The Working Group discussed the use of Schedule 15 table 3 to provide forecast information to Suppliers in the quarterly DNO Cost Information issue. It was noted that this table is replicated in the Annual Review Pack (ARP) as the tariff ARP tab. Members debated the relevance of providing forecast information on a list of tariffs that do not reflect the tariffs that will be in place in future years.

3.8 It was highlighted that there would be a misalignment if Schedule 15 table 3 is updated to reflect tariffs that are awaiting implementation with the CDCM and the models in place. The Working Group discussed whether separate implementation dates for tariff names in Schedule 15 table 3 and the proposed legal text should be considered.

3.9 The Working Group agreed to provide a summary analysis of the tariffs and the consumer impact in the change report with specific reference to the unrestricted tariffs and their impact in the London Power Networks and East Midlands Electricity Board (EMEB) distribution areas.

ACTION 09/01: CO to provide summary analysis of the impact on the unrestricted domestic tariff in the London Power Networks distribution area.

- 3.10 The Working Group agreed to address the question of whether the RAG timebands better reflect the costs for running the network in the change report and to provide their views on whether new DCUSA Charging Objective 6 is better facilitated by this change by the next meeting.

DCUSA Charging Objective 6

that compliance with the Charging Methodologies promotes efficiency in its own implementation and administration.

ACTION 09/01: Working Group to address the question of whether the RAG timebands better reflect the costs for running the network in the change report and to provide their views on whether new DCUSA Charging Objective 6 is better facilitated by this change by the next meeting.

4. Next Steps

- 3.1 The DCP 268 Working Group agreed the next steps as follows:
- For the secretariat to draft the change report and circulate to the Working Group for review;
 - For the Working Group to meet on the 05 April to discuss the DCP 268 draft change report, finalise the legal text and consider a modelling support request to amend the tariffs names; and
 - To aim to submit the change report to the May DCUSA Panel.

5. AOB

- 4.1 There were no items of any other business.

6. Next Meeting – 05 April 2017

- 5.1 The next meeting is scheduled for the 05 April 2017 at 10am to finalise the DCP 268 draft legal text, change report and consider a modelling support request.

Attachments

Attachment 1 – DCP 268 Consultation Collated Responses with Working Group Comments

Attachment 2 – DCP 268 Tariff Mapping

Attachment 3 – DCP 268 Draft Legal Text

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
03/06	To draft the DCP 268 change report in parallel with the work listed above for submission to the DCUSA Panel.	All	On-going
09/01	Draft the modelling support specification documents for approval by the Working Group at its next meeting.	ElectraLink	
09/02	Highlight the impacts of DCP 268 on DCP 283 and DCP 284 to the relevant Working Groups.	ElectraLink	
09/03	Provide summary analysis of the impact on the unrestricted domestic tariff in the London Power Networks distribution area.	Chris Ong	
09/04	Working Group to address the question of whether the RAG timebands better reflect the costs for running the network in the change report and to provide their views on whether new DCUSA Charging Objective 6 is better facilitated by this change by the next meeting.	All	

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
06/01	Clarify with one confidential respondent and two Suppliers their response to question 2 of the RFI.	ElectraLink	Completed
08/01	Working Group agreed to list the DCP 268 clause changes against the approved CPs legal text in the attachment 3 and consider how to best reflect the intent of both changes in the overlapping legal text.	ElectraLink	Completed
08/02	Add Schedule 20 clause 1.1 to the DCP 268 legal text	ElectraLink	Completed
08/03	Check the consultation one list of enduring tariff names in the tariff mapping table against the tariff names in the agreed legal text	ElectraLink	Completed
08/04	Include a word version of the legal text in consultation two	ElectraLink	Completed