

# DCP 216 Working Group Minutes

<b>Meeting Name</b>	DCP 216 Working Group
<b>Meeting Number</b>	04
<b>Date</b>	25 June 2015
<b>Time</b>	10:00
<b>Venue</b>	Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Chris Ong [CO] (Chair)	UK Power Networks
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSE Power Distribution
Dave Wornell [DW]	Western Power Distribution
Claire Hynes [CH] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apology	Company
Andrew Pace [AP]	ENWL
Bethany Hanna [BH]	Ofgem

## 1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 The minutes of the last meeting were agreed without amendment.
- 1.2 The updates to the open and closed actions are captured in Appendix A of the meeting minutes.
- 1.3 CO agreed to act as the Chair for the duration of the meeting.

## 2 COMPETITION LAWS DO'S AND DON'TS

- 2.1 The Working Group agreed to act in accordance with the "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts" for the duration of the meeting.

## 3 REVIEW OF THE DCP 216 CONSULTATION RESPONSES

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed and discussed the DCP 216 consultation responses. The Working Group's comments addressing each response acts as Attachment 1.
- 3.2 On review of the responses to question six the Working Group reviewed the sample attached workbook and agreed that this sample workbook proposed a better input sheet than the one proposed in the DCP 216 model. The Working Group agreed to request for the DCUSA model consultant to amend the format of the model to include all of the proposed changes suggested by respondents and provide the expected delivery date of the amended EDCM model.

### ACTION 05/01: ELECTRALINK & CO

- 3.3 On review of the responses to question 11, the Working Group noted that users will need to be aware that as the LDNO and EDCM tariffs are formatted differently in the proposed DCP 216 EDCM model that the users will need to take in to consideration this different format when putting the data in to the LC14 Charging Statement.
- 3.4 The Working Group agreed to draft a second consultation on the amended EDCM model to be issued for a short consultation period.

**ACTION 05/02: ELECTRALINK****4 REVIEW OF THE DCP 216 DRAFT CHANGE REPORT**

---

- 4.1 The Working Group considered the DCP 216 draft change report which acts as Attachment 2. The Working Group agreed that a final review of the DCP 216 change report would not be conducted until after responses have been received to consultation two on the amended DCP 216 models.
- 4.2 The Working Group discussed the implementation date for the DCP 216 change and the amount of time that the model consultant may need to test the amended EDCM model. The group noted that this change only amends the formatting of the EDCM model but does not impact the models outputs and therefore can be implemented in the next DCUSA release following the outcome of the Party vote. The Working Group agreed that although it would be preferable for the newly formatted EDCM model be available for the 01 April 2016 it was not necessary. The Working Group agreed that this CP is designated as a Part 2 Matter, the final report could be submitted to the September DCUSA Panel which would still provide sufficient time for it to be implemented in the November DCUSA release. CO agreed to provide some wording on the implementation date to the secretariat.

**ACTION 05/03: CO****5 NEXT STEPS**

---

- 5.1 The DCP 216 Working Group agreed that the next steps were as follows:
- to request for the DCUSA model consultant to amend the format of the model to include all of the proposed changes suggested by respondents in consultation question 6 and provide the Working Group with the expected delivery date of the amended EDCM model; and
  - to draft a second consultation to request Parties views on the amended model.

**6 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

---

- 6.1 There were no items of any other business.

**7 NEXT MEETING**

---

- 7.1 The next DCP 216 Working Group meeting will be scheduled following receipt of the amended EDCM models from the model consultant.

**8 ATTACHMENT**

---

- Attachment 1 – DCP 216 Consultation Collated Responses With Working Group Comments
- Attachment 2 – DCP 216 Draft Change Report

**APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS****NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
05/01	Request for the DCUSA model consultant to amend the format of the model to include the proposed changes suggested by respondents to question 6 of the consultation and provide the expected delivery date of the amended EDCM model.	ElectraLink and CO	
05/02	Draft a second consultation on the amended EDCM model.	ElectraLink	
05/03	Provide text on the implementation date to be included in the Change Report.	CO	Post Meeting Note: Completed: CO provided the following text - "As this change is a part 2 matter and it does not revise the methodology used to calculate the charges, this change does not need to be introduced to take effect from the 1 April in any charging year".

**CLOSED ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/04	Adhere to the next steps agreed by the Working Group as set out in Section 5 of meeting minutes no. 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If there is no material comments from the Working Group, the proposed model will be issued for the model advisors consideration. On receipt of the amended model the</li> </ul>	All	Completed.

	<p>Working Group will request for all DNOs to populate their model with their inputs and check whether the same outputs are calculated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• ElectraLink to draft a consultation to be considered by the Working Group in tandem with the development of the proposed Model. The consultation is to be issued for a period of 2 weeks once the DNOs have confirmed that the proposed model is fit for purpose.</li></ul>		
--	---	--	--