

DCUSA DCP 216 CHANGE DECLARATION

VOTING END DATE: 9 OCTOBER 2015

DCP 216 - AMENDING THE EDCM MODEL FORMAT	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p>Part 2 Matters</p> <p>Change Solution – Accept. For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposal was more than 65% of the total number of Groups in that Party Category which voted; and the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the proposal was more than 65%. <p>Implementation Date – Accept. For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the number of groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was was more than 65% of the total number of Groups in that Party Category which voted; and the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was was more than 65%. 				
PART ONE / PART TWO	Part Two – Authority Determination Not Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION	IMPLEMENTA	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS	COMMENTS
-------	----------	------------	-----------------------------	----------

	(A / R)	TION DATE (A / R)	BETTER FACILITATED?	
DNO PARTIES				
Electricity North West	Accept	Accept	We believe that General Objective 2 and Charging Objective 2 are better facilitated by this change proposal	n/a
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Accept	Accept	Charging Objective Two and General Objective Two are both better facilitated.	Not at this time.
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Accept	Accept	This change makes the EDCM model easier to understand for DNOs, and will enable DNO parties to provide customers and stakeholders with better explanations of changes to their tariffs.	
SP Distribution	Accept	Accept	DCUSA General and Charging Objective 2 are better facilitated as the change improves the transparency of the EDCM model for all stakeholders by making the calculations within the model easier to follow and easier to identify any mistakes. Thus facilitating effective competition in the supply of electricity.	No.
SP Manweb	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	We agree with the Working Group analysis that both General Objective 2	n/a

Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	and Charging Objective 2 are better facilitated by this CP by facilitating competition through greater transparency in the EDCM model.	
Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept	We believe that both Charging and General Objective 4 is better facilitated by this change by improving the design and ease of use of the EDCM model for its users. This change will assist parties in making it clearer how each charge is calculated.	n/a
London Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands)	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
Western Power Distribution (West Midlands)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South Wales)	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South West)	Accept	Accept		
IDNO PARTIES				
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SUPPLIER PARTIES				

n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES				
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES				
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a