DCP 231 ‘Extended pcdm under the edcm’ consultation

To: Michael Walls

Email: [DCUSA@electralink.co.uk](mailto:DCUSA@electralink.co.uk)

Response Deadline: 29 June 2015

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Organisation: | Click here to enter text. |
| Role: | Choose an item. |
| Email address: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Response[[1]](#footnote-1): | Choose an item. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you understand the intent of DCP 231? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you supportive of the principles of DCP 231 and that the approach adopted for the extended PCDM should be consistent with the approach proposed under DCP 117? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any comments on the proposed legal text? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Do you have any comments on the updated model or associated documentation? Please provide supporting comments. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The Working Group feel that DCUSA General Objectives 2[[2]](#footnote-2) and 3[[3]](#footnote-3) would be better facilitated by the implementation of DCP 231; please provide your comments on this and any other DCUSA General Objective you feel will be impacted by DCP 231. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The Working Group feel that DCUSA Charging Objectives 1[[4]](#footnote-4), 2[[5]](#footnote-5) and 3[[6]](#footnote-6) would be better facilitated by the implementation of DCP 231; please provide your comments on this and any other DCUSA Charging Objective you feel will be impacted by DCP 231. |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP? |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Are there any alternative solutions or unintended consequences that should be considered by the Working Group? |
| Click here to enter text. |

1. All responses will be treated as non-confidential unless indicated otherwise.

   Anonymous responses will omit the detail of the submitting party but the content of the response will be provided to the Working Group and published on the DCUSA website.

   Confidential responses will not be published on the DCUSA website but submitted solely to the Working Group for the analysis of the CP. For all other confidentiality requirements please contact the secretariat at DCUSA @electralink.co.uk or 0207 7432 3017 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences) [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. that compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business [↑](#footnote-ref-6)