

Minutes

Meeting Name	DCP 198 and DCP 212 Working Groups
Meeting Number	03
Date	26 June 2014
Time	10:00
Location	ENA, 6 th Floor Dean Bradley House, London, SW1P 2AF

Attendee	Representing
Chris Ong [CO] (Chair)	UK Power Networks
Chris Goodwin [CG]	ES Pipelines
Mike Harding [MH]	Brookfield Utilities
Beth Hannah [BH]	Ofgem
Andy Pace [AP] (Teleconference)	ENWL
Michael Walls [MW] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink Limited

1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 Apologies were received from Pat Wormald (Northern Powergrid) and Wendy Mantle (Scottish Power Distribution).

2 COMPETITION LAW

- 2.1 The Working Group then reviewed the “CDCM Competition Law Dos and Don’ts” and all Working Group members agreed to the terms set out in the document.
- 2.2 It was noted to the Working Group members that the guidance is published on the DCUSA website with the meeting papers.

3 CHAIR OF THE WORKING GROUP

- 3.1 CO agreed to act as Chair of the Working Group in the absence of Wendy Mantle.

4 REVIEW OF PROGRESSION ROUTE OF DCP 198 212 AND LEGAL TEXT

- 4.1 MH noted that there has been discussion within various Industry forums about raising a new DCP to integrate the CDCM and EDCM PCD models. The group discussed the options of whether a new CP should be raised, and the effects on DCPs 198 and 212; if a new CP is raised, should the work on these CPs be paused for the time being was one of the main questions.

- 4.2 The Working Group agreed that it would come down to timing, and it would be prudent to continue with DCPs 198 and 212 as they will align the legal text to the PCD Models and they can be pushed through the DCUSA Change Process relatively quickly. Once the CPs have gone through, and if approved by Ofgem, the new CP to combine the PCD Models can be formally raised.
- 4.3 CO then summarised the progress of the DCP 198 up to this point, specifically in regard to the previous consultation where Parties agreed to match the legal text to the methodology (the model) instead of the reverse. CO recommended that although DCP 212 is a separate change, it should be progressed alongside DCP 198. This will mean that the same progression route will apply to DCP 212 in regard to having the legal text to match the PCDM model. The Working Group members unanimously agreed with this approach.
- 4.4 The Working Group then discussed how the discrepancies within the legal text can be identified in order to update it accordingly to match the PCD Models. The members agreed that the DCUSA modelling consultant should be requested to examine the legal text in order to identify the discrepancies.
- 4.5 CO explained that the Working Group should be clear as to what the scope of the work should be, and to highlight that it is only to identify the discrepancies within the legal text in regard to the model, and make no changes to the models themselves. The Working Group agreed with this approach.
- 4.6 MW explained the process of drafting a consultancy request to the DCUSA Panel, and CO agreed to working the Secretariat to submit this to the DCUSA Panel Modelling Consultant Contract Manager.

Action: ElectraLink and CO

5 WORK PLAN AND NEXT STEPS

- 5.1 The Working Group then agreed the following work plan;
- Draft a letter to the DCUSA Panel Modelling Consultant Contract Manager requesting modelling support to identify the discrepancies between the legal text and the PCD Models, both the CDCM and EDCM versions.
 - Once the request has been approved, ElectraLink to send the request to the DCUSA Modelling Consultant for progression
 - ElectraLink to draft the consultation document whilst the modelling work is being completed – The Working Group reiterated that the document should include the rationale for choosing to match the legal text to the methodology instead of the model to the legal text
 - The Working Group would like the consultation to be issued early/mid-July in order to have a Change Report drafted for the August DCUSA Panel meeting.

6 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- 6.1 There were no other items of business raised at the meeting.

7 DATE/LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING

- 7.1 The Working Group agreed to schedule for early July to review the documentation received back from the DCUSA modelling consultant. ElectraLink to schedule the meeting once we have confirmation of how long it will take to get the documentation back from the modelling consultant.

APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS**NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
03/01	ElectraLink to draft the consultancy support request letter to the DCUSA Panel contract manager	ElectraLink	
03/02	ElectraLink to progress the CP through the Work Plan, and its associated actions, as agreed by the Working Group	ElectraLink	

ACTIONS AGREED CLOSED AT THE MEETING

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update