

# DCP 216 Working Group Minutes

<b>Meeting Name</b>	DCP 216 Working Group
<b>Meeting Number</b>	05
<b>Date</b>	02 September 2015
<b>Time</b>	10:00
<b>Venue</b>	Web-Conference

<b>Attendee</b>	<b>Company</b>
Chris Ong [CO] (Chair)	UK Power Networks
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSE Power Distribution
Dave Wornell [DW]	Western Power Distribution
Claire Hynes [CH] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

## 1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 The minutes of the last meeting were agreed without amendment.
- 1.2 The updates to the open and closed actions are captured in Appendix A of the meeting minutes.

## 2 COMPETITION LAWS DO'S AND DON'TS

- 2.1 The Working Group agreed to act in accordance with the "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts" for the duration of the meeting.

## 3 REVIEW OF THE DCP 216 CONSULTATION RESPONSES

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed and discussed the DCP 216 consultation two responses. The Working Group's comments addressing each response acts as Attachment 1.
- 3.2 Two respondents to question two noted a change to the EDCM Model caused by its reformatting.
  - A respondents advised that "there is a change to the "Total net revenue from discounted LDNO tariffs (£/year)" that is calculated as the new calculation is based on the rounded LDNO tariffs whereas the previous calculation was based on unrounded tariff values. The Working Group considered the issue raised does not require a further change as the way in which LDNO tariffs are rounded is the correct approach.
  - The second respondent suggested that there is a slight decrease in all import capacity rates and set out the calculation differences in the current and proposed EDCM model. One Working Group member advised that there had been a change from calculating Use of System less Transmission Exit Charges to Use of System including Transmission Exit Charges. This member thought that the difference in outputs between the current and proposed EDCM model could be explained by the respondent not updating sheet 11. The Working Group agreed to seek further clarification from the respondent on this point.

**ACTION 05/01: ELECTRALINK**

#### **4 REVIEW OF THE DCP 216 DRAFT CHANGE REPORT**

---

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed members comments on the DCP 216 draft change report which acts as Attachment 2. The Working Group considered that the change report was ready for submission to the DCUSA Panel on the 09 September 2015 subject to the outcome of action 05/01. Should the issue raised by the consultation two respondent be valid then the model will need to be updated and a further consultation issued to DNO Parties to test the outputs of the reformatted EDCM model.
- 4.2 The secretariat agreed to issue a clean version of the Change Report to members.

**ACTION 05/02: ELECTRALINK**

#### **5 NEXT STEPS**

---

- 5.1 The DCP 216 Working Group agreed that the next steps were as follows:
- to contact the consultation two respondent to check the validity of the issue raised on the reformatted EDCM Model; and
  - subject to the outcome of bullet point one, submit the DCP 216 change report to the DCUSA Panel on the 09 September 2015

#### **6 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

---

- 6.1 There were no items of any other business.

#### **7 NEXT MEETING**

---

- 7.1 There are no further meetings scheduled..

#### **8 ATTACHMENT**

---

- Attachment 1 – DCP 216 Consultation Two Collated Responses With Working Group Comments
- Attachment 2 – DCP 216 Draft Change Report

**APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS****NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
05/01	Seek further clarification from the respondent on the suggestion that there is a slight decrease in all import capacity rates in the reformatted EDCM model.	ElectraLink	Post meeting note: Respondent confirmed that an error on populating the reformatted EDCM Model had been made and that the outputs of the existing and proposed model were the same.
05/02	Circulate a clean version of the DCP 216 change report to Working Group members.	ElectraLink	

**CLOSED ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/01	Request for the DCUSA model consultant to amend the format of the model to include the proposed changes suggested by respondents to question 6 of the consultation and provide the expected delivery date of the amended EDCM model.	ElectraLink and CO	
04/02	Draft a second consultation on the amended EDCM model.	ElectraLink	
04/03	Provide text on the implementation date to be included in the Change Report.	CO	Post Meeting Note: Completed: CO provided the following text - "As this change is a part 2 matter and it does not revise the methodology used to calculate the charges, this

			change does not need to be introduced to take effect from the 1 April in any charging year”.
--	--	--	--