

**DCUSA DCP 211 AND DCP 211A 'ENHANCE TRANSPARENCY OF DCUSA CHANGE MANAGEMENT' CHANGE DECLARATION****VOTING END DATE: 14 SEPTEMBER 2015**

DCP 211	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Reject	n/a	Reject	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Reject	n/a	Reject	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p><b>Change Solution – Reject.</b> For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was not greater than 50%.</p> <p><b>Implementation Date – Reject.</b> For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was not greater than 50%.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	<b>Part One</b> – Authority Determination Required				

DCP 211 ALTERNATIVE	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	n/a	Accept	n/a	n/a

<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>	<p><b>Change Solution – Accept.</b></p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50%.</p> <p><b>Implementation Date – Accept.</b></p> <p>For the majority of the Party Categories that were eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in each Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50%.</p>
<b>PART ONE / PART TWO</b>	<b>Part One</b> – Authority Determination Required

PARTY	DCP 211 SOLUTION (A / R)	DCP 211 IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	DCP 211A SOLUTION (A / R)	DCP 211A IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
<b>DNO PARTIES</b>						
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept	We agree with the Change Report that General Objective 2 is better facilitated by both DCP211 and DCP211A, for the reasoning set out in the Report.	We do not believe that a compelling case has been made for retrospective application of the principles of this CP, as proposed in DCP211, which would adequately justify the issues that are associated with this (as summarised in paragraph
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept		

						13.3 of the Change Report). In our view, the terms of DCP211A are more reasonable and reflect the normal approach to implementation of code or rule changes.
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Ltd	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept	We support the Working group in the reasoning for DCP211A in objective 2 - The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent with that) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity. It will allow customers and new entrants take better advantage of competition by being informed about the operation of the industry.	None
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands)	Reject	Accept	Accept	Accept	n/a	n/a
Western Power Distribution (West	Reject	Accept	Accept	Accept		

Midlands)						
Western Power Distribution (South Wales)	Reject	Accept	Accept	Accept		
Western Power Distribution (South West)	Reject	Accept	Accept	Accept		
Electricity North west	Accept	Accept	Accept (with preference)	Accept	<p>We agree with the findings of the Working Group that both of these changes will better facilitate General Objective 2 for the reasons stated within the change report.</p> <p>However our preference for DCP211A is because we believe that DCP211 will have a negative impact on general objective 4 by placing an additional administrative burden on DCUSA by initially having to check with each company on confidentiality and over time a diminishing impact on retrospective checks on documentation pre May 2014. DCP211A does not have such an impact.</p> <p>On balance, when considering</p>	n/a

					the general objectives in total, we believe that DCP211 will still better facilitate the DCUSA Objectives, but not to the extent that DCP211A will do.	
SP Manweb plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept	We agree that DCUSA General Objective 2 is slightly better facilitated by this change (211A) for the reasons given in the change report.	n/a
SP Distribution plc	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept		
Eastern Power Networks	Reject	Reject	Reject	Reject	We are supportive of the principles of DCP 211A but are voting to reject the legal text due to significant changes from the original alternative DCP. The changes introduce additional and unnecessary text to clause 5.3.10. Also there is no need to make documents available on request, which would be freely available on the website.  We do not agree with retrospective legal changes being made. In DCP 211, this would result in retrospective publication of information submitted on the	n/a
London Power Networks	Reject	Reject	Reject	Reject		
South Eastern Power Networks	Reject	Reject	Reject	Reject		

					<p>understanding that it would only be used in the development of DCUSA. The documents may contain confidential information supplied by Parties. Additional work would be required by the Secretariat to remove contact details and to publish the documents.</p>	
<b>IDNO PARTIES</b>						
n/a						
<b>SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>						
British Gas	Accept	Accept	Accept (with preference)	Accept	<p>General Objective Two – ‘The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity’</p> <p>We believe that both change proposals facilitate effective</p>	n/a

					<p>competition in the generation and supply of electricity and promotes effective competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity in two ways:  It makes information about DCUSA-governed charges, revenue opportunities and associated risks available to potential new entrants, so that they are better able to identify opportunities to compete.  (b) It makes information about DCUSA-governed charges, revenue opportunities and associated risks available to customers and potential customers, so that they can take better advantage of competition by being better informed about the operation of the industry.</p>	
npower	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept	<p>Because of the transparency and accessibility that the change will create, we believe DCP 211A will better facilitate DCUSA Objective 2.</p>	<p>For DCP 211, it's difficult to see any benefit in a retrospective view that would only create additional work for the DCUSA secretariat to undertake.</p>

SSE Energy Supply	Accept (with preference)	Accept	Accept	Accept	DCUSA General Objective 2 is facilitated by making documents easier to access for the benefit of parties wishing to trade.	None.
Scottish Power Energy Retail Limited	Reject	Reject	Accept	Accept	DCUSA General Objective 2 - The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent with that) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity.	We believe the retrospective element will cause administration issues for parties and that under DCP 211A documents can only be requested if they were issued after the implementation date of DCP 211A
<b>DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR PARTIES</b>						
n/a						
<b>GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>						
n/a						