

DCUSA DCP 256 CHANGE DECLARATION

VOTING END DATE: 12 AUGUST 2016

DCP 256 - Change to DCUSA to mitigate risks of non-payment of non-payment of DCUSA invoices	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Part 1 Matter</p> <p>Change Solution – Accept.</p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50%.</p> <p>Implementation Date – Accept.</p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50%.</p>				
PART ONE	Part One – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				

Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	We believe that General Objective 4 – The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement will be better facilitated by this change proposal, as it will improve how late payments are managed, hopefully avoid the need for additional administration where non payment is received especially those related to non TRAS payments and provide clarity to parties on the underlying processes.	No comments provided
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	We agree with the view expressed in the Change Report that General Objective 4 is better facilitated by this CP as it would considerably improve the arrangements which can be implemented in the event of late or non-payment of sums due to DCUSA by Parties.	No comments provided
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	General Objective Four is better facilitated as a result of this change as it will allow for any late payments from parties to be managed more effectively.	No comments provided
London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands)	Accept	Accept	WPD agree with the Change Report in that General Objective Four is better facilitated by DCP 256 because the Panel will be able to improve the	None
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands)	Accept	Accept		

Western Power Distribution (South Wales)	Accept	Accept	management of 'late payers' with respect to late payment of their share of the budgeted costs.	
Western Power Distribution (South West)	Accept	Accept		

IDNO PARTIES

n/a				
-----	--	--	--	--

SUPPLIER PARTIES

British Gas	Accept	Accept	<p>General Objective Four - The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement</p> <p>We agree that general objective four is better facilitated by DCP 256 because the Panel will be able to improve the management of 'late payers' with respect to late payment of their share of the budgeted costs.</p>	No comments provided
SSE Energy Supply	Accept	Accept	We agree that DCUSA Objective 4 is better facilitated because the Panel will be able to improve the management of 'late payers' with respects to late payment of the share of budgeted costs.	No

<p>RWE npower</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>“The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement” This change will give more clarity to Parties in terms of what they have to pay and when. There will be a well communicated warning that late payment is due and non-payment will be dealt with accordingly. This is now necessary due to the introduction of TRAS into the DCUSA.</p>	<p>No comments provided</p>
<p>Scottish Power Energy Retail Limited</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>Accept</p>	<p>General Objective 4 – The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this agreement is facilitated by the intention to specify to the relevant parties the dates that invoices will be issued and the expected values of these invoices for the future year.</p>	<p>We agree in principle with the intent of this change however we would like to express concerns over the time periods involved which we believe are too harsh within Principle 3 of this Change Proposal “Where a party does not pay within 5 working days of the late Payment Notice being sent”.</p> <p>Our preference would be to extend the time period suggested before suspending Suppliers registration rights.</p> <p>Furthermore we believe the decision on payment type (CHAPS or BACs) should be the Suppliers.</p>

DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES

n/a				
-----	--	--	--	--

GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--

n/a				
-----	--	--	--	--