

## DCUSA DCP 262 CHANGE DECLARATION

VOTING END DATE: 16 MAY 2016

DCP 262 - SCHEDULE 19 CREDIT/RE-INVOICE FOR HH SPECIFIC DATA	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Reject	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Reject	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p><b>Part 2 Matters</b></p> <p><b>Change Solution – Reject.</b> For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposal was less than 65% of the total number of Groups in that Party Category which voted; and</li> <li>the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposal was less than 65%.</li> </ul> <p><b>Implementation Date – Reject.</b> For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was less than 65% of the total number of Groups in that Party Category which voted; and</li> <li>the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was less than 65%.</li> </ul>				
PART TWO	<b>Part Two – Authority Determination Not Required</b>				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
<b>DNO PARTIES</b>				
Northern Powergrid Northeast Limited	Accept	Accept	The DCUSA objectives that are better facilitated by this change are the DCUSA General Objectives 2 and 4. Objective 2 is better facilitated as this change should have a positive impact on the IDNO's by helping them to better manage their cash flow, which then promotes competition. Objective 4 is better facilitated as this change puts a timescale on how long the DNO has to carry out the credit/rebill exercise which should then make the process more efficient.	No comments provided.
Northern Powergrid Yorkshire Plc	Accept	Accept		
SP Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	General Objective 2 and General Objective 4. General 2 and 4 will be better facilitated since the introduction of this change sets a defined time period for the DNO to issue the HH adjustment the LDNO has provided. This will positively impact the LDNO cash flow.	No further comments.
SP Manweb plc	Accept	Accept		
Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	We agree with the evaluation by the working group that this change better facilitates General Objectives 2 and 4.	Clause 11.2 of the change report states that 'The legal text adds a new Clause <b>44.2A</b> to the DCUSA....', but the new Clause in the legal text is actually <b>44.3A</b> .

Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject	No comments provided.	In our final consideration of this CP, we have concluded that whilst we support the general principles of it, a more comprehensive and balanced solution is required, which also resolves the issues relating to standardised data submissions and templates. The application of timescales to the process should follow (or at least align with) resolution of these issues rather than precede resolution of them.
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject		
Eastern Power Networks	Reject	Reject	We do not believe that the DCUSA Objectives are better facilitated by this change. DCUSA currently contains the steps that should be followed to show how this process is managed and there should be no barrier to competition as stated within Objective 2. Again, with Objective 4, there is already a process in place within DCUSA which should remove any adverse impact on IDNOs' cashflow. It should also be noted that any rebilling exercise could result in a positive invoice being raised and will not always provide a credit / cashflow benefit to the IDNO.	We believe that although the proposed change is not required, there are some improvements that can be made to the current DCUSA text/process that would assist parties in managing this work, by specifying the template which is used by IDNOs to provide HH Portfolio data to DNOs as a schedule/appendix, together with clarity on the population of prior months' adjustments.
London Power Networks	Reject	Reject		
South Eastern Power Networks	Reject	Reject		

<b>IDNO PARTIES</b>				
Energetics Electricity Ltd	Accept	Accept	DCUSA General Objective 2 is better facilitated by this change. The	No comments provided.

			<p>introduction of a timeline for issuing credit note and rebills between DNO and IDNOs, indicates that all monies will be settled in a timely manner. The IDNO has already settled with the supplier, and by ensuring the DNO settles with the IDNO within a timescale means that the competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity has been effective.</p>	
ESP Electricity	Accept	Accept	<p>ESPE agree with the Working Group’s conclusion that General Objective 2 and 4 would be better facilitated by the introduction of this CP.</p> <p>DCUSA General Objective 2 will be better facilitated as DCP 262 promotes effective competition due to the fact that LDNOs have settled the difference in charges with Supplier Parties, and in some cases the LDNO is not being invoiced/credited for the difference by the DNO Party. This affects the LDNO’s cash flow and can be considered a barrier to competition for smaller Industry Parties. In this scenario, it can be argued that LDNOs are supporting the DNO’s cash flow.</p> <p>DCUSA General Objective 4 will be better facilitated as it will provide</p>	N/A

			IDNOs and DNOs a clear timeline of how best to manage credit/re-invoicing for HH invoices. This will positively impact IDNOs by helping to better manage cash flows, and also providing the DNOs with a timeline of when to have this information produced and issued to the IDNOs. This efficiency will match what is already explained and included within Schedule 19 for NHH invoices.	
The Electricity Network Company Limited, Independent Power Networks Limited	Accept	Accept	We believe that, as stated in the change report, this change proposal better facilitates General Objective 2 and General Objective 4 of the DCUSA.  We agree with the assertions and reasoning in the change report.	No comments provided.
<b>SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
n/a				
<b>DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES</b>				
n/a				
<b>GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
n/a				