

Minutes

Meeting Name	DCP 183, DCP 185 and DCP 189 Combined Working Group Meeting
Date	28 March 2014
Time	10:00 am
Location	Web-conference

Attendee	Company
Andy Pace [AP] (DCP 189 Only)	ENWL
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSE Distribution
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Dave Wornell [DW] (DCP 189 Only)	WPD
Gary Holmes [GH]	Northern Powergrid
James Goldsack [JG]	Ofgem
Kathryn Evans [KE]	SP Distribution
Keith Burwell [KB]	Ofgem
Pat Wormald [PW]	Northern Powergrid
Richard Ellis [RE] (DCP 183 and DCP 185 Only)	Western Power Distribution
Rob Garner [RG] (DCP 183 and DCP 185 Only)	ENWL
Rosalind Timperley [RT] (Secretary)	ElectraLink

1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 Apologies were received from Wendy Mantle (Scottish Power).
- 1.2 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed without amendment.

2 COMPETITION LAW DO'S AND DON'TS

- 2.1 All Working Group members agreed to the terms set out in the DCUSA "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts" document.

3 DISCUSSION ON DCP 183

- 3.1 It was highlighted that an email had been circulated to DCP 183 Working Group members prior to the meeting explaining that after discussions with the modelling support consultant on the new DCP 183 EDCM model it had become apparent that for the Long Run Incremental Cost (LRIC) model, DNOs need to populate an additional column of data in table 935 (Super red kVA_r import divided by kVA capacity).
- 3.2 The Working Group noted this and agreed that, consequently, the impact assessment data in the DCP 183 Consultation document will need to be updated. An action was taken for DNOs that use the LRIC model to update their impact assessment data and also for all other DNOs to validate their data by 4 April 2014.

Action: DNOs

- 3.3 For consistency of approach it was agreed that, when producing the impact assessment, for sites with no data DNOs should populate the EDCM model with zero.

4 DISCUSSION ON DCP 185

- 4.1 The Working Group noted that the DCP 185 consultation document is ready and that the group is waiting for the DCP 183 and DCP 189 consultation documents to be completed so that all three can be issued together. In response to a query, it was explained that the reason for this is that it is thought that it will be easier for EDCM customers if they receive one set of consultations rather than three at separate times.
- 4.2 It was highlighted that DCP 185 affects IDNOs and thus could be issued separately to just DCUSA contract managers (which contains contact details for all IDNOs), rather than waiting to send it out with the DCP 183 and DCP 189 consultation documents. The Working Group agreed with this approach and accordingly ElectraLink took an action to issue the consultation with a response period of two weeks.

Action: ElectraLink

5 DISCUSSION ON DCP 189

- 5.1 Working Group members noted that in reviewing the impact of the DCP 189 EDCM model they had observed that for those EDCM customers that have had their tariffs reduced, the shortfall is being recovered from other EDCM customers rather than being socialised across everyone (i.e. EDCM and CDCM customers).
- 5.2 Attendees reviewed the modelling support specification document and noted that it was silent on how the shortfall should be recovered. The group observed that they had intended that the EDCM revenue pot would reduce but that the modelling support consultant had made a different assumption when updating the EDCM model.
- 5.3 ElectraLink took an action to email the modelling support consultant to ask if the EDCM model can be updated to reduce the overall EDCM revenue pot.

Action: ElectraLink

- 5.4 The Working Group discussed how the impact should be determined once the updated EDCM model is received. It was agreed that the impact should be calculated based on the subset of customers affected by DCP 189 (as opposed to calculating the impact across all customers including those that pick up the shortfall). It was

suggested that a charging statement showing the impact before and after DCP 189 is applied could also be produced. The Working Group agreed that a template should be produced that DNOs can populate to show this impact. AP took an action to produce an impact assessment template.

Action: AP

6 NEXT STEPS

6.1 The work plan was agreed as follows:

- **DCP 183** – DNOs to provide finalised impact assessment data by 4 April 2014
- **DCP 185** – consultation document to be issued on 28 March 2014 with a two week response period
- **DCP 189** – ElectraLink to email the modelling support consultant to request an updated model and AP to produce impact assessment template.
- ElectraLink to produce cover email for EDCM customers to be used when the DCP 183 and DCP 189 consultations are issued.

7 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

7.1 No other items of business were raised.

8 DATE/LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING

8.1 The next meeting date is to be confirmed.

APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS**NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
Combined/01	DNOs that use the LRIC model to update their impact assessment data and also for all other DNOs to validate their data by 4 April 2014.	DNOs	
Combined/02	Issue DCP 185 consultation	ElectraLink	
Combined/03	Email the modelling support consultant to ask if the EDCM model can be updated to reduce the overall EDCM revenue pot.	ElectraLink	
Combined/04	Produce an impact assessment template.	AP	