# **Minutes**

Meeting Name DCP 206 Working Group

Meeting Number 07

Date 3 February 2015

Time 10:00

**Location** Teleconference

Attendee Representing

Franck Latremoliere [FL] (Chair) Reckon LLP

Andy Pace [AP] ENWL

Chris Ong [CO] UK Power Networks

Claire Campbell [CC] SP Energy Networks

Jonathan Wisdom [JW] Npower

Keith Burwell [KB] Ofgem

Steven Inglis [SI] Northern PowerGrid

Rosalind Timperley [RT] (Secretariat) ElectraLink Limited

#### 1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 No apologies were received.
- 1.2 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed without amendment.
- 1.3 The actions from the previous meeting, along with those opened and closed at this meeting are included as Appendix A.

#### 2 COMPETITION LAW

2.1 All Working Group members agreed to the terms set out in the "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts" document.

2.2

### 3 PROGRESSION OF DCP 206

3.1 It was noted that the purpose of the meeting was to consider what the Working Group needed to do to produce a Change Report that meets the requirements of the DCUSA. The Chair explained that for those Working Group members that do not believe the CP

better facilities the DCUSA Objectives, the rationale behind this view is not sufficiently documented in the Change Report.

The group agreed that the "Assessment Against the DCUSA Objectives" section of the Change Report should be updated to include the views of both those that support the CP and those that do not. ElectraLink took an action to update the Change Report to include the assessment that was included within the DCP 206 consultation document and circulate this to Working Group members.

Action 07/01: ElectraLink

3.3 It was agreed that Working Group members should then update the Change Report such that it documents the rationale on why they believe that the DCUSA Objectives are not better facilitated.

**Action 07/02:** All

- 3.4 It was noted that DNOs are keen to keep the super red unit rate, which is one of the principles which could stop this CP from being supported by DNOs. The group discussed whether the intent of the CP should be revised to remove this element of the solution. It was noted that this may require the CP to be withdrawn and then resubmitted.
- 3.5 The Working Group chair suggested that an alternative could be raised to progress a solution that includes a unit rate. In response, a Working Group member highlighted that they would prefer to wait until the outcome of the Distribution Charging Methodology Forum (DCMF) Methodologies Issues Group (MIG) EDCM Review is known before taking such a step.
- 3.6 Having considered the potential options, the group agreed to progress the DCP 206 Change Report without raising any alternative solutions or revising the intent.

#### 4 WORK PLAN AND NEXT STEPS

- 4.1 The Working Group agreed the next steps for DCP 206, as follows:
  - ElectraLink to update the "Assessment Against the DCUSA Objectives" section of the DCP 206 Change Report to include the views of both those that support the CP and those that do not. The views in support of the CP should be populated utilising the DCP 206 consultation document. The updated Change Report should be circulated to Working Group members by 10 February 2015.
  - Working Group members to update the Change Report with their rationale on why the CP does not better facilitate the DCUSA objectives by 24 February 2015.
  - Working Group to meet on 2 March 2015 to review the updated Change Report.

## **5** ANY OTHER BUSINESS

5.1 There were no other items of business raised at the meeting.

#### 6 DATE/LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING

6.1 The next meeting of the Working Group will take place via webinar at 2pm on Monday, 2 March 2014.

# **APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS**

# **NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
06/01	ElectraLink to progress the CP through the Work Plan, and its associated actions, as agreed by the Working Group. Including following up on all actions by other WG members for the next meeting.	ElectraLink	
07/01	Update the Change Report to include the assessment against the objectives that was included within the DCP 206 consultation document and circulate this to Working Group members.	ElectraLink	
07/02	Update the Change Report such that it documents the rationale on why some Working Group members believe that the DCUSA Objectives are not better facilitated.	All	

# **ACTIONS AGREED CLOSED AT THE MEETING**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update