

DCP 251/252 Working Group Meeting

21 March 2017 at 10:00am

Web-conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSE Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	Western Power Distribution
Franck Latrémolière [FL]	Reckon
Gus Wood [GW]	Gowling WLG
Pat Wormald [PT]	Northern Powergrid
Tim Aldridge [TA]	Ofgem
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Dan Fittock [DF] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Chris Allanson	Northern Powergrid
Chris Barker	ENWL
Mike Harding	The Electricity Network Company

Welcomes and Apologies

The Chair noted the welcomes for this meeting.

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the *“Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”*. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the minutes of the last meeting and approved the minutes as an accurate representation of the discussions held.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair confirmed that the purpose of the meeting was to review the legal text received from the DCUSA Legal Advisor, review the DCP 251 & 252 Modelling Changes and review the DCP 251 & 252 Change Reports.

3. Review of Legal Text from the DCUSA Legal Advisor

- 3.1 A copy of the DCP 251 & 252 Legal Text received from the DCUSA Legal Advisor can be found as Attachment 1.
- 3.2 GW walked the Working Group through the changes that were made to the DCP 251 & 252 Legal Text, noting a number of key points for the decisions made:

DCP 251

- The term ‘embedded network tariffs’ was removed from a number of clauses as this is not a phrase used within the context of the schedules included in the legal text;
 - It was noted in a footnote that in the circumstance that DCPs 251 and 252 are rejected, Paragraphs 98, 114, 116, 119 and 120 are to be deleted by DCP 234. However, this section of the footnote was removed as DCP 234 will be implemented before the vote for DCP 251 and 252;
 - The term ‘embedded network’ has been updated to ‘QNO network’ due to the introduction of the QNO definition with this change; and
 - A number of minor updates to the legal text to correct grammatical, stylistic or spelling errors.
- 3.3 GW also detailed the changes to the QNO Definition, noting that:
 - Parts A and B of the previous definition stated the same details but separated the clause into IDNO and DNO Parties operating outside of their Distribution Services Area. These have now been combined to reduce the length of the clause;
 - GW questioned why a QNO would be receiving use of system charges from a DNO Party, with the Working Group explaining that in instances where the QNO has subcontracted with a Distribution Business to provide e.g. data services on behalf of the DNO, then they would be entitled to the use of system charges as they are acting in place of the DNO Party; and

- The Working Group also discussed whether to include the term 'data services' in the current legal drafting when describing services that a QNO offers in place of the DNO, such as MPAS provisions and MPAN creation. It was stated that data services are not all of the services that need to be considered. It was explained that the QNO needs to ensure that they put in place a contract with a distribution business that ensures that the DNO does not offer any more services than it would do to an IDNO or DNO operating out of area

3.4 GW didn't believe that schedule 19 needed to be amended, but consideration may still be required to schedule XX regarding any potential differences to embedded network or QNO network and whether any just refer to licenced embedded networks.

3.5 Consideration by the Working Group needs to be given as to whether schedule 19 and schedule XX need any further amendment.

ACTION: 18/01 – WG Members

3.6 Based on these discussions, GW agreed to take an action to update the legal text to reflect the required changes noting that the QNO definition discussions also affect the definition within DCP252.

ACTION: 18/02 – GW

DCP 252

3.7 GW noted differences in the definition of Portfolio Tariff between the schedules involved in DCP 251 and 252. The Working Group agreed that these definitions should be aligned to ensure clarity across the schedules.

3.8 It was noted that the calculations of the Network length split differs from what the Working Group submitted for legal review. ElectraLink agreed to an action to check this against the live DCUSA document and confirm the correct calculation.

ACTION: 18/03 – ElectraLink

3.9 Based on the discussions, GW agreed to take an action to update the legal text to reflect the required changes.

ACTION: 18/04 – GW

4. Review of the DCP 251/252 Modelling Changes

- 4.1 It was previously agreed by the Working Group that a number of Model names would require updating to reflect the new definition of QNO.
- 4.2 The Working Group reviewed the Modelling changes received from the DCUSA Modelling Consultant, agreeing with the changes made but also noting that there was a reference to one of the tables which still required updating: LDNO REV.
- 4.3 ElectraLink took an action to refer this back to the DCUSA Modelling Consultant along with the Working Group's comments for the table name to be updated.

ACTION: 18/05 – ElectraLink

5. Review of the DCP 251 Change Reports

- 5.1 A copy of the DCP 251 Change Report with Working Group comments can be found in Attachment 2.
- 5.2 The Working Group reviewed the draft 251 Change Report and made a number of comments and amendments:
 - Further clarity regarding the Working Group's view that DCPs 251 and 252 could impact the Balancing and Settlements Codes (BSC) and the Master Registration Agreement (MRA) is required; and
 - Update the Working Group's response to Consultation 2, Question 5 to note whether or not the update to the QNO definition as per the respondent's comments was undertaken;
- 5.3 Due to the small number of Working Group members present at the meeting, ElectraLink took an action to contact all Working Group members requesting their views on which DCUSA Charging Objectives are better facilitated by this change, for a consensus Working Group view to be agreed.

ACTION: 18/06 – ElectraLink

ACTION: 18/07 – All Working Group members

6. Next Steps

6.1 The DCP 251/252 Working Group agreed the next steps as follows:

- All Working Group members to review the legal text associated with Schedule 19 and Schedule XX
- All Working Group members to email ElectraLink confirming their view on which DCUSA Charging Objectives are better facilitated by DCPs 251 and 252;
- ElectraLink to send the required changes to the DCUSA Modelling Consultant;
- ElectraLink to make the required changes to the DCP 25q Draft Change Report;
- ElectraLink to start drafting the DCP 252 Draft Change Report based on the DCP 251 Draft Change Report; and
- ElectraLink to issue a Doodle Poll to arrange for the next DCP 251 / 25 Working Group.

7. AOB

7.1 There were no items of any other business and the Chair closed the meeting.

8. Next Meeting

8.1 The next DCP 251 & 252 Working Group meeting date will be arranged via Doodle Poll.

9. Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 251 & 252 Legal Text received from the DCUSA Legal Advisor
- Attachment 2 - DCP 251 Change Report with WG Comment
- Attachment 3 – DCP 251 & 252 Work Plan

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
15/03	To update the network setup diagrams to reflect the Working Group's comments for greater clarity.	ElectraLink	On-going. BNO template.
16/02	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • update the relevant template documents with the suggested amendments; • amend the references at para 26.4 and the missing full stops in the legal text; • update the definition of QNO for DCP 251 and DCP 252 in line with the comments made; • raise the housekeeping items identified to the DCUSA Panel housekeeping log to be monitored should DCP 251/252 be rejected; and • change QNO back to LDNO in line with the decision made covering issue 08 to use LDNO network data as a proxy for QNO network data. 	ElectraLink	<p>Ongoing: To be updated ahead of issuing with consultation</p> <p>Complete</p> <p>Complete</p> <p>Ongoing. The incorrect reference was made to the DCUSA Panel. The issue is for DCP252 and DNOs operating out of area.</p> <p>Complete</p>

16/04	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ElectraLink to draft a change report for submission to Working Group for review on the 03 February 2017; • FL to undertake the modelling changes required; and • ElectraLink to circulate the updated legal text to MH and the incorporated changes from MH to be circulated to the Working Group for review. 	ElectraLink, Mike Harding and Franck Latremoliere	<p>Completed</p> <p>A review during the working group highlighted changes to the various models and legal text – completed</p> <p>Completed.</p>
18/01	To review schedule 19 and schedule XX to see if any further amendments are required	Working Group members	
18/02	To update the DCP 251 legal text to reflect the required changes	Gus Wood	
18/03	To check the Network Length Split against the live DCUSA document and confirm the correct calculation.	ElectraLink	
18/04	To update the DCP 252 legal text to reflect the required changes.	Gus Wood	

18/05	To refer the DCP 251 and 252 Models back to the DCUSA Modelling Consultant along with the Working Group's comments for the additional table name to be updated.	ElectraLink	Completed post-meeting
18/06	To contact all Working Group members requesting their views on which DCUSA Charging Objectives are better facilitated by DCPs 251 and 252.	ElectraLink	Completed post-meeting
18/07	To contact ElectraLink confirming their views on which DCUSA Charging Objectives are better facilitated by DCPs 251 and 252.	Working Group members	

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
17/01	To contact Chris Allanson at Northern Powergrid for further information on this matter.	ElectraLink	Completed post-meeting
17/02	To circulate the updated DCP 251 Change Report to the Working	ElectraLink	Complete

	Group for consideration by W/C 6 March 2017		
17/03	To send the DCP 251 & 252 legal text to the DCUSA Legal Advisor	ElectraLink	Complete
17/04	To doodle poll working group members for the next meeting date	ElectraLink	Complete
